

Table of Contents

	<u>Page</u>
9.0 ENVIRONMENT AND IMPACT ANALYSIS	7
9.2 Biological Environment and Impact Analysis	7
9.2.0 Introduction	7
9.2.0.1 Species at Risk	7
9.2.0.2 Invasive Species	8
9.2.1 Terrestrial Ecology	14
9.2.1.1 Research	15
9.2.1.1.1 Terrestrial Habitats	15
9.2.1.1.2 Wetlands	17
9.2.1.1.3 Flora and Fauna	18
9.2.1.2 Analysis	31
9.2.1.3 Mitigation	37
9.2.1.4 Monitoring	40
9.2.1.5 Impact Statement	41
9.2.2 Aquatic Ecology - On-site Freshwater	43
9.2.2.1 Research	43
9.2.2.2 Analysis	46
9.2.2.3 Mitigation	47
9.2.2.4 Monitoring	47
9.2.2.5 Impact Statement	48
9.2.3 Aquatic Ecology - Marine Intertidal Zone	49
9.2.3.1 Research	49
9.2.3.2 Analysis	52
9.2.3.3 Mitigation	54
9.2.3.4 Monitoring	54
9.2.3.5 Impact Statement	55
9.2.4 Aquatic Ecology - Coastal- Nearshore Marine	56
9.2.4.0 Introduction	56
9.2.4.0.1 Benthic Habitats and Communities	57
9.2.4.0.2 Pelagic Habitats and Communities	58
9.2.4.0.3 Plankton Community	58
9.2.4.0.4 Nekton Community	59
9.2.4.0.5 Benthic-Pelagic Interactions	59

Table of Contents

		<u>Page</u>
9.2.4	Aquatic Ecology - Coastal- Nearshore Marine (<i>con't</i>)	
9.2.4.1	Research	60
9.2.4.1.1	Nutrients	60
9.2.4.1.2	Phytoplankton Production	64
9.2.4.1.3	Macroalgal Production	66
9.2.4.1.4	Benthic Community	66
9.2.4.1.5	Phytoplankton and Zooplankton Community	72
9.2.4.1.6	Fish	74
9.2.4.1.7	Marine Mammals	75
9.2.4.1.8	Waterbirds-Summer	79
9.2.4.1.9	Waterbirds-Winter	79
9.2.4.1.10	Waterbird Migration	85
9.2.4.1.11	Waterbird Species at Risk	86
9.2.4.1.12	Other Waterbirds	89
9.2.4.1.13	Invasive Species	89
9.2.4.2	Analysis	89
9.2.4.2.1	Benthic Community	90
9.2.4.2.2	Plankton	90
9.2.4.2.3	Fish	90
9.2.4.2.4	Marine Mammals	91
9.2.4.2.5	Waterbirds	91
9.2.4.3	Mitigation	94
9.2.4.4	Monitoring	95
9.2.4.5	Impact Statement	96
9.2.5	Fish - Endangered	97
9.2.5.1	Research	97
9.2.5.2	Analysis	98
9.2.5.3	Mitigation	100
9.2.5.4	Monitoring	101
9.2.5.4.1	Water Quality	101
9.2.5.4.2	Blasting	102
9.2.5.5	Impact Statement	102
9.2.6	Fish - Threatened and Special Concern	103
9.2.6.1	Research	103
9.2.6.2	Analysis	104
9.2.6.3	Mitigation	104
9.2.6.4	Monitoring	104
9.2.6.5	Impact Statement	104

Table of Contents

	<u>Page</u>
9.2.7 Waterfowl - Special Concern	105
9.2.7.1 Research	105
9.2.7.2 Analysis	107
9.2.7.3 Mitigation	108
9.2.7.4 Monitoring	108
9.2.7.5 Impact Statement	108
9.2.8 Marine Reptiles - Endangered (leatherback turtle)	109
9.2.8.1 Research	109
9.2.8.2 Analysis	110
9.2.8.3 Mitigation	110
9.2.8.4 Monitoring	110
9.2.8.5 Impact Statement	110
9.2.9 Blasting - Fish Habitat	112
9.2.9.1 Research	113
9.2.9.2 Analysis	113
9.2.9.3 Mitigation	113
9.2.9.4 Monitoring	114
9.2.9.5 Impact Statement	114
9.2.10 Blasting - American Lobster	115
9.2.10.1 Research	115
9.2.10.2 Analysis	116
9.2.10.3 Mitigation	117
9.2.10.4 Monitoring	117
9.2.10.5 Impact Statement	117
9.2.11 Blasting - Marine Mammals	118
9.2.11.1 Research	118
9.2.11.1.1 North Atlantic Right Whale	118
9.2.11.1.2 Fin Whale	120
9.2.11.1.3 Blue Whale	120
9.2.11.1.4 Harbour Porpoise	120
9.2.11.2 Analysis	121
9.2.11.3 Mitigation	123
9.2.11.4 Monitoring	124
9.2.11.5 I Impact Statement	124

Table of Contents

	<i>Page</i>
9.2.12 Blasting - Waterbirds	126
9.2.12.1 Research	126
9.2.12.2 Analysis	126
9.2.12.3 Mitigation	127
9.2.12.4 Monitoring	127
9.2.12.5 Impact Statement	127
9.2.13 Ship Interactions - North Atlantic Right Whale	128
9.2.13.1 Research	128
9.2.13.2 Analysis	130
9.2.13.3 Mitigation	132
9.2.13.4 Monitoring	133
9.2.13.5 Impact Statement	133
9.2.14 Ballast Water	134
9.2.14.1 Research	134
9.2.14.2 Analysis	135
9.2.14.3 Mitigation	136
9.2.14.4 Monitoring	136
9.2.14.5 Impact Statement	136
9.2.15 Noise and Vibration - Marine	137
9.2.15.1 Research	137
9.2.15.2 Analysis	137
9.2.15.3 Mitigation	137
9.2.15.4 Monitoring	137
9.2.15.5 Impact Statement	137

Table of Contents

Page

List of Figures

Figure 9	Coastal Vegetation Community	53
Figure 10	Coastal Observations Marine Mammals and Waterbirds	78

List of Maps

Map 24	North Atlantic Right Whale Critical Habitats/ Conservation Area	9
Map 26	Atlantic Salmon Rivers of the iBoF	10
Map 15	Digby Neck Important Freshwater Wetlands	11
Map 18A	Terrestrial Ecology-Vegetative Cover and Faunal Surveys	12
Map 18B	Terrestrial Ecology-Vegetative Cover and Faunal Surveys	19
Map 19A	Aquatic Ecology-Fresh Water	
Map 19B	Aquatic Ecology-Marine	51
Map 20	Bay of Fundy Nutrient Sample Sites	61
Map 16	Oceanography Surveys	73
Map 21	Marine Mammal and Seabird Observation	76
Map 22	Harlequin Duck Wintering Areas and NSDNR Waterfowl Survey Blocks	81
Map 26	Atlantic Salmon rivers of the iBoF	99
Map 23	Leatherback Turtles	111
Map 25	Density-Right Whales	119
Map 31	Blast Monitoring and Observation Area	125
Map 24	North Atlantic Right Whale Critical Habitats	129

List of Tables

Table TE - 1	Vegetative Cover	16
Table AA	Migratory Status and Timing of Land Bird Species at Risk at Brier Island, Digby County, NS	27
		25
Table NS-1	Nutrient Concentration Data	62
Table NS-2	Chemical and Nutrient Data	64
Table NS-3	Phytoplankton Production	64
Table NS-4	Phytoplankton Chlorophyll a Values- DFO	65

Table of Contents

		<i><u>Page</u></i>
Table GS-2002	Geophysical Survey	68
Table GS-2005	Macrofauna and Macroflora	70
Table NS-5	Phytoplankton Chlorophyll a Concentrations-Brylinsky	72
Table BB	Waterbird Species Recorded at Digby Neck	83
Table CC	Number of Waterbirds Observed at Whites Point	84

9.2 Biological Environment and Impact Analysis

9.2.0 Introduction

9.2.0.1 Species at Risk

Four priority lists of wild species were consulted in the preparation of species at risk lists for this EIS. These priority lists and the species status designations incorporated in this species at risk list are;

- 1 Species listed under the Federal Species at Risk Act (SARA 2003) as Endangered, Threatened or of Special Concern
- 2 Species listed by the Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada (COSEWIC) as Endangered, Threatened or of Special Concern
- 3 Species listed under the Nova Scotia Endangered Species Act (NS ESA 1999) as Endangered, Threatened or Vulnerable
- 4 Species designated under the Nova Scotia General Status Ranks of Wild Species (NS GSR) as status red or yellow.

As specified in the Guidelines (9.2.1 Species at Risk), while all of the species appropriately designated in the four priority lists indicated above are considered to be at risk, only those identified on the SARA and COSEWIC priority lists are treated individually as Valued Environmental Components (VEC's).

Using these priority lists, a Province of Nova Scotia species at risk list was compiled for the following taxonomic groups (see Appendix 39):

- Vascular plants, mosses and lichens
- Land mammals, amphibians, and reptiles
- Birds (land, water)
- Marine mammals and reptiles, fish, and mollusks
- Butterflies, damselflies, and dragonflies.

By applying information concerning distribution and habitat use obtained from the scientific literature, databases maintained by the Atlantic Canada Conservation Data Centre (ACDC), the Nova Scotia Museum of Natural History, and NSDNR (Significant Species and Habitat database), and consultation with scientists and naturalists, to the list derived for the Province of Nova Scotia, species at risk that might be found on or adjacent the Whites Point property were identified (see Appendix 39) for qualitative probabilities of

Nova Scotia species at risk occurring on or adjacent the Whites Point property). Ranges, occurrences, and habitat requirements for the priority species identified are described in greater detail in the Terrestrial Ecology and Aquatic Ecology sections of this Environmental Impact Statement.

It should be noted that a number of the field investigations commissioned by Bilcon of Nova Scotia Corporation were completed prior to the establishment of standards and guidelines by NSDNR (November 2004) or the Review Panel (March 2005). Some species at risk lists used in these investigations were derived in whole or in part from the sub-national (Nova Scotia) rankings assigned in ACCDC's priority list. Species considered at risk were those that were classified under this system as S1 (extremely rare), S2 (rare) or S3 (uncommon). The ACCDC rankings tend to identify more species as being at risk than the four priority lists referred to above. While ACCDC rankings are referred to in the supporting documentation, and even on some occasions within this document, the identification of species at risk in this EIS is based on the four priority lists indicated above.

Definitions for the various risk categories for each of these four priority lists used in this document for identifying species at risk can be found in Appendix 39. Definitions for the risk categories for the ACCDC rankings can be found in attached reports (see Alliston 2004a ad Newell 2002).

Critical habitat has been identified whenever possible for priority species at risk such as the North Atlantic right whale (*Eubalaena glacialis*) – see **Map 24** , inner Bay of Fundy salmon (*Salmo salar*) – see **Map 26** , eastern mountain avens (*Geum peckii*) and golden crest (*Lophiola aurea*) – see **Map 15** glaucous rattlesnake-root (*Prenanthes racemosa*) – see **Map 18A**, occurring at or adjacent to the Whites Point Quarry and Marine Terminal site. More detailed information is contained in specific sections of this EIS and the accompanying reference documents.

Sites of ecological significance in the vicinity of the project on Digby Neck include the south portion of the Little River watershed –see **Map 15** A provincial picnic park is located at Lake Midway – see **Map 6A**. No federal, provincial, or municipal protected/conservation areas (national migratory bird sanctuaries, wildlife management areas, provincial wilderness areas), or municipal water supply areas are known to exist in the vicinity of the project on Digby Neck.

9.2.0.2 Invasive Species

The introduction and spread of invasive alien species (IAS) has and continues to affect the economy, environment, and society, including human health. Alien invasive species can be defined as “species of plants, animals, and micro-organisms introduced by human



Whites Point Quarry Little River, Digby County Nova Scotia


Environmental Assessment/ Impact Statement

Produced for: Bilcon of Nova Scotia Corporation



Atlantic Salmon Rivers of the Inner Bay of Fundy

Map 26




Graphic Design by Mark Pease, Bear River, N.S.




Legend

Important Wetland	Score
 Freshwater	70 - 79.5
 Freshwater	65 - 69.5

Source of Wetland Information:
Nova Scotia Dept. of Natural Resources
- Wetland Atlas

-  Endangered Plant Location
-  Threatened Plant Location
-  Whites Point Quarry

Scale - 1:50,000



Bay of Fundy

Burns Point
Sandy Cove

Sandy Cove

Crowells
Cove

Cordys
Cove

West Mink
Cove

Mink Cove

Mink
Cove

217

Whites
Cove

Tommys
Beach

Little River

Little River
Cove

St. Mary's Bay

Tiddville

Whale
Cove

Whites Point Quarry
Little River, Digby County
Nova Scotia

**Environmental Assessment/
Impact Statement**

Produced for: Bilcon of Nova Scotia Corporation

Digby Neck
Important Freshwater Wetlands
Map 15

Graphic Design by Mark Pease, Bear River, N.S.



Magnetic North
Nord Magnétique

Boars Head

Harris
Lake

Tiverton

East Ferry

Whites Point Quarry Little River, Digby County Nova Scotia

Environmental Assessment/ Impact Statement


- Legend**
- Highway 217
 - Gravel Road
 - Property Line
 - Stand Number
 - Clear Cut
 - Woodland Plant Transsects - 2002
 - Coastal Plant Transsects - 2002
 - Bog wetland
 - Rock Outcrop Plant Transsects - 2005

Source of Information:
 Newell, Ruth E. B.Sc., M.Sc. "Plant Survey at Whites Cove Property, Digby Neck, Digby County, Nova Scotia" July 2002
 Department of Natural Resources - Forest Cover Type map May 1993
 Beylinsky & Kern - On-site investigations July 14, 2005
 Department of Natural Resources - Wetlands Data Base 2005
 Proulx, Gini - On-site investigations November 9, 2005

Terrestrial Ecology Vegetative Cover & Plant Surveys

Map 18A

Graphic Design by Mark Pines, Peter Bisset, N.S.



action outside their natural past, and present distribution” (MacNeil 2004 Ref.139). Next to loss of habitat, invasive species pose the greatest threat to biodiversity. Many pathways for introduction have been identified for terrestrial and aquatic systems including ballast water, recreational boating, the aquarium trade, animal traffic and various other import items to name a few. Environment Canada is the lead agency for the development of a national plan to address IAS threats. This plan will use a hierarchy approach that prioritizes prevention of new species invasions, early detection of new invaders, rapid response and management of established and spreading IAS through containment, eradication, and control.

A significant aspect of Bilcon of Nova Scotia Corporation’s baseline data collection was to identify any existing invasive species on or adjacent to the Whites Point quarry site. Invasive species lists such as the “Global Invasive Species Database” for Canada (Ref. 233), the “Canadian Botanical Conservation Network” (Ref.234), “Invasivespecies.gov” (Ref. 235) and the “Marine Invader Database” (Ref. 236) were consulted. Several invasive species already exist at the Whites Point site in both terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems. As a precautionary measure, phytoplankton and zooplankton samples and marine sediment samples have been taken and undergone laboratory analysis. This includes identification of organisms within the marine environment. These background data samples are in a permanent archival collection. Baseline data regarding invasive species in terrestrial and aquatic environments at Whites Point will be discussed in the relevant environmental component sections of this EIS.

Bilcon of Nova Scotia Corporation intends to work with Environment Canada in addressing IAS threats at the Whites Point Quarry and Marine Terminal site. This will include the implementation of a monitoring program for the early detection of invasive species in terrestrial and aquatic environments.

9.2.1 Terrestrial Ecology



Photo by Ruth Newell

Introduction

The Whites Point Quarry property lies within the North Mountain Basalt Ridge Natural Landscape, one of 80 landscape types found in Nova Scotia as defined by the Nova Scotia Department of Environment and Labour (NSDEL). This landscape extends for approximately 250 km along the Bay of Fundy from Cape Split in the east to Brier Island in the west. The underlying geology and soils of this area have been described in a previous section of this document.

Unlike the domed basalt ridge that forms the North Mountain north of Gullivers Cove, along Digby Neck lava flows have formed twin ridges with a central valley. Within the central valley are freshwater wetlands and long streams connecting lakes and ponds. Coastal wetlands are rare.

The narrow Digby Neck peninsula is more exposed to marine influences than other portions of this landscape. As a result, coastal spruce-fir forests dominate, even in the somewhat protected central valley. Unlike the more northerly portions of this landscape, there are no pure hardwood stands found on Digby Neck.

The 154 ha (380 acre) parcel of land for the proposed Whites Point quarry is located on Digby Neck in Digby County just north of the community of Little River. The western boundary of the property extends approximately 3.1 km (1.9 mi.) along the Bay of Fundy shoreline.

Forests and the habitats they provide are typical of the area and of coastal forests of the North Mountain Basalt Ridge Natural Landscape. The property is almost entirely forested, dominated by coniferous species, with the exception of two coastal barrens south of Whites Cove and a boggy marsh north of the Cove – see **Map 18A**.

A significant proportion of the coniferous species, (particularly white spruce (*Picea glauca*), is diseased, dead or dying. Approximately sixty, (60) acres of forest on the site was recently clear - cut, primarily on top of the ridge along the east property line. Infestation of spruce, primarily white spruce, by the spruce beetle (*Dendroctonus rufipennis*) has prompted clear cutting of significant acreage of softwoods on nearby areas of Digby Neck. Low quality round wood harvested from the property was observed piled along Whites Cove Road in the spring of 2002, indicating the unhealthy condition of the forest resource.

9.2.1.1 Research

9.2.1.1.1 Terrestrial Habitats

As indicated on **Map 18A** and described in the accompanying table, the vegetative cover is predominately softwood. The majority of the site slopes to the Bay of Fundy and is exposed to the north - west winds from the Bay. Thus, much of the vegetation exhibits the textbook “Krumholtz” effect. Data for the thirty-four stands of tree cover identified indicates the predominance of a softwood overstory. Specific stand composition is presented in **Table TE – 1**. It should be noted that the stand composition and age is based on 1993 data and areas designated as cleared are now in a stage of early succession. This is typical of the abandoned 2.4 ha (6 acre) pit just east of Whites Cove, and areas on top of the ridge along the east property line, which historically has been used as a dump.

Table TE - 1 - Vegetative Cover

Stand #	Species and composition	Age (1993)
1.	S-40%, IH-30%, TL-20%, OS-10%	7
2.	WS-100%	999
3.	WS-40%, S-30%, IH-20%, OS-10%	43
4.	WS-90%, OS-10%	39
5.	WS-80%, OS-10%, OH-10%	35
6.	WS-100%	39
7.	WS-80%, OS-10%, OH-10%	35
8.	WS-80%, OS-10%, OH-10%	35
9.	WS-100%	999
10.	S-80%, OS-20%	12
11.	WS-90%, OS-10%	20
12.	WS-90%, OS-10%	39
13.	WS-80%, OS-10%, OH-10%	43
14.	WS-80%, OS-10%, OH-10%	999
15.	WS-90%, OS-10%	39
16.	WS-80%, OS-10%, OH-10%	45
17.	WS-90%, OS-10%	39
18.	WS-40%, F-20%, IH-20%, TH-20%	39
19.	WS-60%, OH-20%, OS-20%	999
20.	WS-90%, OS-10%	20
21.	WS-40%, F-30%, IH-20%, OH-10%	35
22.	S-40%, F-40%, OS-10%, OH-10%	35
23.	IH-40%, WS-40%, F-20%	43
24.	WS-80%, OS-10%, OH-10%	48
25.	WS-50%, F-30%, OH-20%	999
26.	WS-40%, S-40%, OH-20%	35
27.	S-60%, OS-20%, IH-20%	16
28.	WS-60%, OS-20%, OH-20%	48
29.	WS-80%, OS-10%, OH-10%	35
30.	WS-40%, IH-30%, OS-20%, OH-10%	999
31.	WS-70%, F-10%, OS-10%, IH-10%	43
32.	WS-60%, S-20%, F-10%, OH-10%	48
33.	S-40%, TL-20%, F-20%, OH-20%	43
34.	WS-70%, F-10%, OS-10%, IH-10%	31
C.	Cleared Land	

Legend

WS – White Spruce	TL - Larch
S - Red and Black Spruce	TH – Tolerant Hardwood (Sugar Maple, Yellow Birch, Beech, Oak)
OS – Other Softwood	IH – Intolerant Hardwood (Red Maple, White Birch)
F - Fir	OH – Other Hardwood

9.2.1.1.2 Wetlands

Natural Resources Canada's "Atlas of Canada – Wetlands" maps wetland regions on a national scale based on the percentage of wetland area occurring across Canada. The North Mountain Basalt Ridge, from Brier Island to Blomidon, all falls within the lowest category of less than 5% of this land area considered wetlands.

Review of the Department of Natural Resources Restricted and Limited Use Land Database Themes revealed the Whites Point site did not fall in any of the theme categories.

Review of the Nova Scotia Department of Natural Resources 1991 Wetlands Atlas – Map 21B – 08 indicates no unique or especially important freshwater wetlands and coastal wildlife habitats are located in or near the Whites Point site. More specifically, no freshwater or marine wetlands, migratory bird habitats, piping plover nesting habitats or bald eagle habitat are indicated within or near the site. Also, no hemispheric shorebird reserve, Nova Scotia Wildlife Sanctuary, Nova Scotia Wildlife Management Area or barrier beach ponds or marshes are found within or near the Whites Point site.

Further review of the Nova Scotia Department of Natural Resources – Wetlands Data Base around the quarry site, identified terrestrial wetlands – see **Map 18B** . These wetlands are classified as bogs. One bog wetland (80% treed, 20% shrub) borders the east property line of the quarry site. A portion of this bog, approximately 0.1 ha (0.3 acres), lies within the quarry property.

On-site investigations identified additional wetlands to those mentioned above. An approximately 1.5 ha (3.7 acres) freshwater wetland is located on the coast approximately 0.6 km (0.4 mi.) northeast of Whites Cove. This area is described by Newell (2002) as a "cinnamon fern/shrub/red maple wetland with a mix of both bog and marsh plant species". The wetland contains only a few small areas with shallow standing water.

Also, on-site review of two potential wetland areas noted as Odonata Survey location - 2005, located in the southeast corner of the quarry property – see **Map 18B** was conducted by David W. Kern B.Sc. and Michael Brylinsky Ph.D. on July 14, 2005. Based on 2001 aerial photo review, both of these wetland areas were open water. On-site investigation in July 2005 revealed a lush growth of cattails (*Typha sp.*) growing in the northerly portion. This area of cattails comprises approximately 0.06 ha (0.15 acres). The southerly portion, approximately 0.07 ha (0.17 acres) remains shallow (less than 30 cm (1 ft.) deep over a hard substrate) open water. Both of these wetland areas appear to be a result of excavations carried out to construct a nearby roadway and may thus be remnant borrow pits.

9.2.1.1.3 Flora and Fauna

Review of databases maintained by the Nova Scotia Museum, the Atlantic Canada Conservation Data Centre (ACCDC) and the Nova Scotia Department of Natural Resources (Significant Species and Habitat database) and historic records from the literature, provided little information from the Whites Point site but did provide some information concerning species at risk in similar adjacent areas. To fill in these information gaps, beginning in 2002, Bilcon of Nova Scotia Corporation contracted a series of site specific flora and fauna investigations.

During the spring/summer of 2002, a botanical survey and a vertebrate faunal analysis of the site were conducted by Ruth E. Newell, B.Sc. (Hons.), M. Sc. and by W. George Alliston, Ph.D., respectively. In the summer of 2004, a replication of the breeding bird survey portion of the 2002 study was conducted by Dr. Alliston. In the summer of 2005, site surveys were conducted for species at risk from two Orders of insects; the Odonates (damselflies and dragonflies) and Lepidoptera (butterflies and moths). Mr. Paul M. Brunelle conducted the Odonate survey and Dr. Kenneth A. Neil the Lepidoptera survey. The following reports, which are included in this submission, provide the detailed findings of these investigations:

Flora:

Newell, Ruth E., "Plant Survey at White's Cove Property, Digby Neck, Digby County, Nova Scotia", July, 2002 (**Ref. Vol. I, Tab 6**).

Fauna: Vertebrates

Alliston, W. George, "Faunal Analysis of the Proposed White's Point Quarry Site Digby Neck, Digby County, Nova Scotia," January 2004 (**Ref. Vol. I, Tab 1**)

Alliston, W. George, "2004 Breeding Bird Surveys of the Proposed Whites Point Quarry Site, Digby Neck, Digby County, Nova Scotia; A Supplemental Report", December 2004 (**Ref. Vol. I, Tab 1**)

Fauna: Invertebrates (Insects)

Brunelle, Paul M., "Odonata Survey 2005: (Damselflies and Dragonflies) Whites Point Property, Digby County, Nova Scotia" August 2005 (**Ref. Vol. I, Tab 4**)

Neil, Kenneth A., "Adult Butterfly Habitat and Larval Host Plant Survey of Whites Point, Digby Co., N.S.", August 2005 (**Ref. Vol. I, Tab 5**)

Whites Point Quarry Little River, Digby County Nova Scotia


Environmental Assessment/ Impact Statement

- Legend**
- 4 Hectare Quarry
 - Highway 217
 - Gravel Road
 - Property Line
 - Stand Number
 - Clear Cut
 - Coastal Faunal Survey - 2002
 - Breeding Bird Survey - 2002 & 2004
 - Nocturnal Bird Survey - 2002 & 2004
 - Breeding Bird Survey - 2004
 - Bog wetland
 - Odonata Survey Location - 2005
 - Lepidoptera Survey, August 2005

Source of Information:
 Allinson, W. George, Ph.D. "Faunal Analysis of the Proposed Whites Point Quarry Site, Digby Neck, Digby County, Nova Scotia", August 2002
 "2004 Breeding Bird Surveys of the Proposed Whites Point Quarry Site, Digby Neck, Digby County, Nova Scotia", 6 December 2004
 Department of Natural Resources - Forest Cover Type map May 1993
 Brylinsky & Kern - On-site investigations July 14, 2005
 Department of Natural Resources - Wetlands Data Base 2005
 Brunelle, Paul M. - Odonata Survey 2005
 Neil, Kenneth A. - Lepidoptera Survey 2005
 Produced for: Bilcom of Nova Scotia Corporation

Terrestrial Ecology Vegetative Cover & Faunal Surveys

Map 18B



Map Source:
 Nova Scotia Department of Housing and Municipal Affairs
 Sheet 10 44 4500 66 100
 Primary Reference Grid:
 Nova Scotia 3 M.T.M. Projection

Graphic Design by Mark Pines, Bear River P.S.

Flora

The botanical survey of the Whites Point property was initially conducted over three days, (July 2, 3 and 7, 2002), with two days spent surveying coastal habitats, (headlands, coastal wetlands, rocky shoreline and mountain streams), and one day on forested areas. Nine habitats were investigated: headland at Whites Cove, headlands northeast of Whites Cove, headlands southwest of Whites Cove, coastal marshes/open seepage slopes, rock crevices along lower shoreline, inland boulders and outcrops, coastal “boggy” marsh northeast of Whites Cove, streams, and wooded areas. Plant lists were recorded for each habitat type. Transects in the upland and coastal areas are shown on **Map 18A** .

Floral Species at Risk

The botanical survey documented the occurrence of three species of vascular plants that are at risk. These species have status “blue” or “yellow” under the NS GSR but are not recognized in the three other priority lists used in this document to identify species at risk. These species and their NS GSR colour rankings are:

- 1 Glaucous rattlesnake-root (*Prenanthes racemosa*) – blue
- 2 Mountain sandwort (*Arenaria groenlandica*) – yellow
- 3 Hemlock parsley (*Conioselinum chinensis*) – yellow

All the above species were in coastal habitats. Bilcon of Nova Scotia Corporation, as recommended by Ruth E. Newell, requested confirmation of glaucous rattlesnake-root during August when this plant normally is in flower. On August 18, 2002, the site was revisited by Ms. Newell who observed and photographed flowering specimens, and confirmed the presence of this plant. In addition to the original clump of 15 plants observed on the upper portion of a rocky shoreline in July, another group of 100 – 200 plants was discovered on the lower portion of a nearby headland south of Whites Cove. It is noteworthy that, prior to this discovery, glaucous rattlesnake-root had not been recorded for more than 50 years and was believed to be extirpated in the Province of Nova Scotia.



Glaucous Rattlesnake-root - Photo by Ruth Newell

Small numbers of hemlock parsley plants were also found scattered along the upper portions of the rocky shoreline and the headlands southwest of Whites Cove. About 15 small clumps of mountain sandwort were found high on the shoreline, at the edge of flat terraced basalt outcrops to the northeast of Whites Point.

Two more plant species were recorded which are not designated as being at risk on the priority lists used in the preparation of this document, but are identified on the ACCDC Nova Scotia priority list. These species are bird's-eye primrose (*Primula laurentiana*) and skunk cabbage (*Symplocarpus foetidus*). About 300 bird's-eye primrose were observed at about 10 locations along the coast southwest of Whites Cove in sheltered areas where rocky shoreline and headlands meet. A single specimen of skunk cabbage was found in woodland habitat.

Two more plant species were recorded which are not designated as being at risk on the priority lists used in the preparation of this document, but are identified on the ACCDC Nova Scotia priority list. These species are bird's-eye primrose (*Primula laurentiana*) and skunk cabbage (*Symplocarpus foetidus*). About 300 bird's-eye primrose were observed at about 10 locations along the coast southwest of Whites Cove in sheltered areas where rocky shoreline and headlands meet. A single specimen of skunk cabbage was found in woodland habitat.

At the November 1, 2005 Open House in Sandy Cove, the possibility of rock spike-moss (*Selaginella rupestris*) occurring on the upland of the Whites Point quarry site was raised. This plant had not been recorded in Nova Scotia for over 50 years (NS GSR - blue) until recently when a population was discovered at Centreville, Digby Neck. Since the Whites Point quarry property contains rock outcrops similar to the site at Centreville where rock spike-moss was found, it was decided to carry out a specific on-site investigation for this species. In this regard, Bilcon of Nova Scotia Corporation contracted Gini Proulx, a local naturalist who discovered this species at Centreville, to do the necessary on-site investigation.

The on-site investigation was conducted by Gini Proulx - see (Proulx, Gini A Report on a Botanical Survey, Bilcon Property, Whites Cove, Little River, Digby County, Nova Scotia, November 2005 **Ref. Vol. I, Tab 7**) specifically for the occurrence of rock spike-moss. The survey routes are shown on **Map 18A**. This intensive one-day site investigation of potential rock outcrop habitats resulted in no findings of rock spike-moss or any additional plant species at risk (personal communication – Gini Proulx).

For a complete listing of floral species at risk in Nova Scotia and probability of occurrence on the Whites Point quarry site – see Appendix 39.

Invasive Flora

Plant lists were compiled for various habitats existing on the Whites Point quarry site (Newell 2002 **Ref. Vol. I, Tab 6**). Review of tree, shrub, vine and herbaceous invasive species lists compiled by the Canadian Botanical Conservation Network indicates invasive plant species presently exist on the site. Two habitats, influenced by past and present anthropogenic activities, contain invasive species. The headland at Whites Cove where past fishing activities, human habitation, sand and gravel extraction and present off highway vehicle (OHV) use is evident, has two invasive species –common St. John’s-wort (*Hypericum perforatum*) and Kentucky bluegrass (*Poa pratensis*). North of Whites Cove along an existing OHV trail two other invasive species – Canada bluegrass (*Poa compressa*) and rugosa rose (*Rosa rugosa*) are present. No invasive species were found in other habitats during the botanical survey of 2002. However, it is likely that invasive species exist as a result of human activity along the Whites Cove Road and could be considered a pathway for introduction. Also, the area along the southeast property line that has been used as a dump could provide habitat for invasive plant species.

Faunal Surveys – Vertebrates

Two faunal surveys for vertebrate species were conducted on the Whites Point site, one in June 2002 and another in June 2004. The first survey in June 2002 was conducted over four days (June 4, 15, 22, 23) and focused on the entire coastline and selected woodland habitats to gather information on herptile, breeding bird, and mammal species present. Survey work included a general reconnaissance of the property, a late evening and night census of nocturnal birds, mammals, and amphibians and an early morning census of diurnal breeding birds using the property. The June 2004 survey was a replication of the breeding bird surveys conducted in 2002 plus a new transect through the four hectare (10 acre) approved quarry site which had the vegetation and overburden removed and a sediment retention pond constructed in 2003. The 2004 survey was conducted over two days (June 21 & 22). During these surveys, Dr. Alliston was assisted by Bernard Forsythe, a well recognized amateur ornithologist and naturalist. Transect locations through representative habitats are shown on **Map 18B** .

The on-site vertebrate faunal analysis recorded five amphibian and two reptilian species, 45 bird species were observed using terrestrial habitats and may have nested on the site including a pair of barred owls which responded to calls during the nocturnal survey, and nine terrestrial mammal species confirmed by either observation or by sign (Alliston, 2004a and 2004b **Ref. Vol. I, Tab 1**).

Reptiles, Amphibians, and Mammals Species at Risk

For a complete listing of faunal species at risk in Nova Scotia and probability of occurrence on or adjacent to the Whites Point site – see Appendix 39, Table TE-2.

Field studies during 2002 and 2004 did not identify any species of terrestrial reptile, amphibian, mammal or breeding bird currently considered at risk in Nova Scotia using the Whites Point property. These studies did recognize that, although none was observed during the nocturnal surveys of 2002 and 2004, two bat species at risk (NS GNR - yellow), the little brown bat (*Myotis lucifugus*) and northern long-eared bat (*Myotis septentrionalis*) might possibly forage on the Whites Point property. Critical habitats for these two species are those required for maternity colonies and hibernation. Maternity colonies are mainly associated with buildings and sometimes with hollow, preferably hardwood, trees. Caves are used for hibernation. There are neither buildings nor caves on the property and the likelihood of mature, hollow hardwood trees appropriate for maternity colonies in the young, conifer dominated forests on this property, is low. It was also recognized that, although no observations were made during the 2002 and 2004 surveys, the Whites Point property could be part of the home range of breeding northern goshawks (*Accipiter gentilis*), a species at risk (COSEWIC – not at risk; NS GNR – yellow), whose home ranges can exceed 2000 ha (5000 acres). Critical habitat for northern goshawks is associated with the nest site which is normally located in a large tract of mature forest having an open understory. Such habitat does not exist on the Whites Point property. Very marginal habitat for nesting Nelson’s sharp-tailed sparrow (*Ammodramus nelsoni*) (COSEWIC – not at risk; NS GSR – yellow) exists in a small coastal “boggy marsh” on the Whites Point property to the north of Whites Cove, although this species was not observed during two years of surveys.

During each of the breeding bird surveys of 2002 and 2004, small numbers of boreal chickadees (*Poecile hudsonica*) were recorded using terrestrial habitats on the proposed quarry site and were believed to nest there. This species is not listed as being at risk in the four priority lists consulted for the preparation of this document. However, the ACCDC ranking for the boreal chickadee is “S3S4” which indicates that under their system it is considered marginally at risk. Nova Scotia is the only province where this species is considered potentially at risk by ACCDC. The boreal chickadee was the only terrestrial vertebrate species on a rather long list that the ACCDC consider at risk, that was found on this property.



Smooth Green Snake - Photo by George Alliston

A smooth green snake (*Liochlorophis vernalis*) was observed at Whites Cove in 2002. This species is not considered at risk in Nova Scotia (status “green”), however, it is on the current (August 4, 2005) COSEWIC candidate list (mid-priority candidate).

A comprehensive list of the birds of nearby Brier Island has been compiled from many years of observations and research (Lavolette 2005, **ref 132**). This list provides information on both the status (year round resident, confirmed or unconfirmed breeder, spring and/or fall migrant, winters, or visitor/vagrant) and relative abundance (common, uncommon, irruptive, rare or exceptional) of the 339 species recorded. This information is presented in Appendix 8.

Species known to breed on Brier Island could be expected at the Whites Point Quarry and Marine Terminal site if suitable habitat exists. A total of 62 species of land birds, including four “rare” and four “exceptional” species have been confirmed as breeding on Brier Island, and another eight species may nest there but nesting has not been confirmed. An additional four seabird species are confirmed breeders on Brier Island and another is suspected but unconfirmed. Of the species of land birds for which nesting has been confirmed, there are only two species at risk; eastern bluebird (*Sialia sialis*) and northern goshawk. A third species at risk, Nelson’s sharp-tailed sparrow, is believed to nest on Brier Island but this has not been confirmed.

The status of the northern goshawk and Nelson’s sharp-tailed sparrow on the Whites Point property have been discussed above. Until the clear cutting of about 60 acres of woodland in 2002 there was no open habitat on the property for eastern bluebirds (COSEWIC – not at risk; NS GSR – yellow) and the clear cut would have produced at best, marginal habitat. No eastern bluebirds were recorded during the 2002 and 2004 surveys of the property. During the period from 1986 to 1990, when research was being conducted for the Atlas of Breeding Birds of the Maritime Provinces (Erskine, 1992), the closest confirmed nesting of eastern bluebirds to that recorded on Brier Island was in the Annapolis Valley some 120 km away.

Migratory Birds

In the 1970's Brier Island was identified as Proposed Ecological Site 59 by the International Biological Program. This identification was due in part to its recognized importance to migrating and wintering birds. Subsequently Brier Island has been designated by Bird Studies Canada as an Important Bird Area and the Island and its surrounding waters are considered Globally Significant for its concentrations of migratory land birds and shorebirds as well as its coastal waters being a year round feeding area for seabirds. Migratory bird research has been ongoing for over 25 years at the Brier Island Bird Migration Research Station. The banding of migratory land birds constitutes a major portion of the work of the Research Station and to date more than 40,000 birds have been banded. These studies indicate that bird species attain their peak numbers at Brier Island during migration (Lavolette 2003 Appendix 8). Spring migration is typically April and May, while fall migration is from August to the end of October although this varies considerably by species. Duration of migration for a given species is usually four to six weeks. The orientation of the Digby Neck peninsula, Long Island, and Brier Island is similar to other land bird concentration points in the U.S. and Canada where the numbers of birds found at the tip (Brier Island) are consistent with those at the base (Digby Neck). In this regard, migration data from Brier Island should be applicable to the Whites Point Quarry site located approximately midway on the Digby Neck peninsula. The use of Digby Neck and Long and Brier Islands by migrating land birds is a very important biological feature in southwest Nova Scotia.

While the numbers of spring migrants are small (Lance Lavolette - personal communication), the fall migration is large with daytime counts of passerines (warblers, sparrows, thrushes, et al.) at Brier Island reaching over 1.4 million per month during September and October. A similar situation is found with raptorial birds; whereas small numbers of raptors are observed during spring migration, their numbers can reach over 10,000 per month during September. The most common raptors are sharp-shinned (*Accipiter striatus*) and broad-winged (*Buteo platypterus*) hawks with American kestrels (*Falco sparverius*), merlins (*Falco columbarius*), and red-tailed hawks (*Buteo jamaicensis*) also occurring in substantial numbers. Least common are ospreys (*Pandion haliaetus*) peaking at 15 per day during late September and early October, northern goshawk at 12 per day in late September and peregrine falcon (*Falco peregrinus*) at 5 to 10 per day in late September and early October. The turkey vulture (*Cathartes aura*) has become more common and peak numbers of 50 per day have occurred in October and continuing at 10 to 20 through the winter. It should be noted that radar studies have shown that many more migrant passerines move at night than in the day so the numbers quoted above are underestimates of total migrants (Ref.132).

A total of 226 species of birds, including 154 species of "land birds", 22 species of waterfowl, 26 species of shorebirds and 25 species of seabirds, have been recorded as "common", "uncommon" or "rare" migrants using Brier Island and its surrounding waters (Ref.132).



Fundy Shorebirds - Photo by Glen Parsons

Migratory Land Bird Species at Risk

A total of 36 migrant bird species at risk have been documented at and around Brier Island. Twenty-three of these are “land bird” species (waders are included in this group) and 13 use marine habitats more or less exclusively during migration and are included in sections dealing with nearshore marine areas (below). The “land bird” species at risk that have been documented as migrants or vagrants on Brier Island, their relative abundance and the timing of their peak migration periods are presented in Table AA.

Table AA. Migratory Status and Timing of Land Bird Species at Risk at Brier Island, Digby County, Nova Scotia ⁰

	Migratory Status	Main Migration Period Spring Fall	Comments
Least Bittern**	Exceptional (1)	?	Sept.
Snowy Egret	Rare	Apr. - May *	Sept. - Nov.*
Black-crowned Night Heron	Uncommon	Apr.*	Sept. - Oct.*
Northern Goshawk	Uncommon	Feb. - Mar.*	Sept. - Oct.
Red-shouldered Hawk**	Uncommon	May	Sept. - Dec.
Peregrine Falcon**	Common	?	Sept. - Oct.
Long-eared Owl	Uncommon	Mar. - May	Oct. - Nov.*
Short-eared Owl**	Uncommon	May * Oct.*	Sept. through winter
Acadian Flycatcher**	Exceptional (3)	Apr. - June *	Aug. - Nov.*
Loggerhead Shrike**	Exceptional (5)	Apr. - May *	Aug. - Sept.*
Purple Martin	Rare	Mar. - Apr.*	Sept. - Oct.*
Eastern Bluebird	Uncommon	-	Sept.
Bicknell's Thrush**	Exceptional (1)	May	Sept.
Cerulean Warbler**	Exceptional (2)	Apr. - May	Aug.
Prothonotary Warbler**	Exceptional (2)	-	Aug. - Sept.
Louisiana Waterthrush**	Exceptional (2)	Apr. - May	Aug. - Sept.
Hooded Warbler**	Exceptional (4)	-	Sept. - Oct.
Yellow-breasted Chat**	Irruptive	Apr. - May *	Sept. - Oct.*
Vesper Sparrow	Uncommon	Apr. - May	Nov.
Ipswich Savannah Sparrow**	Rare	June *	Sept. - Oct.*
Nelson's Sharp-tailed Sparrow	Exceptional	May *	Sept. - Oct.*
Bobolink	Common	April * Oct.*	Sept. - Oct.*
Eastern Meadowlark	Uncommon		singles and pairs

Common observed every year, usually in good numbers

Uncommon observed almost every year, usually in low numbers

Irruptive observed some years, sometimes in good numbers

Rare observed some years, usually in low numbers

Exceptional () exceptionally rare vagrant or migrant; number of sightings in ()

** considered at risk by SARA/COSEWIC

* main migration period determined from observations at locations

within Nova Scotia other than Brier Island (Tufts, 1986)

⁰ derived from information compiled by Lance Lavolette (2003 and Nov. 2005)

From Table AA we can see that eight of these species at risk are considered “exceptional” and occur only as vagrants or as very rare migrants, having been recorded on only five or fewer occasions during many years of study. Only two species at risk are considered to be common migrants; peregrine falcon, and bobolink (*Dolichonyx oryzivorus*).

While most land birds that use Brier Island during migration will also pass along Digby Neck, the manner in which they use these two areas is very different. Brier Island would be first landfall for comparatively small numbers of spring migrant land birds. These birds would probably use Brier Island as a staging area where they replenish the energy reserves used in their flight across the Gulf of Maine before continuing their migration along Digby Neck. For the much more numerous fall migrants, Brier Island is where they congregate waiting for weather conditions that are favourable for their crossing of the Gulf of Maine. Migrant birds passing along Digby Neck may briefly forage in favoured habitats along the way but their passage through the area would be quite rapid. For any given location it is more likely that most migrants would fly over the area than forage there, however briefly.

As a general rule, small birds migrate at night and larger birds migrate during the day. Night migrants, particularly those migrating in foggy or inclement conditions, can be attracted to lights; particularly white lights that remain on continuously. Most migratory movements occur at altitudes below 1000 m and most small birds migrate at altitudes of from 150 to 300 m (Lincoln et al., 1998).

Migratory Mammals

It has been suggested that migratory mammals, specifically migratory bat species, might use the Digby Neck, Long Island, Brier Island migration route in much the same manner as birds (Davis and Brown, 1997, Ref.214). A study of spring and fall bat migration was conducted on Bon Portage Island, Yarmouth County, and Brier Island, in 2001 (Broders et al., 2003). Of the three migratory bat species that might breed in Nova Scotia; (silver-haired bat – *Lasionycteris noctivagans*; red bat – *Lasiurus borealis*; hoary bat – *Lasiurus cinereus*) only two echolocation sequences of the hoary bat were recorded at Brier Island in September and the other two species were not recorded. Broders et al. (2003) concluded that “no significant bat migration occurred in Nova Scotia in 2001, and unless distributional range has changed in recent years, we suggest that previous records of these species in Nova Scotia are extralimital.” Based on this study, we would expect no movement of migratory bat species through the Whites Point property.

Insects

Investigations of two Orders of insects, Odonata (damselflies and dragonflies) and Lepidoptera (butterflies), were conducted for possible occurrence of species at risk on the Whites Point site. For a complete listing of butterfly, damselfly, and dragonfly species at risk and their probability of occurrence on or adjacent to the Whites Point site – see Appendix 39, Table TE-4.

Odonata

An initial screening of databases maintained by the Nova Scotia Museum, the Atlantic Canada Conservation Data Centre (ACCDC) and NSDNR revealed no records of Odonata species at risk at or near the quarry site. Further to these database searches, Bilcon of Nova Scotia Corporation contracted a survey of Odonata on the Whites Point site. This survey was conducted by Paul M. Brunelle on August 6 & 7 2005; see Brunelle, Paul M. "Odonata Survey 2005: (Damselflies and Dragonflies) Whites Point Property, Digby County Nova Scotia". (Brunelle 2005, **Ref. Vol. I, Tab 4**). This survey sampled damselflies and dragonflies in freshwater habitats as shown on **Map 18B**. A total of eight habitats were investigated including three natural sites (two intermittent streams and a coastal "boggy marsh") and five which are apparently man-made or man-influenced. Fifty-one records of twenty-one species were documented. All records and sites were documented according to the Atlantic Dragonfly Inventory Program (ADIP) protocols. No exuviae or larvae were encountered. The principal Odonata diversity observed was associated with the five man-made and man-influenced habitats.

Of the 13 Odonate species considered at risk in Nova Scotia, none was recorded during this survey. However, the timing of the survey was not appropriate for all species and potential habitat for five species at risk was identified on the Whites Point property. Mr. Brunelle has indicated that one species identified during this survey, sweetflag spreadwing (*Lestes forcipatus*), which is not currently considered at risk, may be of some conservation concern due to past confusion of this species with the morphologically similar and common northern spreadwing (*Lestes disjunctus*). A sweetflag spreadwing was found at a man-made pond ("Chara Pond") near the southeastern boundary of the property (see **Map 18B**). One species, that is not identified as being at risk on the priority lists used for the preparation of this document, the Canada darner (*Aeshna canadensis*), is presently ranked S3 by the ACCDC and was recorded on the site.

In his report, Paul Brunelle has assigned colour rankings of "red" and "yellow" to an additional ten species whose status is currently considered as "Undetermined" by NSDNR. Aquatic habitats appropriate to three of these ten species have been identified on the Whites Point property.

Lepidoptera

Initial screening of the Heritage Division of the Nova Scotia Museum, the ACCDC and the NSDNR databases identified two at risk Lepidoptera species reported from habitats near the Whites Point quarry site. These species are the monarch butterfly (*Danaus plexippus*) and the satyr comma (anglewing) (*Polygonia satyrus*). Both species are listed as "yellow" in the NS GSR. It should be noted that the monarch is also listed by COSEWIC as of "Special Concern".

Further to this screening, Bilcon of Nova Scotia Corporation contracted a survey of Lepidoptera on the Whites Point site. This survey was conducted by entomologist Dr. Kenneth Neil, B.Sc., PhD on August 22, 2005 – see Neil, Kenneth A. “Adult Butterfly Habitat and Larval Host Plant Survey of Whites Point, Digby Co., N.S.” August 2005. (**Ref.Vol. I, Tab 5**). Selected habitats were investigated and adult butterfly specimens were collected, identified, and released. Eight species of butterflies were observed and all species are considered common to Nova Scotia. The area of investigation is shown on **Map 18B**.

Nine species of butterflies were identified as being at risk in Nova Scotia and for three of these; monarch butterfly, satyr comma and hoary comma (*Polygonia gracilis*), there are appropriate habitats in western Nova Scotia. Of these three species the monarch butterfly is migratory, wintering in large aggregations in the highlands of north-central Mexico and breeding throughout temperate North America, north to southern Canada. In Nova Scotia, monarch butterflies are irruptive and one or two years of relative abundance can be followed by several years when they are quite rare. The satyr and hoary commas are resident species that overwinter as adults.

The 2005 study was preliminary and the status at the Whites Point property of these three butterfly species was not established. It was established that gooseberry (*Ribes hirtellum*), the larval host plant for the hoary comma, was present, however, larval host plants were not present for either the monarch (milkweed – *Asclepias sp.*) or satyr comma (nettles – *Urtica sp.*). Woodland areas on the property could support adult satyr and hoary commas. Asters (*Aster sp.*) and goldenrod (*Solidago sp.*), used as a food source by fall migrant monarch butterflies, were present on the Whites Point property. The recently clear cut area along the ridge of the property could provide potential staging habitat for arriving spring migrant monarch butterflies.

Potential habitat for the mustard white (*Pieris oleracea*) was identified on the Whites Point property. The distribution of this once abundant species is now thought to be limited to only a few locations within Nova Scotia. This species is not currently considered at risk on any of the four priority lists used to identify species at risk for this document. However, Bilcon of Nova Scotia Corporation, at the recommendation of Dr. Neil, will be investigating the possible presence of this species on the Whites Point property

The possible use of the Whites Point site by the five species of Odonates and three species of Lepidoptera considered at risk, for which potential habitat is available, will be further investigated during the preconstruction planning phase of the project. During these studies, at the recommendation of the species specialists conducting these studies, information will also be collected on at least one additional damselfly species (sweetflag spreadwing) and one butterfly species (mustard white), neither of which are currently listed as being at risk on any of the four priority lists used in the compilation of species at risk lists for this document.

Invasive Species

During the terrestrial fauna analysis, various habitats were investigated for mammals, amphibians, reptiles, breeding birds, damselflies, dragonflies, and butterflies at the Whites Point quarry site (Alliston 2004a and 2004b, Brunelle 2005, and Neil 2005). Additionally, research conducted at Brier Island provided a list of birds that includes those that are resident to Brier Island and migrant through the Digby Neck peninsula. Review of the Global Invasive Species Database for Canada indicates only one terrestrial invasive species was recorded during on-site faunal surveys; the cabbage white (*Pieris rapae*) butterfly. The introduction of this highly competitive European species into North America in the 1860's is believed to be partly responsible for the apparent decline in the closely related North American species, the mustard white.

While not observed at the site, introduced bird species such as the European starling (*Sternus vulgaris*), now probably one of the most numerous bird species of its size in the Maritimes, house sparrow (*Passer domesticus*) and rock pigeon (*Columba livia*) and introduced mammal species such as the Norway rat (*Rattus norvegicus*) and house mouse (*Mus musculus*) are well established in the region. All of these species tend to be closely associated with human habitation. Another more recently introduced bird species, the house finch (*Carpodacus mexicanus*), a species native to western North America, was released in New York City in 1940. Breeding in the Maritimes was first confirmed in 1987 and has been reported on Digby Neck and Brier Island in very small numbers. Like the other invasive bird and mammal species mentioned above, the house finch tends to be associated with human habitation.

9.2.1.2 Analyses

A major focus of the environmental impact assessment process has been the evaluation of the potential for impact of the Whites Point Project on species at risk. On site investigations of terrestrial habitats have identified three plant species at risk, one of which (glaucous rattlesnake-root) was considered extirpated in Nova Scotia until discovered during these investigations. All three of these species are found in coastal habitats; rocky shoreline and headlands.

Investigations of vertebrate species, conducted over two summers, identified no amphibian, reptile, mammal or breeding bird species at risk using the property. It was recognized that while two mammal species at risk, little brown bat and northern long-eared bat might visit the property during their nocturnal foraging, critical habitats used for hibernation (caves) and maternity colonies (buildings; mature, hollow hardwood trees) are not present on the property. It is possible that all or part of the Whites Point property could be included in the very large home ranges of another species at risk, the northern goshawk, however, critical nesting habitat for this species, which consists of large areas of mature forest with an open understory, does not exist on the property.

While summer resident vertebrate species using the property consisted of common species, characteristic of the North Mountain Basalt Ridge Natural Landscape, Digby Neck is an important thoroughfare for many species of migrating land birds, mainly during the fall migration period. Annual studies conducted at Brier Island for more than a quarter of a century provide excellent information on the species, timing and relative abundance of fall migrants that follow a route through Digby Neck and Long Island to congregate on Brier Island prior to their flight across the Gulf of Maine. A total of 154 migrant land bird species, including 23 species at risk (13 recognized by SARA/COSEWIC), have been recorded at Brier Island over the years and while eight of these species at risk have been recorded on five or less occasions, and most are considered “rare” or “uncommon”, most of these birds would have migrated along the Digby Neck. Most migrant land birds would simply fly over the Whites Point property, however, some would land and forage in favoured habitats for short periods while en route. The greatest potential for migrant birds, including species at risk, to interact with the project is with night migrants that, particularly in foggy or inclement weather can be attracted to lighted structures, particularly towers (Evans-Ogden, 1996). Death or injury could result from collision with these structures or the birds could become exhausted from circling these structures (Larkin and Frase, 1988).

Preliminary studies of species at risk from two Orders of Insects, the Odonates (damselflies and dragonflies) and Lepidoptera (butterflies and moths) have not identified any species at risk on the Whites Point property. Potential habitat for five Odonate species at risk has been identified. Larval host plants for one butterfly species at risk, the hoary comma, is present on the property, however, the larval host plants for two other butterfly species at risk (monarch butterfly and satyr comma) that might be found on the property, are not present. Adult forms of all three species might be found on the property including migrating monarch butterflies. Further investigations of Odonate and Lepidopteran species at risk will be conducted during the preconstruction planning stage of the project.

Industrial activities such as the proposed quarry operation will have short and long term effects on the site’s terrestrial ecology. The quarrying of rock will permanently alter the existing site’s topography, and in the short term, remove habitat and displace wildlife from active areas of the quarry and the lands immediately adjacent to the active areas. In the long term, however, with the successful implementation of a reclamation program in the disturbed areas, the protection of the rarest species and habitats currently found on the site, and the introduction of new habitats, the biological diversity of the site could be enhanced.

Existing forest resources on-site are generally in serious decline. Forests in this area have been harvested since European settlement and these conifer dominated woodlands have recently experienced an epidemic infestation of spruce beetle. Forests in this area tend to be somewhat lacking in diversity.

The proposed land reclamation will increase habitat diversity with the creation of additional coastal wetlands, a habitat that is rare in the North Mountain Basalt Ridge Natural Landscape, and fields. In addition, the existing, more unique and diverse habitats on the site, are to be part of an environmental preservation zone that will be protected throughout the life of the project. These areas include the majority of the coastline, wetlands, and the two prominent headlands which, together, support one uncommon and all three plant species at risk found on the property including glaucous rattlesnake-root that, prior to Bilcon of Nova Scotia Corporation's botanical site survey, was believed to be extirpated within Nova Scotia. The preservation zone also includes some potential marginal nesting habitat for one bird species at risk, Nelson's sharp-tailed sparrow, and potential nesting habitat for the rusty blackbird (*Euphagus carolinus*), a species considered at risk by ACCDC, although neither species has been recorded using this habitat. The reforestation of parts of the property with native tree species, the control of invasive plant species, along with natural regeneration and succession should, over time, return those areas that have not been converted to wetlands, to the conifer dominated forests preferred by such species as the boreal chickadee. Even the rock faces resulting from quarrying may offer habitat for certain species not presently found on the site.



Abandoned Quarry With Natural Regeneration - Photo by David W. Kern

The proposed operating procedure of incremental reclamation of quarried portions of the site will enhance stabilization and initiate habitat reclamation during the life of the project, rather than waiting until quarrying is completed. Also, the operating procedure will preserve portions of the site in its existing natural condition for many years. For example, the land area lying in the Little River watershed will be preserved for the 50 year life of the project.

The land containing the quarry infrastructure is approximately 11 ha (27 acres). Buildings, crushers, work area, load out facilities, stockpile areas, sedimentation ponds and roads will permanently alter the terrestrial ecology of this portion of the 154 ha (380 acre) site. However, much of this infrastructure/work area will be constructed on the previously disturbed pit area or within the 4 ha (10 acre) quarry area. With the active working area of the quarry expected to be 2.4 ha (6 acres) per year, there will be a relatively short term period, approximately five years, before reclamation efforts begin. Land clearing, noise from drilling and blasting, rock crushing and the working face of the quarry will affect local wildlife populations.

Within the boundaries of the property, each year approximately 2.4 ha (6 acres) will be cleared. Any merchantable trees will be harvested, the overburden will be removed, stored, and allowed to decompose naturally for later use in reclamation. In the short term, this process will essentially eliminate wildlife habitat within these areas. Furthermore, noise from the extraction, transportation, and crushing activities could exclude some of the more sensitive species from adjacent, undisturbed habitats and possibly reduce the reproductive success of those that do remain.

The reclamation process will begin after about 12 ha (30 acres) of the quarry has been exhausted (approximately 5 years). Reclamation will involve mixing the decomposed overburden, and sediment from the sediment storage area, spreading this mix over the rock substrate, incorporating lime and fertilizer, and replanting these areas. Native tree species will be planted, primarily along the coast and on the horizontal “steps” of the quarry’s working face, but also in other select areas. Most of the reclaimed areas will be planted in grasses and legumes. The drainage channels and sediment retention ponds will remain, resulting in an increase in the wetland habitat on the property.

Successful reclamation efforts will produce fields and small wetlands which could increase the biodiversity by attracting wildlife species that prefer these habitats; habitats that were previously either scarce or nonexistent on the property. Species that could be attracted to these areas could include species at risk such as the bobolink and possibly the vesper sparrow. Extensive fields could support high mouse populations which could attract predatory birds and mammals; possibly even species at risk such as the long-eared owl.

Assuming this reclamation procedure is successful, and the reclaimed areas are left undisturbed over a long period of time, through normal succession processes, the coastal boreal forest and the wildlife species that inhabit it should become reestablished throughout the reclaimed area.

There is a potential for noise from quarrying operations to affect wildlife in areas adjacent to, but not on, the quarry property. Proposed regulations require that noise from ongoing operations not exceed 65 dBA during the day and 55 dBA at night at the property line. Adjacent properties are forested and, given local topography and the relative low level of noise permitted, noise from operations should rapidly dissipate within the forest and not constitute a significant stressor for most wildlife species using these areas. The 55 dBA permit requirement at night would further minimize the potential effects of noise on nocturnal species using adjacent areas.

Blasting is part of the proposed quarry's operations. Noise from blasting can, by regulations, reach levels of 128 dBA at the property boundary. Noise from blasting would carry for considerable distances into surrounding habitats. Blasting will, however, consist only of a single blast with a duration of less than one second, conducted on a weekly basis during the earliest phase of the project, diminishing to biweekly during later phases. Blasting will take place near midday and generally on clear days. While blasting will elicit startle behaviour in wildlife using habitats adjacent to the quarry property, the infrequent occurrence of such blasts should not be a significant stressor for wildlife using these areas.

The Whites Point property is located within the migration path of large numbers of land birds including as many as 23 species at risk. The Whites Point property contains no natural features that would make it particularly attractive to migrating birds. Although some migrant birds would likely stop and forage briefly on the property, most of those that do encounter the property during migration would simply fly over it. Habitat loss to migrant birds by quarrying activities should not be of any consequence. The major potential for interaction between migrating birds and quarry development would be associated with potential hazards presented by the project, generally in the form of high, night lighted structures.

Large land bird species, including raptor species such as the peregrine falcon, migrate by day and would have no trouble avoiding structures, although guy-lines anchoring tall structures could present a hazard. However, most of the smaller bird species migrate by night and particularly under foggy, or otherwise inclement weather conditions, can be attracted to lighted tall structures. Collision with these structures or supporting guy wires can ensue, resulting in death or injury to the birds, and sometimes birds circle these structures to the point of exhaustion.

The tallest structure proposed for the Whites Point Quarry and Marine Terminal is the ship loader, which extends to a maximum height of 30 m (100 ft.) above the ordinary high water line. This loader is located at the base of a steep incline that raises to a height of close to 90 m (300 ft.) near the eastern boundary of the property. Most night migrant land birds would be flying at heights of 150 m (500 ft.) to 300 m (1000 ft.) above the land (Lincoln et al., 1998) so are unlikely to be in close proximity to the ship loader. The compound area is situated near the highest point on the property, however, no tall communication towers, wind turbines, or tall, continuously night lighted structures are planned for the compound area or indeed any other location on the site. Lighting on the ship loader would only be used when loading a ship at night and will be shaded so that light is directed downward. At most, loading would occur once a week. When not being used, the ship loader would not be lighted thereby greatly reducing the possible collision hazard for night migrating land birds. No structures on the quarry site will require stabilization through the use of guy-wires.

The construction of buildings on the site could attract some vertebrate invasive species. While sanitary conditions at the site will be such that species that are mainly attracted by refuse (e.g. Norway rat) would not be attracted to the area, other species that might find buildings and cleared areas attractive (European starling, house sparrow, rock pigeon) might be attracted to the site. The European starling is a predator of other birds eggs and nestlings and will compete with other hole nesting bird species (e.g. northern flicker) for nest sites. House sparrows also compete with other hole-nesting birds (e.g. chickadees, swallows) for nest sites.

The construction of buildings could attract more than invasive exotic species. Two mammal species at risk which are likely to occur in the area, little brown bat and northern long-eared bat, are attracted to buildings for roosting and for a critical part of their life history, the rearing of young, which is done in maternity colonies located, by preference, within buildings.

In reality, many “non-industrial” development projects do not require permits or are not required to undergo the formal environmental assessment process. Some may have adverse impacts on the terrestrial ecology, especially plants. Examples of such projects occurring provincially and on Digby Neck include:

- Land clearing for agricultural purposes
- Forestry operations including clear cutting
- Recreation/tourism development projects including campgrounds and golf courses
- Waterfront housing/cottage development
- The indiscriminate recreational use of all terrain vehicles

The use of off highway vehicles (OHV's) on the site presently poses a problem for a clump of Mountain Sandwort which was found on an OHV trail. Also, extensive clear cutting of large tracts has recently altered the terrestrial ecology on Digby Neck. Land development for housing with preferred waterfront locations result in potential destruction of rare plant habitat, especially the preferred habitat of coastal rare plants as found on the quarry site. Subdivision of land is spreading along Digby Neck as evidenced in Culloden, and nearby Crowells Cove and Whale Cove. This development is occurring in areas of potential rare plant habitat and without the requirements of environmental assessment.

9.2.1.3 Mitigation

The terrestrial environmental assessment for the Whites Point quarry has verified the existence of three species of plants that are considered at risk, on the property, including one species that, until these studies, was thought to have been extirpated in Nova Scotia. All three of these species are found in coastal habitats. Without the quarry project, the possibility of a “non-industrial” development for this waterfront land could happen in the future. Thus, the quarry project offers the opportunity to protect the coastal habitat that supports these species at risk as part of the quarry’s proposed environmental preservation zone along the coastline of this section of the Bay of Fundy.

Bilcon of Nova Scotia Corporation proposes a minimum 30m (100 ft.) environmental preservation zone, extending from the mean high water mark, inland along the 3 km (1.9 mi.) coastline of the property, (except in the area of the marine terminal), to protect the coastal rare plants identified. The extent of the preservation zone generally extends from the ordinary high water mark inland to the defined inland edge of tree cover. This proposed environmental preservation zone will include approximately 9 ha (22 acres) of general coastline and be expanded inland to encompass an additional 1.2 ha (2.9 acres) at the first headland south of Whites Cove, an additional 0.7 ha (1.8 acres) inland at the second headland south of Whites Cove and an additional 1.8 ha (4.5 acres) inland at the “boggy marsh” north of Whites Cove. This amounts to a minimum of 12.7 ha (31.2 acres) of coastal habitats included in the environmental preservation zone. Also, a 30m (100 ft.) upland buffer zone including the portion of the upland bog, is proposed around the perimeter of the property line for wildlife, noise attenuation and as a visual screen. An additional 8.5 ha (21 acres) at the southeastern corner of the property, which is part of the Little River watershed, will be included in the upland buffer zone. The total upland buffer zone will amount to 19.3 ha (47.7 acres) of upland habitat. Together the coastal and upland environmental preservation zones total 32 ha (78.9 acres) – 20% of the total site area.

The coastal preservation zone will include all habitats where the three plant species at risk identified on this property occur. These species are mountain sandwort, hemlock parsley and glaucous rattlesnake-root; the latter species was thought to be extirpated in Nova Scotia prior to its discovery at this site. In addition, the coastal preservation zone will include all habitats on the property where bird's-eye primrose, a species identified as being at risk by ACCDC, occur. Preservation of the coastal "boggy marsh" area will protect not only a rare habitat within the North Mountain Basalt Ridge Natural Landscape but potential nesting habitat for rusty blackbird (a species considered at risk by ACCDC), and marginal potential nesting habitat for Nelson's sharp-tailed sparrow (NS GSR – yellow).

The Little River watershed portion of the upland environmental preservation zone contains the habitat where a damselfly (sweetflag spreadwing) that may be of conservation concern, was found. A single specimen of skunk cabbage, a species considered at risk by ACCDC, is not within any environmental preservation zone and would be destroyed by quarrying operations.

The 1917 *Migratory Birds Convention Act* refers to the protection of nesting birds and their habitat. In this regard, Bilcon of Nova Scotia Corporation will take the following steps to mitigate impacts on birds and meet its obligation under the Migratory Birds Convention Act. The scheduling of any habitat alteration – e.g. clearing of forest cover and overburden for quarry expansion – will be done to minimize direct impacts on all bird species. Clearing activities for quarry expansion will generally take place during late fall through winter to avoid spring and fall migrations on Digby Neck and to avoid the most sensitive spring and summer nesting period for resident species.

As a precautionary measure, minimal night lighting is proposed to reduce the possible collision hazard for night migrating birds. Night lighting would be used for navigational safety requirements, operations, and security. Outdoor operation and security lighting would be shielded whenever possible to direct light downward. To the extent practicable, ship loading would be conducted during daylight hours to reduce the necessity for lighting the ship loader at night.

Small numbers of boreal chickadees (identified as a species at risk by the ACCDC but not by the four priority lists used in this document), were observed using terrestrial habitats on the proposed quarry site in 2002 and 2004. Incremental clearing of approximately 2.4 ha (6 acres) of forest cover per year is planned during the life of the project. This procedure, in conjunction with the proposed environmental preservation zones, should provide some nesting habitat for on-site breeding populations of the boreal chickadee. Also, properties surrounding the quarry site are relatively undeveloped and consist primarily of coniferous (spruce/fir) forest, preferred habitat of the boreal chickadee.

Also, the wetlands (cattail marsh and shallow pond) located in the southeast corner of the quarry site and the treed bog north of the Whites Cove Road identified in the NSDNR Wetlands Data Base will be included in the environmental preservation zone. In addition to the existing sediment pond, a series of five new sediment ponds are proposed – see **Plan OP - 1**. This series of ponds, comprising approximately 8 ha (20 acres) of surface water, will overflow into a constructed wetland. The constructed wetlands will be designed to attract avian wildlife, especially resident waterfowl and migratory species who may use them for both nesting and staging sites. This additional wetland development will create aquatic habitat and add to the natural habitats already existing on the site thereby providing potential habitat for some Odonata species at risk and other wildlife species.

Incremental reclamation, including reforestation with native species and natural regeneration, is proposed to begin within five years of the beginning of quarry operations. This will result in varied successional stages of forest growth thereby potentially supporting a greater species diversity of breeding birds on the site. The sediment retention pond – constructed in 2003 has already provided habitat diversity and a resting area for waterfowl.

Early vegetation successional stages could also provide staging and resting areas for migrating monarch butterflies. It is proposed to allow native plants such as goldenrod and aster to become permanently established on the dykes around the sediment retention ponds. Since no farmland exists adjacent to the quarry site, these weed species, and even the introduction of milkweed, should not cause conflicts with adjacent land uses. Selective management of the dyke land will enhance both migratory habitat and possible breeding habitat for the monarch butterfly, a species identified by COSEWIC as being of special concern.

Known nesting areas of birds that are sometimes attracted to quarry areas such as killdeer (*Charadrius vociferus*), common nighthawk (*Chordeiles minor*), or spotted sandpiper (*Actitis macularia*) will be avoided, where possible, if found within active quarry areas until the young have left the nest.

Any toxic substances used during quarry operations (diesel fuel, gasoline, hydraulic fluids, antifreeze, etc) will be stored appropriately and not be accessible to birds or other wildlife. Any accidental spills will be dealt with as outlined in other sections of this document.

All segments of the environmental preservation zone would be environmentally managed and monitored by Bilcon of Nova Scotia Corporation. Access to the preservation zone would be controlled and if necessary restricted by Bilcon of Nova Scotia Corporation to ensure public access does not impact rare plant or other sensitive species.

9.2.1.4 Monitoring

Monitoring of plant populations that are considered at risk would be conducted by Bilcon of Nova Scotia Corporation, for as long as they operate the quarry. Professionals, contracted by Bilcon of Nova Scotia Corporation, would conduct inspections at the appropriate times to document the condition of the rare plant populations and their habitats. Appropriate monitoring procedures would be developed depending on the characteristics of each plant population. This monitoring procedure would involve checking each of the known locations where these plants are found, photographing and recording the total numbers, numbers that are flowering, the general condition of the plants and the condition of the surrounding vegetation. The frequency of monitoring would vary depending on the vulnerability of the species. The glaucous rattlesnake-root is vulnerable due to its concentration in one small area and will be monitored annually during the first five years of the project. Mountain sandwort, whose populations are expected to be somewhat dynamic and are in close proximity to project activities during its early phases, will also be monitored annually during the first five years of the project. Hemlock parsley, which is widely scattered and removed from the area where early project development will occur will initially be monitored once every five years. A written report of findings would be submitted to the Nova Scotia Department of Environment and Labour upon completion of each of these inspections.

Also, monitoring of invasive plant species would be included in the monitoring program. An overall long term objective of site reclamation is to encourage native species through planting and natural succession. Invasive plant species often initially become established in disturbed areas where there is ample available open habitat. Once established in these areas of disturbance, they may spread into adjacent undisturbed habitat and displace native plant species. Therefore, early detection is important and if located, removal would be carried out. The incidence of invasive species and proposed control methods would be included in a written report that would be submitted to the Nova Scotia Department of Environment and Labour. The proposed frequency of monitoring would be every five years.

Although no species at risk were documented during the vertebrate faunal studies, the boreal chickadee, identified as a species at risk by the ACCDC, was observed on the proposed quarry site. In this regard, Bilcon of Nova Scotia Corporation proposes to conduct vertebrate faunal monitoring surveys. Professionals, contracted by Bilcon of Nova Scotia Corporation would conduct a breeding bird survey every five years to determine any change in species composition, including the boreal chickadee, as a result of quarry operations. A written report of findings would be submitted to the Nova Scotia Department of Environment and Labour upon completion of each survey.

To document the potential effects of preserving existing wetlands and increasing wetland habitat with constructed wetlands, Bilcon of Nova Scotia Corporation will conduct general wetland and Odonata surveys. Professionals contracted by Bilcon will conduct

wetland and Odonata surveys every five years. The objective of these surveys will be to document any changes in species composition and diversity. A written report will be prepared upon completion of the surveys.

Finally, the effectiveness of reclamation procedures will be monitored over the life of the quarry. Management and monitoring of the environmental preservation zone and reclaimed areas is part of the overall reclamation program. Included in this program will be the management of the sediment retention pond dyke lands as monarch butterfly habitat. Professionals contracted by Bilcon of Nova Scotia Corporation will conduct a Lepidoptera survey every five years. The objective of this survey will be to document any changes in Lepidoptera populations on-site with particular emphasis on the monarch butterfly. A written report will be prepared upon completion of each survey.

9.2.1.5 Impact Statement

Terrestrial Habitat - Alteration

Loss of habitat will result from construction of quarry infrastructure and noise will exclude sensitive fauna from habitats immediately adjacent operations activities, however, considering environmental preservation zones, phased quarry operations and incremental reclamation, effects on the site's terrestrial habitat would result in a ***long term, insignificant negative effect, of local scale.***

Terrestrial Habitat - Diversity

After quarry closure, and considering completion of on-site reclamation with the resultant habitat diversification, effects on the site's terrestrial habitat would result in a ***long term, insignificant positive effect, of local scale.***

Floral Species at Risk

Assuming acceptance of the previously stated environmental preservation zone and buffer areas, reclamation, mitigation, and monitoring plans, effects on floral species at risk would result in a ***long term, significant positive effect, of provincial scale.***

Vertebrate Species at Risk

Since no terrestrial vertebrate species at risk were identified during on-site field studies and critical habitat is marginal for vertebrate species at risk that could possibly use the site, effects on terrestrial vertebrate species at risk would result in a ***long term, insignificant negative effect, of local scale.***

Odonata Species at Risk

While on-site censuses of Odonata species at risk are not complete, none was identified during 2005 studies and considering that all aquatic habitats where Odonates have been observed will be preserved and new wetland habitat will be created, effects on Odonata species at risk would result in a ***long term, insignificant positive effect, of local scale.***

Lepidoptera Species at Risk

Since no Lepidoptera species at risk were identified during on-site field studies and on-site habitat is marginal, and considering habitat reclamation and creation, effects on Lepidoptera species at risk would result in a ***long term, insignificant negative effect, of local scale.***

Wetlands

Inclusion of the coastal bog, treed bog, cattail marsh, and shallow pond in the environmental preservation zone, proposed pond and wetlands construction, and maintenance of surface water flows to the coastal bog during quarry operations, will result in a net increase in wetland habitat resulting in a ***long term, insignificant positive effect, of local scale.***

Migratory Land Birds

Considering there will be no communication towers, wind turbines or any tall night lighted structures and land clearing will be scheduled to avoid nesting periods and migration seasons, effects on migrating land birds would result in a ***long term, insignificant negative effect, of local scale.***

9.2.2 Aquatic Ecology – On-site Freshwater

Introduction

The proposed 380 acre Whites Point Quarry property lies between the Bay of Fundy and the Little River watershed on Digby Neck. The quarry property extends approximately 3.1 km (1.9) miles along the Bay of Fundy shoreline with several intermittent water courses flowing through the property toward the Bay of Fundy.

Direct, land based development, including the quarry operation, will be discussed in relation to the Little River watershed, the on-site freshwater Bay of Fundy watershed, and any influences that may occur on the receiving waters of the Bay of Fundy. Direct, marine based development including the ship loader, conveyor system, and marine terminal will be discussed in relation to the intertidal zone and the coastal-nearshore marine habitats in subsequent sections. Indirect, induced influences of quarry operations and construction – e.g., possible offshore whale/ship interactions and noise/marine mammals will be discussed in other sections of the Environmental Impact Statement document.

Watersheds in the vicinity of the proposed Whites Point Quarry on Digby Neck, as mapped by the Nova Scotia Department of Natural Resources – Wildlife Division are shown on **Map 14**. The Whites Point Quarry property watershed is bordered mainly by two small streams located to the north and south and the mountain ridge to the east. The Gidneys Brook watershed is located to the northeast of the quarry property and the Little River watershed to the southeast of the quarry property.

9.2.2.1 Research

During the spring and summer of 2002, on-site field reconnaissance and water sampling was conducted in freshwater habitats by David W. Kern, B.Sc. Several intermittent water courses and surface water drainage ways flow from the site into the Bay of Fundy. The most defined water courses are located near the north and south property lines and in the coastal bog area – see **Map 18B**. On-site observations during the spring and fall indicated moderate flows in these water courses, however, in late August of 2002, no flow was observed in the water course entering the bog area and only a slight trickle in the north and south water courses. These three water courses as well as three additional drainage ways were sampled for water quality (bacteriological, general chemistry, and trace metals) by David W. Kern, B.Sc. during the spring and summer of 2002 – see **Map 19A**.

Also, during late spring (June 13 and 14, 2002) on-site field reconnaissance of the three water courses was conducted by Dr. Michael Brylinsky, – see Brylinsky, M. “Results of a Survey of the Intertidal Marine Habitats and Communities at a proposed Quarry Site Located in the Vicinity of Whites Cove, Digby Neck, Nova Scotia”, 30 June 2002 (**Ref. Vol. II, Tab 10**). The following descriptions of the three freshwater water courses are excerpted from his report.

Whites Point Quarry
 Little River, Digby County
 Nova Scotia


**Environmental Assessment/
 Impact Statement**

- Legend**
- Highway 217
 - Gravel Road
 - Property Line
 - Coastal Bog
 - Intermittent Watercourse
 - W.W.P. # - Water Sample Station

Produced for Bilcon of Nova Scotia Corporation

**Aquatic Ecology
 Fresh Water**
 Map 19A

Graphic Design by Mark Press, River Ecos, N.S.



The lower portion of the north water course at water sampling station WWP-7 (**Map 19A**) is about 0.7 – 1.0 m in width and, at the time of survey, had a moderate flow of water. Water depths averaged about 0.2m, but in some places there were small pools, generally less than one metre in diameter and 0.5 m in depth. The streambed of the water course was mainly bedrock with a few small areas that contained cobbles. There was little evidence of any sandy or gravelly areas. Two Surber samples, taken in an area containing a cobble substrate, contained only a few caddis fly larvae, and visual examination of the undersides of submerged rocks failed to reveal the presence of any other types of aquatic invertebrates.

This north water course does not appear on the Nova Scotia 1:10 000 Topographic Series Sheet 10 44 4500 66 100 mapping with 5m contours, or on the more detailed mapping with 2.5m contours specifically flown for the quarry project. The inability to locate this water course is due primarily to poorly defined contour signatures. Water courses such as this are cut into the surface of the basalt rock formations and are common along the Bay of Fundy watershed. In this case, this precludes definitive water course or watershed delineation.

The south water course at water sampling station WWP – 4 (**Map 19A**) is only about 0.2 – 0.5 m in width at its lower end and flows at approximately a 12% gradient. This water course contains a number of small water falls at its lower end before entering the Bay. Water depths were only 5 – 10 centimetres at the time of the survey. Due to its small size, no Surber samples were taken.

This south water course provides a more defined contour signature and appears on the Nova Scotia 1:10 000 Topographic Series Sheet 10 44 4500 66 100. The majority of this stream flows outside the quarry property and enters the quarry property where it then empties into the Bay of Fundy – see **Map 19A**. The watershed comprises approximately 34 ha (85 acres) and is primarily forested with a lowland area near the head of the watershed. Approximately 10% of this total watershed lies within the quarry property and all of this eight plus acres is proposed to be within the environmental preservation zone around the perimeter of the quarry property.

The water course at water sampling station WWP – 6 (**Map 19A**) flowing through the bog located just above the shoreline forms a small, less than 3 m diameter, shallow, less than 0.2 m deep pool. At the time of the survey, the surface of the pool was covered with a green filamentous algae, and most of the bottom was covered with a brown bacterial mat (probably a sulphur bacteria). This pool had a strong hydrogen sulphide odour which is indicative of anoxic conditions.

Invasive Species

Freshwater habitats on the Whites Point quarry site are limited to intermittent water courses and small wetlands. Review of the Global Invasive Species Database for Canada and Invasivespecies.gov, list no invasive fish species or aquatic plant species presently exist on the Whites Point site, especially fish and molluscs. One aquatic plant which could possibly exist in on-site wetlands is Purple Loosestrife (*Lythrum salicaria*) which was recorded in a botanical survey at nearby Sandy Cove (Newell 2002). However, Purple Loosestrife was not identified on-site during the botanical survey for Whites Cove (Newell 2002).

9.2.2.2 Analyses

Water samples were taken at six locations to establish background water quality data for the fresh water entering the intertidal zone of the Bay of Fundy by David W. Kern, B.Sc. The locations of the sample sites are shown on **Map 12**. Samples were taken in laboratory furnished bottles. Laboratory analyses for total coliform and total e-coli was conducted by Comeau Lab and for general chemistry and trace metals by PSC Analytical Services. A summary of these analyses is contained in Appendix 46. With the exception of one parameter – aluminium – the analyses showed that all other parameters were within the “Canadian Water Quality Guidelines for the Protection of Aquatic Life” (1999, Ref. 43).

Aluminium exceeded the Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment (CCME) 5 – 100 ug/L at all freshwater water sampling stations. Values for aluminium ranged from 110 ug/L at pH 7.2 to 320 ug/L at pH 5.7. It should be noted that the maximum concentration of aluminium allowable in freshwater by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency is 750 ug/L at pH 6.5 to 9.0. These values in the fresh water runoff at the Whites Point Quarry site indicate background levels of aluminium presently exceed the CCME guidelines.

Regarding suitability of the water courses for fish habitat, it is concluded in Brylinsky’s report that the two small brooks examined near the north and south property lines at WWP–7 and WWP - 4 do not appear to be particularly good salmonid habitat due to their small size, steep gradient, and lack of substrate suitable for spawning (Brylinsky 2002, **Ref.Vol. II, Tab 10**). Also, due to the anoxic conditions encountered at the bog water course WWP–6, it was determined that this stream is not suitable as fish habitat and was not examined in detail.

Subsequent to Dr. Brylinsky’s spring reconnaissance, representatives of the Department of Fisheries and Oceans, Habitat Management Division, examined the full length of the water course at WWP - 6 flowing into the bog to its source. In their September 18, 2002 letter DFO concluded that “this watercourse cannot be categorized as “Fish Habitat”, therefore the *Fisheries Act* does not apply”, - see Appendix 18.

The 3 plus hectares (8 acres) of surface acres of the south water course watershed within the quarry property will be protected within the proposed environmental preservation zone. The remaining 90% of the surface of this watershed is outside the quarry property and subject to prevailing local land uses. Quarrying in the area of the south water course will be in the upper flow unit, a uniform, hard, massive, vesicle free medium dark gray to black basalt. Quarrying below the contact of the upper flow unit and the middle flow unit, will not be carried out, and no loss of groundwater through this fractured zone to the south water course is expected.

9.2.2.3 Mitigation

As previously addressed in the component entitled “On-site Surface Water Drainage”, surface water flow to the coastal bog will be maintained during quarry operations. Also, this existing wetland habitat is included in the proposed environmental protection zone. The water courses near the north and south property lines will also be included in the minimum 30 m buffer zone proposed around the perimeter of the property. The proposed 30 m buffer zone actually exceeds by one third the requirements of the Nova Scotia Natural Resources, Wildlife Habitat and Watercourses Protection Regulations which became law on January 14, 2002. A “Special Management Zone” (SMZ) of at least 20 m is required for watercourses 50 centimetres or more in width during forest harvesting under these regulations. By including these water courses in the preservation zone and/or buffer zones, the quality of surface water flowing into the intertidal zone of the Bay will be maintained. Further, all surface runoff from disturbed land, before restoration is complete, will flow through a series of sediment retention ponds and then into a constructed wetland. Discharges from the wetland will meet the criteria established by the Nova Scotia Department of Environment and Labour for Total Suspended Solids (TSS) and pH.

Based on the results of the monitoring program, if the criteria established by the Nova Scotia Department of Environment and Labour (NSDEL) is exceeded, increased sediment pond capacity and/or retention time will be developed to maintain acceptable levels of TSS at the discharge point. PH will also be monitored. If the criteria established by the NSDEL exceed the acceptable range for pH, adjustments to the pH levels will be made with the addition of lime.

9.2.2.4 Monitoring

As previously stated in the component entitled “On-site Surface Water Drainage”, a water quality and flow monitoring program will be implemented by Bilcon of Nova Scotia Corporation. This program will include monitoring of all outflows from sediment retention ponds for Total Suspended Solids (TSS), pH, and total water flow. The frequency of monitoring will be weekly and a monthly report of results will be prepared by Bilcon of Nova Scotia Corporation and be available to regulatory agencies.

9.2.2.5 Impact Statement

Freshwater Fish Habitat

Since on-site studies and reconnaissance by the Department of Fisheries and Oceans, Habitat Management Division, have concluded that the only watercourse within the active quarry area is not suitable as fish habitat, this would result in a ***long term, neutral (no) effect, of local scale.***

Adjacent Watersheds

The watersheds of the north and south watercourses are within the environmental preservation zone and would not be altered by quarry operations resulting in a ***long term, neutral (no) effect, of local scale.***

9.2.3 Aquatic Ecology - Marine Intertidal Zone



Marine Intertidal Zone – Bay of Fundy, Whites Point- Photo by David W. Kern

9.2.3.1 Research

During the spring and summer of 2002, on-site field reconnaissance and water sampling was conducted within the intertidal habitats. One water sampling station in Whites Cove and three intertidal transects were established – see **Map 19B**. The intertidal zone was sampled for background water quality including bacteriological, general chemistry and trace metals including total suspended solids and mercury, see Appendix 44. Three intertidal transects were established by Dr. Michael Brylinsky – see Brylinsky, Michael, “Results of a Survey of the Intertidal Marine Habitats and Communities at a Proposed Quarry Site Located in the Vicinity of Whites Cove, Digby Neck, Nova Scotia” 30 June 2002 (**Ref. Vol. II, Tab 10**). The following descriptions of the three transects are excerpted from the above report.

The Whites Cove transect, because of its more general relief (less than 4% gradient), exhibits the most distinct intertidal zonation pattern. The upper shore consists largely of sparsely scattered mats of rockweeds (*Fucus vesiculosus* and *Ascophyllum nodosum*) and patches of barnacles (*Balanus balanoides*). The intertidal community is poorly developed in this area as a result of the “mobile” cobble substrate. This area also lacked a distinct barnacle zone as barnacles were only present on some of the larger, more immobile boulders. The substrate of the mid and lower shore is more stable being composed mainly of bedrock which is overlain with a thick mat of rockweeds. Other algae observed included sea lettuce (*Ulva lactuca*), dulse (*Palmaria palmata*), Irish moss (*Chondrus crispus*), and deadman’s fingers (*Briareum asbestinum*). Periwinkles (*Littorina spp.*) are abundant in this area, especially in the tide pools and rock crevices. Other marine animals observed included blue mussels (*Mytilus edulis*), horse mussels (*Modiolus modiolus*), hermit crabs (*Pagurus spp.*), dog whelk (*Thais lapillus*), and European green crabs (*Carcinus maenas*). It should be noted that the European green crab was introduced to the Bay of Fundy over 20 years ago and has since spread throughout the Bay.

The north and south transects are quite similar to each other with pronounced relief of a stable bedrock substrate and abundant tide pools. Yellow patches of lichens are prevalent on the bedrock of the upper zone in contrast to blue green algae which imparts the dark green colour to the rock surfaces. Tide pools are scattered throughout the mid and lower shore zones. A diversity of marine organisms inhabits this zone including periwinkles, amphipods, red coralline algae, and limpets with some occurrence of brown algae. The dominant macroalgae in all three transects was *Fucus* and *Ascophyllum*.

Coastal vegetation communities including the coastline, intertidal, and sublittoral are shown on **Figure 9**. These communities were identified using aerial photography interpretation, on-site flora surveys, on-site intertidal transects, near shore bottom sampling, and underwater video.

Invasive Species

Investigations of the marine intertidal zone were conducted at three transects along the Whites Point property coastline (Brylinsky 2002). Review of the Global Invasive Species Database for Canada and Invasivespecies.gov indicate invasive marine species presently exist in the intertidal zone at Whites Point. The European green crab and the common periwinkle (*Littorina littorea*) were identified during intertidal investigations. It should be noted that the common periwinkle is presently commercially harvested along the Whites Point shoreline.

Whites Point Quarry
Little River, Digby County
Nova Scotia

**Environmental Assessment/
Impact Statement**

Legend

- Highway 217
- Gravel Road
- Property Line
- Coastal Bog
- Intermittent Watercourse
- W.W.P. # - Water Sample Station
- Intertidal Transects
- Near Shore Habitat & Surficial Geology
- Bedrock
- Thin Veneer of Sand Overlying Bedrock
- Concentrated Boulders
- Surficial Sediments
- Bottom Grab Sample Location
- Video Transect


Source of Information:
Brylinsky, Michael, Ph.D. "Results of a Survey of the Intertidal Marine Habitats and Communities at a Proposed Quarry Site Located in the Vicinity of Whites Cove, Digby Neck, Nova Scotia" 30 June 2002
Canadian Seabed Research Ltd. June 2002

Produced for: Bileon of Nova Scotia Corporations

**Aquatic Ecology
Marine**

Map 19B

Graphic Design by Math Pines, Bear River, N.E.




9.2.3.2 Analyses

A water sampling station was established in Whites Cove to establish water quality data in the intertidal marine environment. The location of the sampling station is shown on **Map 19B**. Samples were taken in laboratory furnished bottles and laboratory analysis for coliform and e-coli was conducted by Comeau Lab and for general chemistry and trace metals by PSC Analytical Services. A summary of the analyses is contained in **Appendix 45**. Few guidelines for parameters in marine waters are available in the “Canadian Water Quality Guidelines for the Protection of Aquatic Life” (1999) however, all parameters indicated in the Guidelines for marine environments were within acceptable limits.

The intertidal zone along the Bay of Fundy shore bordering the property is approximately 3.1 km (1.9 mi.) long and consists of a predominately rocky shoreline dominated by basaltic bedrock. Fine sediments are scarce resulting in a lack of sandy beaches and mudflats. An exception to this is the beach at Whites Cove which varies from small boulders at low tide to cobbles along the high tide mark. The lack of sediment and the presence of hard substrate provides ideal conditions for the establishment of macroalgal communities which are very well developed along the entire shoreline of the property. Results of the survey transects indicate that the intertidal marine communities and habitats present along the shoreline of the property are typical of the rocky shoreline areas of the Lower Bay of Fundy. The dominant rockweed community of *Fucus* and *Ascophyllum* is well developed and appears to be in a healthy, prolific condition. No unique or extraordinary characteristics associated with this shoreline were observed.

These rocky intertidal macroalgal communities play a number of important ecological roles as part of the larger marine environment. They are major primary producers, and although macroalgae are grazed on directly by some animals such as sea urchins, much of this material is eventually exported to the open waters of the Bay of Fundy where it becomes part of the detrital food web. Rafts of floating, detached macroalgae also play an important role as a feeding area for seabirds, marine mammals, and fish. Macroalgae are an important energy source and provide foraging and refuge habitat for many species of marine organisms. Estimates indicate as many as 31 species of fish use the rockweed habitat typical of that at Whites Point during the summer and about 17 species are present as juveniles (Rangle, R.W. 1998, Ref. 159), suggesting it is an important nursery habitat. Lobsters also rely on macroalgal habitat during various stages of their life cycle and ducks forage for amphipods and periwinkles living in the rockweed community. No disturbance of the rockweed community resulting from commercial harvesting by licensed seaplant harvesters was apparent along this section of shoreline.



9.2.3.3 Mitigation

Since the intertidal zone is important to the overall marine environment from a habitat, primary production and species diversity standpoint, the proposed method of construction for the conveyor system to the ship loader – see **Figures 2 and 3** - was chosen to span the intertidal zone. No filling such as a rock causeway or infilled crib work or sheet piling within the intertidal zone is proposed. Approximately three conveyor supports on pipe piles, anchored into the bedrock, will be located within the intertidal zone. Construction within the intertidal zone will require drilling sockets and anchor holes for the conveyor support pipe piles. The proposed pipe piles, pending detailed design, are a maximum thirty-six inch diameter resulting in a permanent displacement of intertidal bottom habitat of 5.9 m² (63 ft²) or 0.0006 ha (0.001 acres).

This harmful alteration, disruption or destruction (HADD) of fish habitat will require a *Fisheries Act Subsection 35(2) Authorization*. A “Fish Habitat Compensation Plan” – see Appendix 17 - to balance this habitat loss has been approved in principle by the Department of Fisheries and Oceans – Habitat Management Division.

Construction within the intertidal zone will be done from the land during low tide periods. Socket drilling will produce aggregate size material with little or no fines. Anchor drilling will be done within the pile casing thus confining any fines. Since construction will be done from and in basalt bedrock, and no bottom sediments are present, water quality in the intertidal zone should experience negligible alteration with this construction process. Importantly, the use of this construction technique will maintain unobstructed shoreline currents.

Although more expensive than other construction techniques, the loss of habitat is very small and will be compensated for, as noted above. The intertidal zone extends approximately 35 m (115 ft.) seaward from the ordinary high water line. Thus vertical habitat surface within the tidal water column on the piles will result, especially for organisms such as barnacles. No other disturbances are proposed within the intertidal zone along the shoreline of the property.

9.2.3.4 Monitoring

A water quality monitoring program within the intertidal zone in Whites Cove will be implemented by Bilcon of Nova Scotia Corporation during construction of the conveyor supports. This program will include monitoring of Total Suspended Solids (TSS) within the intertidal marine environment. The frequency of monitoring will be monthly with a monthly report of results prepared for Bilcon of Nova Scotia Corporation which will be available to regulatory agencies. Since no disturbance within the intertidal zone is anticipated after the construction is complete, monitoring of TSS within the intertidal marine environment will be discontinued. As indicated in previous sections, the marine conveyor will have spill containment. In the event of a conveyor malfunction requiring off loading, the aggregate will be off loaded into a barge moored on-site.

9.2.3.5 Impact Statement

Intertidal Habitat – Construction

Since construction within the intertidal zone for three conveyor supports is expected to take less than one year and will be conducted during periods of low biological activity, this would result in a ***short term, insignificant negative effect, of local scale.***

Intertidal Habitat – Life of Project

Since the only disturbance within the intertidal zone along the entire shoreline of the property is the construction of three conveyor supports, no net loss of habitat is anticipated after compensation resulting in a ***long term, neutral (no) effect, of local scale.***

9.2.4 Aquatic Ecology - Coastal / Nearshore Marine Habitat



Coastal / Nearshore Waters, Bay of Fundy, Whites Point - Photo by David W. Kern

9.2.4.0 Introduction

The site of the proposed Whites Point Quarry and Marine Terminal is located along the western shoreline of Digby Neck, Nova Scotia and on the northwestern shoreline of the outer Bay of Fundy. In broader context, it lies within the Gulf of Maine/Bay of Fundy system, an area considered to be one of the world's richest marine ecosystems (Tyrell 2005, Ref. 89).

This introduction presents an overview of the marine ecosystem with some selected examples of life and interactions. In preparing the Environmental Impact Statement for the Whites Point project, an ecosystem approach has been taken, emphasizing the complexities of interactions within and among ecosystems and communities.

9.2.4.0.1 Benthic Habitats and Communities

The major benthic marine habitats and communities present in the immediate area of the quarry site include a rocky intertidal area colonized extensively by a diversity of marine macrophytes, and a subtidal area that is largely erosional due to the presence of strong currents. There are also numerous tide pools present within depressions that have formed within the intertidal area that serve as habitat for some animals and plants.

Rocky intertidal macroalgal communities play a number of important ecological roles. They are major primary producers and, although macroalgae are grazed on directly by some animals, especially sea urchins, much of this material is eventually exported to the open waters of the Bay where it becomes part of the detrital food web (Bradford 1989; Mann 1992). Rafts of floating, detached macroalgae are also thought to play an important role as a feeding area for seabirds, marine mammals and fish (Ranglely 1994).

Intertidal macroalgae are not only important as an energy source but, because of their large size and structural characteristics, are also important in providing foraging and refuge habitat for various species of marine organisms (Mann 1992; Percy, 1996). Ranglely (1998) estimates that as many as thirty-one species of fish use rockweed habitat during summer, and about seventeen species are present as juveniles suggesting it is an important nursery habitat. American black ducks (*Anas rubripes*) and common eiders (*Somateria mollissima*) are known to forage for amphipods and periwinkles living in the rockweed community. Macroalgae that is ripped from its substrate by storms and eventually deposited along beaches as wrack becomes colonized by various crustaceans that use it for food and shelter and which in turn become food for various species of shorebirds.

Rockweed is also a valuable commercial commodity that is harvested and processed for use in food and agricultural products, livestock feed and as an emulsifier in numerous products such as paints, cosmetics and foods. Species currently being harvested in the Bay of Fundy region include dulse, Irish moss, kelps (*Laminaria spp.*) and rockweeds (*Ascophyllum nodosum*).

The subtidal community consists of attached macrophytes, mainly kelps, and numerous species of epifauna, such as sea anemones and mussels that are adapted to living attached to hard substrates. There are virtually no deposits of fine sediments in this area and, as a result, no infaunal communities are present. Scattered boulders along the seafloor provide important hiding and living spaces for swimming organisms and others that live on the bay bottom.

Commercially important species that may frequent or live in the nearshore benthic subtidal habitats include some demersal fish species such as Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*), cunner (*Tautoglabrus adspersus*) and redfish (*Sebastes marinus*), as well as numerous invertebrates such as American lobster (*Homarus americanus*), sea scallops (*Placopecten magellanicus*), rock crabs (*Cancer spp.*), sea cucumbers and sea urchins.

9.2.4.0.2 Pelagic Habitats and Communities

The pelagic zone or water column extends from the sea surface to the bottom substrate. In many areas of the Bay, the water column is often stratified and consists of distinct layers that form different habitats. The upper water mass is composed of less dense warmer water, and the bottom water layer consists of more dense colder water. If there is a source of fresh water input nearby, the upper layer may also have a lower salinity than the bottom layer. Because of the differences in salinity and temperature, these water masses represent different habitats. In addition to the habitat differences created by stratification of the water column, there are also habitat differences created by the attenuation of solar radiation through the water column. The upper water layer to a depth where there is sufficient light for photosynthesis to occur is called the euphotic zone and is the habitat where phytoplankton grow and reproduce. The area below the euphotic zone, where there is insufficient light for photosynthesis, is referred to as the aphotic zone. Organisms living in this zone receive their food from materials that either sink from the upper euphotic zone or are resuspended from the bottom substrate.

There is tremendous diversity in the kinds of pelagic, or open-water, organisms that live within the water column in terms of both size and life style. Pelagic organisms can be characterized as planktonic or nektonic.

9.2.4.0.3 Plankton Community

This community consists of small organisms that have limited ability to swim against ocean currents and, although many planktonic organisms can migrate vertically within the water column, their movements and distribution are dictated largely by the factors that control the circulation of oceanic water masses.

The phytoplankton community is composed of microscopic plants that have the ability to photosynthesize. These organisms are in turn fed upon by the zooplankton, the small microscopic animals that inhabit the water column. The zooplankton community consists of small crustaceans, such as copepods, as well as the larval stages of fish and numerous bottom dwelling organisms such as shellfish, sea anemones, crabs and American lobsters. The zooplankton community is an important food source for the younger stages of many fish species as well as for large marine mammals such as baleen whales that have the ability to strain these organisms out of the water column as they swim through it.

In addition to the phyto- and zooplankton communities, there exists a pelagic community that consists of very small organisms such as bacteria and protozoans. This community forms the microbial food chain which is considered by some to be important in making dissolved organic material available to larger organisms.

9.2.4.0.4 Nekton Community

Larger organisms that have the ability to swim independently against water currents form the nekton community. This community is typically dominated by chordates, or vertebrate or cartilaginous fish, marine mammals and reptiles. The nekton community is typically comprised of pelagic fish, whales, sharks, turtles, and seals; arthropods, such as shrimp; and some molluscs, such as squid and octopus.

Nektonic organisms can be considered as top predators and are mainly carnivores preying on smaller fish, but some are planktivores or omnivores. This means that they rely on a healthy food chain for survival. With the exception of anadromous and catadromous species, nektonic organisms live and breed in marine waters. Many pelagic fish live in schools that facilitate reproductive activities and increase swimming efficiency and reduce predation.

There is a significant economic reliance on nektonic species in the Bay of Fundy. Commercial fisheries rely on pelagic species such as herring and Atlantic mackerel (*Scomber scombrus*). Ecotourism depends on various species of whales and seals.

It is difficult to generalize about the ecology of nektonic organisms; however, herring is used as an example because of their ecological role as a “middle predator/prey”. Herring typically spawn in shallow waters in spring, but summer and fall spawners prefer to spawn offshore. They eat plankton and their main food source is crustaceans such as copepods and euphaniids. They are preyed on by most of the larger fish species such as cod, tuna (*Thunnus spp.*), and Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*), and by seals, whales and sea birds. Herring form the basic food supply for many marine species. Commercially, they are harvested by gill nets, weirs, and seines.

The pelagic community offshore of Whites Point lies in an area that is considered to be very productive. Unlike the central Gulf of Maine, the water column remains unstratified due to the shallow depths and strong tidal mixing. This mixing also brings nutrients into the area from deeper offshore water and results in high levels of primary production by both phytoplankton and macroalgae (Townsend 1991; Durbin 1996).

9.2.4.0.5 Benthic-Pelagic Interactions

There are strong connections between pelagic and benthic communities, particularly in environments where water column stratification is absent. Exchange between the two communities is important for both food and nutrient supplies. Nutrients and food particles, both living and dead, that either sink or are transported by water circulation, are the primary source of nourishment for benthic plants and animals. In turn, decomposition of organic matter and resuspension of nutrients and organic matter from the benthos into the water column can be an important source of nourishment for phytoplankton and zooplankton.

In conclusion, the Bay of Fundy is a diverse and complex ecosystem. Its importance was substantiated during the community consultation meetings, meetings with regulatory agencies, panel scoping sessions and public commentary in the Public Registry. Areas of concern were raised regarding possible project interactions with components of the nearshore marine environment. Those concerns ranged from the destruction of fish habitat to direct and indirect influences of the project on specific species such as the American lobster, North Atlantic right whale, inner Bay of Fundy salmon, harlequin duck (*Histrionicus histrionicus*), and the leatherback turtle (*Dermochelys coriacea*). In particular, concerns were raised regarding specific project activities such as the proposed on-land blasting. Therefore, this section of the Environmental Impact Statement addresses possible nearshore marine ecosystem effects and effects on particular species that may be resident, seasonal, or migratory in this marine ecosystem.

9.2.4.1 Research

The coastal – nearshore waters off Digby Neck have been investigated historically, and most recently, as a result of the proposed Whites Point Quarry and Marine Terminal. Historic data includes nutrient and phytoplankton biomass from the BioChem data base maintained by the Department of Fisheries and Oceans (http://www.meds-sdmm.dfo-mpo.gc/biochem/Biochem_e.htm, Ref 237)). This data spans a time period between 1979 and 2003. Chemical and nutrient data from a survey carried out between 1977 and 1980 by the Marine Ecology Laboratory of the Bedford Institute of Oceanography is also available. Also, limited data on phytoplankton primary production and macroalgal production is available from the early 1980s as a result of studies by Prouse (1983 and 1984). More recently, Bilcon of Nova Scotia Corporation had research conducted in the nearshore waters off the quarry site – see Canadian Seabed Research Ltd 2002 (Appendix 23) and Brylinsky 2004 (**Ref. Vol. II, Tab 11**).

9.2.4.1.1 Nutrients

Nutrient data from 13 sites in the Bay of Fundy off shore of the quarry site – seven representing single values typically from samples taken in the near surface layer, and six representing profiles consisting of three or less values from sample depths ranging between 5 and 50 m (16.5 and 165 ft.) in depth are shown on **Map 20** and contained in **Table NS – 1**.

Whites Point Quarry
Little River, Digby County
Nova Scotia

**Environmental Assessment/
Impact Statement**

Legend

- Proposed Whites Point Quarry
- Sample Sites

Source of Information:
Department of Fisheries and Oceans BioChem
database

Produced for: Bilicon of Nova Scotia Corporation

**Bay of Fundy
Nutrient Sample Sites**
Map 20

Graphic Design by Mark Davis, Bear House, N.S.




Table NS-1 Nutrient concentration data obtained from the Department of Fisheries and Oceans BioChem database

Site*	Latitude	Longitude	Date	Depth (m)	Phosphate (ug/L)	Nitrate (mg/L)
1	44.58	66.37	10/24/79	8	1.035	9.95
1	44.58	66.37	12/10/85	4	1.020	8.96
1	44.58	66.37	4/16/81	5	1.130	9.39
1	44.58	66.37	4/13/83	0	1.015	7.61
1	44.58	66.37	7/14/84	1	0.305	0.25
1	44.58	66.37	10/17/93	1	0.806	3.87
2	44.63	66.46	4/16/81	5	1.175	10.07
2	44.63	66.46	10/24/79	5	0.980	8.94
2	44.63	66.46	4/13/83	0	0.920	6.68
3	44.60	66.47	7/12/99	5	0.355	0.08
4	44.61	66.04	7/10/00	5	0.642	4.12
5	44.59	66.08	7/11/01	5	0.744	1.30
6	44.65	66.13	7/11/01	5	0.707	1.10
7	44.62	66.47	7/12/01	5	0.715	0.91
8	44.51	66.39	7/10/02	5	0.439	2.62
8	44.51	66.39	7/10/02	25	0.626	5.21
8	44.51	66.39	7/10/02	50	0.820	8.61

Table NS-1 Nutrient concentration data obtained from the Department of Fisheries and Oceans BioChem database

Site*	Latitude	Longitude	Date	Depth (m)	Phosphate (ug/L)	Nitrate (mg/L)
9	44.66	65.94	7/10/02	5	0.616	4.30
9	44.66	65.94	7/10/02	25	0.615	4.32
9	44.66	65.94	7/10/02	50	0.592	4.14
10	44.58	66.50	7/11/02	5	0.230	0.17
10	44.58	66.50	7/11/02	25	0.692	6.64
10	44.58	66.50	7/11/02	50	0.889	10.78
11	44.44	66.44	7/6/03	5	0.372	1.19
11	44.44	66.44	7/6/03	25	0.556	4.37
12	44.52	66.33	7/6/03	5	0.397	2.20
12	44.52	66.33	7/6/03	25	0.509	3.16
12	44.52	66.33	7/6/03	50	0.635	4.85
13	44.61	66.33	7/6/03	5	0.268	0.79
13	44.61	66.33	7/6/03	25	0.645	5.70
13	44.61	66.33	7/6/03	50	0.799	7.72

Chemical and nutrient data collected at stations approximately 15 km offshore of Digby Neck are contained in **Table NS – 2**.

Table NS – 2

Average concentration of chemical parameters in surface samples collected in August between 1977 and 1979 at three stations located approximately 15 km offshore of Digby Neck (from Keizer et al. 1980) are as follows:

Salinity (ppt)	32.3
Ammonia (mg/L)	0.009
Nitrite (mg/L)	0.005
Nitrate (mg/L)	2.41
Phosphate (mg/L)	0.11
Silicate (mg/L)	1.47
Dissolved Organic Carbon (mg/L)	1.72
Particulate Organic Carbon (mg/L)	0.282
Particulate Nitrogen (mg/L)	0.032

9.2.4.1.2 Phytoplankton Production

Phytoplankton primary production within the Bay of Fundy was studied by Prouse (1983). One of the sites at which primary production was measured was located approximately 15 – 20 km (9 – 12 mi.) offshore of the central portion of Digby Neck. The results are contained in **Table NS – 3**. Prouse et al. (1984) estimated the annual production in the outer Bay of Fundy to be 133gC/m² yr⁻¹. Also, this report indicates that phytoplankton production is highest in the summer and lowest in the fall, and that it does not appear to be nutrient limited.

Table NS – 3

Results of primary production measurements carried out by Prouse (1983) in the area of Digby Neck

Station	Date	Phytoplankton Production mgC/m ² day ⁻¹
BF 1	29 Mar 1979	114.1
BF 1	11 Aug 1979	955.4
BF 1	16 Feb 1980	64.8

Phytoplankton biomass is typically measured and expressed as phytoplankton chlorophyll *a* concentration.

The BioChem data base contains a number of chlorophyll *a* values for the Digby Neck area as contained in **Table NS-4**. Since most of the data was collected in early September, it is not possible to determine if there are any seasonal trends in chlorophyll *a* concentration. However, it is obvious that surface waters contained much higher levels than deeper waters.

Table NS – 4 Phytoplankton chlorophyll *a* values obtained from the BioChem database maintained by Fisheries and Oceans.

Latitude	Longitude	Date	Depth (m)	CHL <i>a</i> (ug/L)
44.60	66.47	7/12/1999	5	1.29
44.61	66.04	7/10/2000	5	5.04
44.59	66.08	7/11/2001	5	3.48
44.65	66.13	7/11/2001	5	3.96
44.62	66.47	7/12/2001	5	4.08
44.51	66.39	7/10/2002	5	1.39
44.51	66.39	7/10/2002	25	1.37
44.51	66.39	7/10/2002	50	0.42
44.66	65.94	7/10/2002	5	1.60
44.66	65.94	7/10/2002	25	1.66
44.66	65.94	7/10/2002	50	1.46
44.58	66.50	7/11/2002	5	2.09
44.58	66.50	7/11/2002	25	0.87
44.58	66.50	7/11/2002	50	0.18
44.44	66.44	7/6/2003	5	5.46
44.52	66.33	7/6/2003	5	6.65
44.52	66.33	7/6/2003	25	3.69
44.52	66.33	7/6/2003	50	0.32
44.61	66.33	7/6/2003	5	4.63
44.61	66.33	7/6/2003	25	2.60
44.61	66.33	7/6/2003	50	0.65

9.2.4.1.3 Macroalgal Production

Although no direct studies have been undertaken in the area off Whites Point, estimates of macroalgal production by Prouse et al. (1984) have been made within the Bay of Fundy. In this regard it was noted by Prouse that the Digby area contains significant stands of macroalgae with biomass of up to 20 kg/m² wet weight and estimated seaweed net production along the shorelines of the Outer Bay of Fundy to be about 845 gCm⁻²yr⁻¹.

More recently, the coastal–nearshore surficial geology–see **Map 10** - and bathymetry off Whites Cove and Whites Point was investigated for Bilcon in June 2002. Canadian Seabed Research Ltd. (Appendix 23) conducted the investigations which included side scan sonar, underwater video transects, and bottom samples. Following are descriptions of the bottom characteristics as shown on **Map 12** . The majority of the area is comprised of bedrock, an extension of the land and intertidal basalt. The bedrock is a massive, irregular type with occasional joints and fractures. Boulders are also common throughout this area. A second area of bedrock is overlain with a thin veneer of sand which also has outcrops of bedrock and boulders evident. The third area of surficial sediments consists mainly of coarse to very coarse grained sand. Bottom samples taken in this area contained sand and 15% shell fragments and is characterized by a high abundance of boulders ranging in size from less than one m to 5 m (16 ft.) in diameter. No sediment bedforms (current marks) were visible indicating little current movement close to the bottom. Water depths below Chart Datum (LLWLT) in the area of investigation range to over 30 m (100 ft.).

9.2.4.1.4 Benthic Community

Bottom grab samples were taken on June 28 and 29, 2002 in nearshore waters off Whites Point by Canadian Seabed Research Ltd. The location of the grab samples are shown on **Map 13**. A Van Veen Grab Sampler was used in water depths ranging from 9.5 to 41.5 m (31 to 136 ft.). A total of twelve grab samples were attempted. Seven of the grabs resulted in no samples due primarily to rocky bottom conditions and the absence of bottom sediments. Samples G2, G5, G6, G9, and G10 produced some bottom materials. **Table GS - 2002** provides a summary of the grab sample field notes.

Laboratory analysis of the grab samples and review of the video transects were performed by Dr. Michael Brylinsky – see Brylinsky, Michael., “Interpretation of a Sublittoral Benthic Survey Along the Shoreline of Whites Point, Digby Neck, Nova Scotia”. 28 February 2004. (**Ref. Vol. II, Tab 8**). Based on these samples and video records, the subtidal substrate is composed mainly of coarse sands, gravels and mollusc shell fragments overlain in many areas by small to medium size boulders. These boulders are heavily colonized by a community consisting of epiflora and epifauna (plants and animals that live on the sediment surface). The major types of epiflora present are attached macroscopic algae with kelps and Irish moss most prominent. In some cases, small boulders are colonized by encrusting coralline red algae. The epifauna consists mainly of sessile attached anthozoans, hydroid polyps and sponges and motile starfish and crabs.



Nearshore Bottom Marine Life - Photos by Canadian Seabed Research Ltd.



Table GS – 2002
Geophysical Survey – Whites Point, Nova Scotia
Grab Sample Field Notes

Sample ID #	Easting/Northing	Time(local)	WaterDepth (m)	Description
<i>28-Jun-02</i>				
G2	727057.95E 4927539.29N	16:37	9.5	Biological-kelp, various seaweed, approx 250g
G3NS	726986.09N 4927542.80E	16:40		No sample
G3	726982.19N 4927576.93E	16:43	22.5	Muddy water, no sample to bag after 2 attempts
G4	726933.73N 7927576.93E	16:48	28.0	No sample
G9	726620.98N 4927689.47E	16:55	41.5	Biological-Sea Cucumber brown approx 15cmx10cm Shell fragments (80%) and dark coloured sand mixture (20%) approx 500g
G9NS	726622.00N 4927692.47E	17:01		No sample
G8	726657.40N 4927655.95E	17:05	39.0	Biological-insignificant, nothing bagged
G7	726739.37N 4927643.91E	17:10	38.5	No sample
G6	726782.66N 4927631.11E	17:14	37.0	Shell fragments, approx 500g Single cobble, approx 5cm
G5	726859.22E 4927613.17N	17:20	33.5	Shell fragments (80%) and sand/fines (20%) approx 2kg Small percentage of biomass-worms
G4NS	726938.25N 4927570.96E	17:30		No sample
<i>29-Jun-02</i> G10	726887.79E 4927369.62N	11:37	24.0	Shell fragments (30%), very coarse sand (65%) and subrounded pebbles (5%) Approx 2kg no odour

* “NS” is an identifier for a duplicate grab sample performed at the same location as the original sample ID#

Source: Canadian Seabed Research Ltd.

Further to the nearshore benthic community research conducted in June 2002, bottom grab samples were taken in July 2005 by Dr. Michael Brylinsky. These bottom samples were taken as part of the sediment survey – see Brylinsky, Michael. “Results of a Sediment Survey in the Near Offshore Waters of the Proposed Quarry Site in the Vicinity of whites Cove, Digby Neck, Nova Scotia”. September 2005 (**Ref. Vol. II, Tab 9**). The biological analysis of these samples for macrofauna and macroflora are contained in **Table GS – 2005**.

Table GS - 2005 Macrofauna and Macroflora

Sample Number	Location Northing Easting	Depth** (m)	Physical Characteristics	Biological Characteristics
2	4927601 726878	30.0	No sediments	1 <i>Asterias vulgaris</i>
4	4927727 726854	29.2	Mainly cobble and shell fragments	1 <i>Astarte undata</i> ; 1 <i>Ophiopholis aculeata</i> ; 2 <i>Nereis</i> sp.
6	4927512 726922	12.4	No sediments	1 kelp stipe
8	4927717 726653	36.0	Coarse sediments and shell fragments	No living organisms
10	4927985 726378	43.9	coarse sediments, 2-3 cm cobble	<i>Balanus</i> shells attached to cobbles; 1 <i>Astarte undata</i>
11	4928259 727143	34.7	Coarse sediments and shell fragments	No living organisms
12	4928447 727225	34.7	Coarse sediments	No living organisms
13	4928601 727402	34.7	Coarse sediments and shell fragments	No living organisms
14	4928174 727563	1.8	No sediments	1 kelp and 1 brittle star
17	4928279 727560	1.8	No sediments	1 kelp w/ attached red algae
19	4928792 726983	40.2	Coarse sediments and cobble	<i>Balanus</i> shells attached to cobbles 1 <i>Astarte undata</i>
20	4928697 727021	34.7	Coarse sediments, 2 cm cobble	<i>Balanus</i> shells attached to cobbles

Sample Number	Location Northing Easting	Depth** (m)	Physical Characteristics	Biological Characteristics
21	4926886 726126	38.4	Coarse sediments, 2 cm cobble	<i>Balanus</i> shells attached to cobbles
22	4926804 726104	38.4	Coarse sediments and shell fragments	<i>Balanus</i> shells attached to cobbles
27	4926589 726204	7.3	No sediments	1 <i>Agarum cribrosum</i>
30	4926497 726558	7.3	No sediments	1 <i>Laminaria longicruris</i>

Common Names of Organisms:

Agarum cribrosum - sea colander (a kelp)
Astarte undata - wavy astarte
Asterias vulgaris - purple starfish
Balanus sp. - barnacle
Laminaria longicruris - hollow-stemmed kelp
Nereis sp. - sandworm
Ophiopholis aculeata - brittle star

Source: Michael Brylinsky, September 2005

9.2.4.1.5 Phytoplankton and Zooplankton Community

A seasonal survey of the plankton community offshore of Whites Point was conducted by Dr. Michael Brylinsky – see Brylinsky, Michael. “Results of a Survey of the Plankton Communities Located Offshore of a Proposed Quarry Site at Whites Cove, Digby Neck, Nova Scotia”. April 2005 (**Ref. Vol. II, Tab 11**). The objective of the survey was to document the phytoplankton and zooplankton communities present in terms of species composition and abundance – see Appendix 44. Further, this baseline collection was archived as a permanent reference of phytoplankton and zooplankton in the nearshore waters off the Whites Point Quarry and Marine Terminal. The location of the nearshore sample stations are shown on **Map 16**.

The phytoplankton community was dominated by diatoms (51 species). Dinoflagellates (10 species) were also present as well as other species including silicoflagellates and euglenoids. Concentrations of phytoplankton chlorophyll *a* were also measured at each station. Protozoa species were also observed in the phytoplankton samples.

The results of this survey are contained in **Table NS – 5**. The phytoplankton chlorophyll *a* values obtained were for composite samples collected within the upper 30 m (100 ft.) of the water column. These results indicate concentrations during the spring are generally within the range for upper waters obtained from the previously mentioned BioChem data base. Concentrations during summer and fall, however, are much lower and reflect a distinct seasonal trend that suggests a strong spring phytoplankton bloom.

Table NS – 5

Phytoplankton chlorophyll *a* concentrations measured at each station (Brylinsky 2004).

Station	Date	Chlorophyll <i>a</i> (ug/L)
South	04 April 2004	3.6
	28 July 2004	0.8
	21 Oct 2004	0.1
Central	04 April 2004	3.7
	28 July 2004	1.0
	21 Oct 2004	0.3
North	04 April 2004	3.4
	28 July 2004	0.6
	21 Oct 2004	0.1

Whites Point Quarry
 Little River, Digby County
 Nova Scotia


**Environmental Assessment/
 Impact Statement**

- Legend**
-  Quarry Property Line
 -  Phytoplankton and Zooplankton Sample Station Location
 -  Sediment Sample Station Location

Produced for Bilcon of Nova Scotia Corporation

Oceanography Surveys
 Map 16

Graphic Design by Mark Proulx, Blue Planet, N.S.



Zooplankton species were dominated by calanoid copepods with a total of nine species observed. Two species of cladocerans were also present as well as a pteropod, a tunicate and a number of larvae of which *Balanus nauplii* (a barnacle) were the most common and abundant.

9.2.4.1.6 Fish

Potential freshwater fish habitat was investigated on and adjacent to the Whites Point quarry site. One intermittent watercourse flows through the proposed active quarry area. Two intermittent watercourses flow adjacent to the active quarry area at the north and south boundaries of the quarry property. These three watercourses were investigated (Brylinsky 2002) and it was determined that they are unlikely to contain suitable salmonid habitat. Subsequently, the Department of Fisheries and Oceans – Habitat Management Division investigated the watercourse which flows through the active quarry area and found it to be not suitable fish habitat – see Appendix 18. It should be noted that the north and south watercourses are included in the perimeter environmental preservation zone.

The intertidal and nearshore waters along the coast of the proposed quarry provide habitat for various species of fish. Demersal and pelagic finfish, shellfish and crustaceans inhabit these marine waters. Shellfish and crustaceans such as scallop and American lobster live within the benthic (bottom) community. Demersal fish such as flounder, cod, redfish etc. inhabit the lower portion of the water column and are closely associated with the benthic community. Pelagic fish such as herring, mackerel, salmon, shark etc. inhabit the middle and upper water column. Some species such as salmon are anadromous depending upon fresh and marine waters during their life cycle stages. Many of these species are commercially important and are discussed further in **paragraph 9.3.13**.

Sensitive species of marine fish at some level of risk as identified by COSEWIC and NSDNR, such as striped bass (*Morone saxatilis*) (Bay of Fundy population), Atlantic sturgeon (*Acipenser oxyrinchus*), Atlantic cod (Maritimes population), and gaspereau (*Alosa pseudoharengus*), may possibly be present in offshore waters. A complete list of federal and provincial species at risk and the probability of their occurrence at or adjacent to the quarry and marine terminal are contained in **Table AE – 1**, Appendix 39. The inner Bay of Fundy salmon is designated as “endangered” by COSEWIC and SARA, the striped bass as “threatened” by COSEWIC; and the Atlantic cod as “special concern” by COSEWIC. Accordingly, these species will be treated as VECs and discussed in detail in **paragraph 9.2.5.6**.

Contaminants in harvested marine organisms are discussed in **paragraph 9.3.19**.

9.2.4.1.7 Marine Mammals

Sixteen species of marine mammals (whales, dolphins, porpoises, and seals) are likely to be found in the Bay of Fundy (Beatty 1989, Ref. 100). These include the endangered North Atlantic right whale and the blue whale (*Balaenoptera musculus*). Most whales are found in the lower Bay of Fundy, however, harbour porpoises (*Phocoena phocoena*) and seals are found in the uppermost reaches of the Bay. Generally, whales begin arriving in the Bay in late May and leave in October, with some staying into the winter. Harbour seals (*Phoca vitulina concolor*) and gray seals (*Halichoerus grypus*) are resident throughout the year. Following are the documented species of marine mammals and their occurrence in the Bay of Fundy (Beatty 1989).

Fin whale (<i>Balaenoptera physalus</i>)	Abundant (June – October)
Minke whale (<i>Balaenoptera acutorostrata</i>)	Common (June – October)
Humpback whale (<i>Megaptera novaeangliae</i>)	Common (June – October)
North Atlantic right whale	Abundant Locally (June – Sept.)
Harbour porpoise	Common
Atlantic white-sided dolphin (<i>Lagenorhynchus acutus</i>)	Common (June – October)
Pilot whale (<i>Globicephala melaena</i>)	Occasional
White-beaked dolphin (<i>Lagenorhynchus albirostris</i>)	Occasional
Harbour seal	Common (Resident)
Grey seal	Occasional
Blue whale	Extremely Rare
Sperm whale (<i>Physeter macrocephalus</i>)	Rare
Beluga whale (<i>Delphinapterus leucas</i>)	Extremely Rare
Killer whale (<i>Orcinus orca</i>)	Rare
Blue nose dolphin (<i>Tursiops truncatus</i>)	Rare
Common dolphin (<i>Delphinus delphis</i>)	Rare

Since there was little available information concerning the distribution of marine mammals and the summer distribution of waterbirds in the vicinity of the Whites Point Quarry, boat reconnaissances for these taxa were conducted during July and August of 2002 by Bay to Bay Adventures Ltd., an experienced, local whale and seabird tour business operating out of East Ferry, under contract to Bilcon of Nova Scotia Corporation. Weekly trips were made along the coast from Petite Passage to Sandy Cove, approximately 150 m (500 ft.) from shore, and a return trip approximately 1.9 km (1.2 mi.) from shore. Duration of the trips was approximately two hours depending on the weather and sea conditions. The observation route is shown on **Map 21**. From May to October 2003, weekly observations of the nearshore waters off Whites Point were made by David W. Kern, B.Sc. Some casual observations of marine mammals using coastal waters adjacent the Whites Point property were made during investigations of the terrestrial fauna in June

Legend

➔ Observation Route


Marine Mammals

- M** Minke Whale
- SC** Seal Colony
- P** Porpoise

Seabirds

- G** Northern Gannets
- S** Greater Shearwaters
- SP** Storm Petrels

Source of Information:
Bay to Bay Adventures Ltd.
July - August 2002




2002 and 2004 (see Alliston, 2004A; Alliston, 2004B). Following is a compilation of the species observed.

The locations where observations of marine mammals including whales, porpoises, and seals were recorded during the boat trips. Three minke whales were the only whales observed on the boat trips – one on July 6, and two on August 1. These whales were observed along the route 1.9 km (1.2 mi.) from shore. A single minke whale was observed approximately 250 m (800 ft.) from shore on June 21 2004 during terrestrial breeding bird surveys (Alliston, 2004b). No whales were observed by Mr. Kern during his weekly observations at Whites Point in 2003. The location of the sightings are shown on **Map 21**.

Groups of four to ten and an occasional lone harbour porpoise were observed during four of the nine boat trips. Occurrence of porpoises were generally along the route 1.9 km (1.2 mi.) off- shore in the area of Whale Cove and from West Mink Cove to Burns Point. A small group (2+) of harbour porpoises was observed just off Whites Point on June 22, 2002 during surveys of terrestrial fauna (Alliston, 2004a). Mr. Kern observed a single harbour porpoise feeding in Whites Cove on June 24 2003. A single harbour porpoise was observed off Whites Cove during harlequin duck surveys on February 9, 2005 (George Alliston- personal communication) The location of the sightings are shown on Figure 10. Lone and small groups of harbour seals and a colony of forty to sixty individuals were observed during every boat trip. Occurrence of seals was continuous along the immediate coast throughout the month of August and at the colony in the area of Crowells Cove. The location of the seal colony is shown on **Map 21**. It should be noted that no marine reptiles were observed during these trips.

Subsequent to the above observations, in a letter dated February 10, 2006 (Appendix 22), the Department of Fisheries and Oceans Environmental Assessment and Major Projects Division furnished comments on Bilcon of Nova Scotia Corporation's Whites Point Quarry and Marine Terminal Blasting Protocol. This letter included results from the Maritimes DFO sightings database for North Atlantic right, finback, humpback, and minke whales as well as harbour porpoises in the vicinity of Digby Neck (see Figure 3 of February 10, 2006 letter). Although not an accurate reflection of the relative density of whales and porpoises in this region, the patterns of occurrence generally reflect other research and whale watching effort in this area of the Bay of Fundy.

Sensitive species of marine mammals at some level of risk, as identified by SARA/COSEWIC, such as the North Atlantic right whale, blue whale and fin whale, may possibly be present in nearshore waters and we know the harbour porpoise occurs there. A complete list of federal and provincial species at risk and the probability of their occurrence at or adjacent to the quarry and marine terminal are contained in **Table AE-1**, Appendix 39. The North Atlantic right whale and blue whale are designated as “endangered” by SARA/COSEWIC and the fin whale and harbour porpoise are designated as “special concern” by COSEWIC. Accordingly, these species of marine mammals will be treated as VECs and discussed in detail in **paragraphs 9.2.11 and 9.2.13**.



9.2.4.1.8 Waterbirds – Summer

Double-crested cormorants (*Phalacrocorax auritus*) were the most abundant bird observed during every boat trip conducted in the summer of 2002. Common eiders were observed during the July 11 trip, while black (*Melanitta nigra*) and white-winged (*Melanitta fusca*) scoters were observed during all of the August trips. Occurrence of these birds was continuous along the inshore transect with the exception of the cormorants which were also sighted on the “offshore” transect. Great blue herons (*Ardea herodias*) were also observed along the coast line during the majority of the trips. Northern gannets (*Morus bassanus*) and greater shearwaters (*Puffinus gravis*) were observed during most trips in July and early August along the “offshore” transect. Small groups of immature northern gannets were also recorded flying over and feeding in waters adjacent to the Whites Point property during terrestrial fauna surveys carried out in late June of 2002 and 2004.

Groups of five to fifteen greater shearwaters were observed actively feeding during the early August boat trips. A group of ten to fifteen were observed off Tommys Beach during the August 8 trip. Northern gannets were also observed diving during the early July trips and one large group of storm petrels was observed feeding off West Mink Cove during the August 8 trip. The location of the sightings of greater shearwaters, northern gannets and storm petrels are shown on **Map 21**.

A single immature (non-breeding) common loon (*Gavia immer*) was observed swimming and diving in the coastal waters adjacent the Whites Point property during terrestrial breeding bird surveys on 23 June 2002. Two immature common loons were observed during similar surveys conducted on 22 June 2004. The locations of these sightings are shown on Figure 10.

No waterbird nesting colonies were observed during the coastal boat surveys or during the terrestrial breeding bird surveys.

Of the waterbird species observed using coastal waters during summer, only the common loon is considered at risk by NS GSR (yellow). However, COSEWIC considers this species to be not at risk.

9.2.4.1.9 Waterbirds - Winter

On behalf of Bilcon of Nova Scotia Corporation, winter use of coastal waters by waterbirds in the Digby Neck area was investigated by W. George Alliston Ph.D. – see Alliston, W. George, “Use by Wintering Waterbirds of Digby Neck and Adjacent Coastal Waters of Southwestern Nova Scotia” 7 June 2005 (**Ref. Vol. I, Tab 3**). This study is based on a literature search, recent surveys conducted by NSDNR and CWS, and field observations.


NSDNR helicopter surveys for wintering waterfowl were conducted annually, between mid January and mid February, from 1992 through 2000, along the entire coastline of Nova Scotia. Additional opportunistic surveys were conducted from fixed-wing aircraft. The surveys were broken up into blocks of varying lengths that adhered to a system previously established by CWS.

The block which contained the Whites Point property was designated as block 117 and extends a distance of 36 km (22 mi.) from Boars Head light, at the northern tip of Long Island, through the northern half of Petite Passage to Gullivers Head on Digby Neck, of which about 3 km (1.9 mi.) is the coastline of the Whites Point property. These surveys showed a great deal of variation year by year in the numbers of waterfowl using this block; from a high of 1853 in 1992 to a low of 123 in 1999 with an average of 606. Similar year by year variations were observed in other survey blocks. Although 9 species, and two species groups (scaups – *Aythya spp.* and goldeneyes – *Bucephala spp.*), were identified in block 117, by far the majority of waterfowl observed (84%) were common eiders. Moderate numbers of black ducks (4%), long-tailed ducks (*Clangula hyemalis*) (3%) and goldeneye species (3%) were recorded in this block.

By dividing the numbers of waterfowl observed in each survey block by the length of the block a “density index” (# waterfowl/km) can be obtained which permits a direct comparison among blocks of their use by waterfowl. The location and numbering system of these blocks, and the average waterfowl density index for the period 1992 to 2000, as obtained from the annual helicopter surveys, are shown for the southern Bay of Fundy – St Marys Bay areas on **Map 22**. The average density index for block 117 was 16.8 waterfowl/km (27.0 waterfowl/mi.). Other survey block density indices in the southern Bay of Fundy and Saint Marys Bay range from a low of 0.3 waterfowl/km (0.5 waterfowl/mi.) in the Scots Bay area to a high of 44.2 waterfowl/km (74.8 waterfowl/mi.) in block 125 which includes the coast of Saint Marys Bay between Weymouth Harbour and Church Point .

While aerial surveys are effective in censusing most waterfowl species, they are not particularly effective in censusing harlequin ducks, a species at risk. In 2000, CWS began an annual census of known harlequin duck wintering sites in the Maritimes, using both land based observations and observations made from boats. CWS surveys have identified harlequin duck wintering areas in the Bay of Fundy including portions of the coastline of Digby Neck, Long Island and Brier Island. Of the wintering areas that have been identified in this area, only in the areas surrounding Trout Cove, Digby Neck and Bear Cove, Long Island have harlequin ducks been found consistently and in good numbers. These two wintering areas are located approximately 12 km (7.5 mi.) north and south of the Whites Point property (see **Map 22**). Harlequins have also been observed in Deep Cove/Sandy Cove, Tommy’s Beach and Whale Cove on Digby Neck, and at Peajack Cove and Western Light, Brier Island. Only small numbers have been observed at these latter sites and these sites are apparently not used consistently.

In the Digby Neck – Long Island area, known harlequin duck wintering areas were censused from land in 2000 and 2001. However, from 2002 onward, surveys were conducted by boat and extended from the Bear Cove area on Long Island to the Trout Cove area on Digby Neck thus including the coastline of the Whites Point property. In 2004 (February 24) and 2005 (February 9), George Alliston and Bernard Forsythe, on behalf of Bilcon of Nova Scotia Corporation, were guests of CWS biologist Andrew Boyne, on his winter boat surveys of this area.



The populations of harlequin ducks wintering in the Maritimes appears to be increasing in recent years and this appears to hold true for that portion of the population wintering in the Digby Neck area. The highest number recorded during CWS surveys was in 2005 when 118 birds were recorded. The previous high count was 86 birds in 2003.

Harlequin ducks using the Digby Neck – Long Island areas are concentrated around their traditional wintering areas centered around Trout Cove, Digby Neck and Bear Cove, Brier Island. During four years of winter boat surveys, no harlequin ducks have been observed using the coastal waters adjacent the Whites Point property. Dr. Alliston and Bernard Forsythe conducted a land based survey of the coastal waters of the Whites Point property from Whites Cove to the north boundary of the property on February 7, 2005 and found no harlequin ducks.

Concerns were expressed by government agencies regarding the possible interaction of harlequin ducks with the Whites Point project if they moved between traditional wintering areas located approximately 12 km (7.5 mi.) on either side of Whites Point. In response to this concern, Bilcon of Nova Scotia Corporation contracted Dr. George Alliston to determine if there were frequent movements of harlequins between these two wintering areas. This study was carried out in the winter of 2005 (see Alliston, W. George, “Wintering Harlequin Ducks in the Digby Neck – Long Island Area, Digby County, Nova Scotia – 2005” 20 May 2005 **Ref. Vol I, Tab 2**). While this study was preliminary and relied on indirect methods, it strongly suggested that, after settling on their wintering grounds, there were no frequent movements of harlequins between these two traditional wintering areas. Indeed some of the information collected suggests that little exchange of birds occurred between these two sites over the entire winter.

During aerial surveys, common goldeneye (*Bucephala clangula*) (not at risk) and Barrow’s goldeneye (*Bucephala icelandica*) (a species at risk) cannot be distinguished. These two species can generally be distinguished during boat surveys. Goldeneye species were commonly observed in moderate numbers during winter aerial surveys of block 117. The annual winter boat surveys for harlequin ducks cover more than 75% of block 117 and during the four surveys conducted between 2002 and 2005, no Barrow’s goldeneye have been recorded (Andrew Boyne - personal communication). No Barrow’s goldeneye were observed during a land based survey of the coastline of the Whites Point property from Whites Cove to the north boundary of the property on February 7, 2005.

Winter aerial surveys were designed to obtain information on waterfowl and provided little information on the distributions of other species of waterbirds (loons, grebes, alcids), so, unlike waterfowl, information to compare use of coastal waters by these species within the region, is not available. Participation in the CWS boat surveys of 2004 and 2005 provided an opportunity to collect information at the local level on the distribution of these species. During these boat surveys, in addition to 10 species of waterfowl, 7 other waterbird species were recorded (see **Table BB**).

Table BB. Waterbird¹ species recorded during CWS boat surveys of portions of Digby Neck and Long Island, Nova Scotia: 24 February 2004² and 9 February 2005³.

DIGBY NECK

Species	Petite Passage ⁴		Long Island		Grand Eddy Point to Whites Point Property		Whites Point Property		NE of Whites Point		Total Digby Neck		TOTAL	
	2004	2005	2004	2005	2004	2005	2004	2005	2004	2005	2004	2005	2004	2005
Common Loon	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	9	1	26	1	35	1	38
Red-necked Grebe	0	0	2	1	0	23	0	2	3	36	3	61	5	62
Great Cormorant	6	2	1	1	0	3	1	2	11	8	12	13	19	16
American Black Duck	0	0	0	2	0	10	0	0	2	2	2	12	2	14
Mallard	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Common Eider	700	623	0	12	7	418	1	46	8	83	16	547	716	1,182
Harlequin Duck	0	0	48	49	0	2	0	0	17	67	17	69	65	118
Long-tailed Duck	0	0	21	5	12	73	0	24	39	141	51	238	72	243
Surf Scoter	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	4	30	40	30	44	30	44
White-winged Scoter	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	7	1	7	1	7
Common Goldeneye	0	0	0	12	0	51	2	12	0	0	2	63	2	75
Bufflehead	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	1
Red-breasted Merganser	0	2	2	0	0	17	0	0	3	26	3	43	5	45
Duck <i>sp.</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	5	0	5	0
Purple Sandpiper	0	0	0	15	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	5	0	20
Common Murre	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	2
Murre <i>sp.</i>	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	1	1	6	1	9	1	11
Black Guillemot	0	0	6	5	0	7	0	2	1	23	1	32	7	37
Atlantic Puffin	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	2	0	2
Total	706	629	80	107	19	607	4	103	123	472	146	1,182	932	1,918
Length of coastline surveyed (km)			3.8	6.1	8.2	8.2	2.8	2.8	14.0	16.3	25.0	27.3		

¹ gulls not counted

² sea state = Beaufort 4+

³ sea state = Beaufort 0

⁴ includes land-based observations

Unlike wintering waterfowl that tend to be found in flocks, some of which can be quite large, other waterbird species found in these coastal waters tend to occur as individuals or in very small, loose groups. The only exception that was observed was red-necked grebes (*Podiceps grisegena*) which, when they were resting/sleeping, were sometimes found in tight flocks of up to 57 birds. These resting flocks were observed on several occasions but were only seen in the shelter of Sandy Cove and Whale Cove. Feeding red-necked grebes were distributed in a similar fashion to other non-waterfowl species. For only one of these waterbird species was a higher density recorded in the coastal waters off the Whites Point property than in other locations covered by the boat survey. That species was the common loon, a species at risk. During the February 9, 2005 boat survey, densities of common loons in the coastal waters of Digby Neck to the south of the Whites Point property were 0.0/km and to the north 1.6/km but at the property were 3.2/km.

A February 7, 2005 land based survey of the coastal waters of the Whites Point property from Whites Cove to the northern property boundary resulted in 13 species of waterbirds being identified and a total count of 672 waterbirds, 601 (89.4%) of which were waterfowl, and of these waterfowl, 467 (69.5%) were common eiders (see **Table CC**). In addition to waterfowl, significant numbers of common loons, red-necked grebes and black guillemots (*Cephus grille*) were found using these coastal waters. Although not observed during the land-based survey, two days later, during the CWS boat survey, a single Atlantic puffin (*Fratercula arctica*) was recorded in the waters off the northern boundary of the Whites Point property.

Table CC: Numbers* of Waterbirds Observed During a Land Based Survey of the Coastal Waters of the Whites Point Property (from Whites Cove to NE Boundary on 7 February, 2005

Species	Numbers
Common Loon	14
Red-necked Grebe	32
Great Cormorant	6
American Black Duck	12
Common Eider	467
Long-tailed Duck	68
Black Scoter	4
Surf Scoter	23
White-winged Scoter	20
Bufflehead	4
Red-breasted Merganser	3
Thick-billed Murre	1
Black Guillemot	18
TOTAL	672

* These numbers include only birds observed swimming/diving in coastal waters. Birds observed flying past but not landing are not included. Gulls were not counted.

9.2.4.1.10 Waterbird Migration

The shores of the inner Bay of Fundy, particularly Shepody Bay, New Brunswick and Southern Bight, Minas Basin, Nova Scotia are vital staging areas for migrating shorebirds. Most notably, this area is used in autumn by between 40 and 75% of the world population (estimated wintering population of 2 to 2.5 million) of semipalmated sandpipers (*Calidris pusilla*) (Hicklin, 1987). These birds arrive in the Bay of Fundy from their arctic breeding grounds starting in mid-July. Here they feed, often doubling their weight during their two to three week stay. These fat reserves are required for their >3200 km (>2000 mi.) non-stop flight from the Bay of Fundy to South America. Flocks of as large as 350,000 birds have been recorded in Shepody Bay. Based on the fact that these areas support the largest numbers of mixed species shorebirds during fall migration in all of North America, they have been designated a Western Hemisphere Shorebird Reserve.

In the southern portion of the outer Bay of Fundy, Brier Island and the marine areas surrounding the island are staging areas for several waterbird species. Part of the coast of Brier Island provides a staging area for shorebirds (mainly semipalmated sandpipers) with peak daily numbers reaching 2000 to 3000 birds in July and August and 1000 birds in September. Atlantic brant (*Branta bernicla*) are also known to stage at Brier Island with daily numbers peaking at 4000 in March (Laviolette, 2003, Ref 132). From late July through September red phalaropes (*Phalaropus fulicaria*) and red-necked phalaropes (*Phalaropus lobatus*) gather in the waters surrounding Brier Island to feed on the copepod *Calanus finmarchicus* prior to dispersing to their wintering grounds in the offshore waters off Africa. Daily peaks of as many as 100,000 birds have been recorded (Laviolette, 2003).

Between 1994 to 2000, NSDNR conducted several opportunistic fixed-wing aircraft surveys for waterfowl in the coastal waters of Nova Scotia, including the Bay of Fundy and Saint Marys Bay, during the period between late March and late May; an interval that covers the peak spring migration period for most waterfowl (see Alliston 2005a). Six surveys of block 117, a 36 km (22 mi.) section of the coast which includes the 3 km (1.9 mi.) shoreline of the Whites Point property, were flown. The only waterfowl species recorded in block 117 was the common eider. During these surveys 30 birds were recorded on two surveys, 3 birds on one survey and no birds were recorded on three surveys.

The only location on the southern Bay of Fundy coast where significant numbers of waterfowl were recorded during spring aerial surveys was on block 100 which extends from Margaretsville to Black Rock. During a survey on May 16, 2000, 1186 scoters (1120 of which were black scoters) and 1151 common eiders were recorded in this block. Moderate numbers of common eiders (max. 173 on March 24, 1994) and Atlantic brant (max. 550 on April 26, 1994) were regularly recorded in the coastal waters of Brier Island.

Relatively little is known of the actual routes taken by waterbirds migrating through the outer Bay of Fundy. Routes could be coastal or offshore. For those birds using coastal routes to travel to and from their southern wintering grounds, it would seem more likely that most would travel along the north coast of the Bay, via the coasts of Maine and New Brunswick. Falardeau et al. (1998, Ref. 216) reports “thousands” of spring migrant surf scoters (*Melanitta perspicillata*) following this route. While this appears to also be the case for much of that portion of the Atlantic brant population that uses the coastal migration route (Erskine, 1988), those birds that stage at Brier Island may follow coastal migration routes along the southern Bay of Fundy. It seems likely that some other waterfowl species, as well as loons and grebes might also use such routes.

Common loons are diurnal migrants that follow migration paths that sometimes are just offshore following coastlines although they also follow offshore and overland routes. When migrating over water common loons fly at heights of e’15 m (50 ft.) (Sibley, 1993, Ref. 224).

Red-necked grebes are known to have extensive diurnal migratory movements along coastlines but overland movements are strictly nocturnal. During over water migratory flights observed at the Whitefish Bird Observatory, MI, most red-necked grebes flew at heights of from 0.5 to 50 m (1.5 to 165 ft.) above water and generally remained at least 750 m (0.5 mi.) offshore (Stout and Nuechterlein, 1999, Ref. 225).

In Alaska, migrant black scoters fly low (<100 m – 330 ft.) over marine waters and usually > 1 km (0.6 mi.) from shore (Herter et al. 1999, Ref. 218).

Barrow’s goldeneye pairs, on the other hand, are believed to migrate alone and at night in a somewhat direct line from wintering to breeding areas (Savard, 1985, Ref. 223). Harlequin ducks are believed to take direct routes between wintering and breeding areas but may stage in coastal areas (Robertson and Goudie, 1999, Ref. 221).

9.2.4.1.11 Waterbird Species at Risk

Sensitive species of waterfowl at some level of risk such as the Atlantic brant, harlequin duck and Barrow’s goldeneye are known to be present as regular, seasonal visitors in the region of the Whites Point property. Another waterbird species at risk, the common loon, is known to use the nearshore waters adjacent to the Whites Point property. A single sighting of another bird species at risk, the Atlantic puffin, was made in the waters off the Whites Point property. A complete list of federal and provincial waterbird species at risk and the probability of their occurrence at or adjacent to the quarry and marine terminal are contained in Table AE-1, Appendix 39.

The eastern population of the harlequin duck is designated as of “special concern” by SARA/COSEWIC, as “endangered” under NSESA and “red” under the NS GSR. The eastern population of Barrow’s goldeneye is designated of “special concern” by SARA/COSEWIC and “yellow” under the NS GSR. Accordingly, these two species will be treated as VECs and discussed in detail in **paragraph 9.2.7**. The Atlantic puffin, common loon and Atlantic brant are designated as colour rank “yellow” under the NS GSR but are not designated as being at risk by SARA/COSEWIC. These latter species are discussed below.

Atlantic Brant

The Atlantic brant nests in the Canadian low arctic near Foxe Basin and winters along the Atlantic coast from Massachusetts to North Carolina (Reed *et al*, 1998). The spring and autumn migrations take many of these birds through the Bay of Fundy (Lincoln *et al.*, 1998; Erskine, 1988). Although most of these birds follow the north coast of the Bay of Fundy, some make regular stopovers at Brier Island during their migrations. The main spring migration occurs in March when daily counts at Brier Island can reach 4000 birds (Laviolette, 2003). The peak of autumn migration occurs in early November. In recent years small numbers have wintered at Brier Island (Tufts, 1986).

Brier Island was the only location where observations were made of Atlantic brant during winter and spring aerial surveys conducted between 1992 and 2000 by NSDNR of the coasts of the southern Bay of Fundy and St Marys Bay. While these birds may fly past the Whites Point property during migration, there is no indication that they would stage in this area. Their preferred food is eelgrass (*Zostera sp.*) which does not occur in the coastal waters adjacent to this property.

Common Loon

The Province of Nova Scotia has assigned the common loon a colour rank “yellow” based mainly on the fact that the Nova Scotia breeding population appears to have a lower reproductive rate than breeding populations in other parts of eastern Canada (Kerkes, 1992). COSEWIC considers this species as “not at risk” in Canada.

There are only two lakes on Digby Neck that are large enough to provide breeding habitat for common loons; Harris Lake and Lake Midway. Harris Lake is the closer being approximately 7 km (4.5 mi.) from the Whites Point property and nesting there by common loons has been confirmed.

Very small numbers of immature, nonbreeding common loons (one in 2002; two in 2004) were observed using the coastal waters of the Whites Point property during summer. Larger numbers of wintering common loons (14 birds on February 7, 2005) were found using the coastal waters of the property between Whites Cove and the northern boundary of the property. During the CWS boat survey of February 9, 2005 from Bear Cove, Long Island to Trout Cove, Digby Neck, the highest density index (3.2/km) recorded for common loons was in the coastal waters of the Whites Point property.

Common loons are migratory and in Nova Scotia there are “definite migrations along the coast: north largely between mid-March and late April, and south between mid-September and late November” (Tufts, 1986). Since there is a general southward migration of common loons during autumn, the common loons wintering along the coasts of Nova Scotia, including the Whites Point property, are likely to be from populations that breed in more northerly areas and not from the Nova Scotia breeding population that is considered at risk. Immature common loons may remain on their wintering grounds throughout the year (Viet and Petersen, 1993) so immature birds using the coastline during summer are also unlikely to be from the Nova Scotia breeding population.

Although densities of wintering common loons observed along the coast of the Whites Point property in February 2005 were higher than in adjacent areas, since common loons do not tend to aggregate in flocks but are generally widely distributed, the actual numbers that might be exposed to project activities are not large. Fourteen common loons were counted during the survey that was conducted on February 7, 2005, under perfect viewing conditions, of the Whites Point property coastline from Whites Cove to the north boundary.

Like many waterbird species, common loons have a “catastrophic” moult during which they simultaneously lose their flight feathers and become flightless for about a month. Unlike most waterbird species, adult common loons moult during winter (January to March) (McIntyre, 1988; Woolfenden, 1972). Immature common loons moult during spring and summer. Moulting common loons are less mobile and hence more vulnerable during this period.

Atlantic Puffin

Worldwide, the Atlantic puffin is a relatively common species with an estimated 6 million pairs breeding in colonies from northwest Russia to eastern North America (Chardine, 1999; Nettleship and Evans, 1985). It is because of the small number and size of these breeding colonies on islands off the Nova Scotia coast, at the southern periphery of their range, and their vulnerability, while concentrated at their breeding colonies, to accidents (e.g. oil spills), disturbance and predation, that the Province of Nova Scotia has assigned this species a colour rank “yellow”.

There are no Atlantic puffin colonies in the southern Bay of Fundy - Saint Marys Bay area. The six colonies in Nova Scotia are on islands off the coast of Cape Breton and the South Shore. There are also several small colonies in the Gulf of Maine.

While gregarious during the breeding season, outside the breeding season Atlantic puffins are widely distributed in “ones and twos” generally far offshore in the North Atlantic. The two winter observations of Atlantic puffins made in the vicinity of the Whites Point property constitute uncommon occurrences of a species that is widely distributed in winter and, in general, shows a marked preference for offshore wintering areas.

9.2.4.1.12 Other Waterbirds

Red-necked grebes and black guillemots are two species that were observed in significant numbers in the coastal waters adjacent to the Whites Point property during the land based survey conducted on February 7, 2005 (see **Table CC**). Relatively low numbers of these species were recorded in the same area two days later during a boat survey (see **Table BB**). Although neither of these species is identified on the four priority lists used in the identification of species at risk for this EIS, both are identified as being at risk in Nova Scotia by ACCDC.

9.2.4.1.13 Invasive Species

Invasive species lists such as the Global Invasive Species Database for Canada include the European green crab, Common periwinkle, and Zebra mussel (*Dreissena polymorpha*) as invasive species inhabiting marine environments. Also, Invasive species.gov lists the European green crab and the Zebra mussel. As previously mentioned, the European green crab and common periwinkle were observed in the intertidal zone at the Whites Point quarry site. In view of the lack of baseline data specific to the marine waters at Whites Point regarding phytoplankton and zooplankton, Bilcon of Nova Scotia Corporation surveyed nearshore waters for these marine organisms (Brylinsky 2005 **Ref. Vol. II, Tab 11**). The results of the phytoplankton and zooplankton survey are included in Appendix 44.

9.2.4.2 Analyses

Potential impacts of the Whites Point Quarry and Marine Terminal on nearshore areas of the Outer Bay of Fundy will be confined to areas immediately adjacent to the Whites Point property. The primary direct disturbance of coastal – nearshore marine habitat would occur in the area of the proposed marine terminal– see **Figures 2 and 3**. Secondary direct disturbances within the nearshore waters would result from vessel arrivals, loading, and departure which is scheduled for approximately once per week throughout the year with a twenty-four hour length of stay. An 800 m radius (one half mile) seaward from the marine terminal berth would be required by the vessel as a turning radius during arrival and departure as it manoeuvres to and from the berth, depending on weather and tidal conditions. In addition to these direct disturbances, land based activities and the noise produced by construction, quarry operations and, particularly, blasting, could also affect fauna using adjacent nearshore areas. These issues are addressed in the sections that follow. Blasting is treated separately from other potential impacts (see below). The potential impacts on nearshore marine areas of runoff from the site has been addressed in a previous section (see Surface Water Drainage, **paragraph 9.1.6**).

9.2.4.2.1 Benthic Community

The bottom conditions off Whites Point in the area of the proposed marine terminal provide good habitat for American lobster which generally occur from 1 m (3.3 ft.) below low tide – seaward. Bottom samples and underwater video of this area also revealed the presence of sea cucumbers, sea urchins, starfish, and crabs, all food sources for lobster. Pelagic fish such as herring are also present in the nearshore shallow waters (less than 10 m – 33 ft.) and off shore to depths of 200 m (660 ft.).

There appears to be little or no infauna (animals that burrow into sediments) present as a result of the instability of the sediment surface and the strong erosional processes as evidenced by the 2002 bottom grab samples. This is common in high energy environments where waves and water currents are strong enough to create an unstable bottom substrate which prevents the deposition and accumulation of fine sediments. Further, analysis of the 2005 bottom sediment samples also produced few living organisms.

9.2.4.2.2 Plankton

The nearshore area of the proposed Whites Point Quarry and Marine Terminal falls within the outer Bay of Fundy and contains a distinct plankton community. Early studies of this region of the Bay over the past 60 years have reported results similar to the research cited previously (Brylinsky 2005 **Ref. Vol. II, Tab 11**). The zooplankton community along the Digby Neck shoreline is dominated by species that are common to the southwest Nova Scotia shoreline, such as *Acartia sp.*, *Calanus finmarchicus*, *Oithona sp.*, *Pseudocalanus sp.*, and *Temora lonicornis*. This region also tends to have higher concentrations of zooplankton than the central Gulf area as a result of upwelling systems that bring in nutrient rich waters. This is reflected in this research by the high chlorophyll *a* concentrations observed during the spring survey and the relatively high zooplankton numbers observed.

Populations of euphausiids, a major food item of whales, are common within the Bay of Fundy, but are typically located in areas where water depths range between 125 – 200 m (410 – 660 ft.). Euphausiids are not commonly found in large numbers along the southwest Nova Scotia shelf, however there have been reports of populations present during the summer off Brier Island. No euphausiid species were observed in this survey and it is unlikely that they would be abundant or common to the Whites Point area because of the shallow water depth.

A number of potentially harmful algal species are common in the Bay of Fundy and were observed during this survey. The most common species are the dinoflagellate *Alexandrium sp.* and the diatom *Pseudo-nitzschia sp.* *Alexandrium sp.* produces a Paralytic Shellfish Poisoning (PSP) toxin. *Mesodinium rubren* is another dinoflagellate observed in this survey which can produce red tides that result in a depletion of dissolved oxygen in areas where tidal mixing is weak and flushing rates low. Red tides have occurred in Passamaquoddy Bay and resulted in mortality of cage-reared salmon.

In conclusion, the phytoplankton and zooplankton community along the Whites Point shoreline is typical of the region. In terms of species composition and abundance, numbers are similar to other surveys. Based on comparisons with the results of other plankton surveys, there does not appear to be any unique characteristics associated with the plankton community along this shoreline (Brylinsky 2005).

9.2.4.2.3 Fish

A direct loss of bottom fish habitat and alteration of water column habitat will result from the placement of pipe piles in nearshore waters. This loss and alteration of fish habitat will be compensated for with an area of bottom habitat three times the size of the area lost and with features attached to selected pipe piles in various depths in the water column to enhance food sources for pelagic fish. For a detailed discussion of the compensation plan see **paragraph 9.2.4.3** and Appendix 17. Potential effects of shading on the euphotic zone resulting from the mooring dolphins was discussed with the Department of Fisheries and Oceans – Habitat Management Division and deemed not to be a concern.

9.2.4.2.4 Marine Mammals

The most frequent species of marine mammals observed during coastline whale and waterbird boat trips conducted in the immediate area of the proposed marine terminal during July and August, 2002, were lone and small groups of harbour seals. However, a seal colony of 40 to 60 individuals was observed in the area of Crowells Cove, approximately 3 km (2 mi.) north of Whites Point.

Although during Bilcon of Nova Scotia Corporation's studies, the only whales observed along the coastline were minke whales (four sightings), historic records indicate that North Atlantic right whales have strayed toward the Digby Neck coast. Satellite – monitored movements of a North Atlantic right whale adult female with calf were recorded in the nearshore waters off west Sandy Cove (Mate, et al 1992, Ref. 71). Harbour porpoises were regularly observed in the inshore waters during summer boat reconnaissances between East Ferry and Sandy Cove. On three occasions harbour porpoises were recorded at Whites Cove; twice in summer by land based observers and once during a winter boat survey of harlequin ducks

9.2.4.2.5 Waterbirds

During the summers of 2002 and 2004 relatively few waterbirds were recorded using the coastal waters of the Whites Point property and adjacent areas. Marine bird species that breed at these latitudes are generally colonial and often nest on islands, cliffs or in talus slopes and are concentrated in the vicinity of these nesting areas. With the possible exception of some small cormorant and gull colonies, there are no colonies of marine birds in the vicinity of the Whites Point property.

Birds that use these coastal waters in summer are generally non-breeding, immature birds, post-breeding birds, or breeding birds whose nesting attempts have failed. One immature common loon in 2002 and two in 2004 were observed in the coastal waters adjacent to the Whites Point property. Small groups of immature northern gannets were also observed there. Small flocks (max. 52 birds) of common eiders were observed using the coastal waters between East Ferry and Sandy Cove, including the Whites Point property. Two pelagic seabird species/species groups were recorded during the nearshore summer boat reconnaissances; storm petrels and greater shearwaters. Both are common in offshore waters of the Bay of Fundy during summer months but are not common in nearshore areas.

In winter greater numbers of waterbirds use the coastal waters of the Whites Point property and adjacent areas than in the summer. During boat surveys conducted in February 2004 and 2005 a total of 17 species of wintering waterbirds was observed using the coastal waters of the Bay of Fundy between Bear Cove, Long Island and Trout Cove, Digby Neck (see **Table BB**). Ten of these species were waterfowl. Of the 1918 waterbirds counted on the 2005 survey (when survey conditions were optimal) 1730 (90%) were waterfowl and of these 1182 (68%) were common eiders. Of the common eiders, 623 (53%) were in Petite Passage.

From aerial surveys of wintering waterfowl conducted by NSDNR between 1992 and 2000 we know that there can be considerable annual variation in the numbers of waterfowl using various portions of the coastline of the southern Bay of Fundy and Saint Marys Bay. Despite these annual variations, it was evident that some areas are favoured over others (see **Map 22**) In relation to other coastal areas in this region, waterfowl survey block 117, in which the Whites Point property is located, would rank as having moderate significance, which may be influenced by high concentrations of common eiders that regularly occur in Petite Passage. All of Saint Mary's Bay and Petite Passage are considered significant habitat for migratory waterfowl by the NSDNR (see Significant Species and Habitat database). Other than Petite Passage, survey block 117 is not identified on this database as containing significant migratory waterfowl habitat. However, within block 117, in the area surrounding Trout Cove, and in block 118 in the area surrounding Bear Cove, are important wintering areas for harlequin ducks; a species at risk.

For waterbird species, other than waterfowl, that winter along the coasts of southwestern Nova Scotia (e.g. loons, grebes, alcids), information similar to that for waterfowl is not available, so favoured areas within a regional context, and annual and within-year variations in usage of different sections of coastline are unknown. The winter boat survey of February 9, 2005, which was conducted under exceptionally favourable weather conditions, provides a good "snapshot" of the distribution of these "non-waterfowl" waterbirds between Bear Cove, Long Island and Trout Cove, Digby Neck (see **Table BB**). Similarly, the land-based survey of February 7, 2005, also conducted under exceptionally favourable weather conditions, provides a good "snapshot" of the usage of the coastal waters of the Whites Point property between Whites Cove and the north boundary of the property by all waterbird species (see **Table CC**).

The coast of the Whites Point property does not have appropriate habitat for staging shorebirds and spring aerial surveys conducted between 1992 and 2000 revealed a general lack of waterfowl in the 36 km (22 mi.) block of coastline that contains the Whites Point property. It would seem quite unlikely that the property coastline would serve as a staging area for other waterbird species but this is not known.

Some migratory waterbirds may follow coastal routes that take them past the Whites Point quarry and terminal. The terminal and loading facility might pose a hazard to coastal migrants under poor visibility conditions. The probability of collisions would be maximum when a bulk carrier was docked, thus presenting the maximum surface area at right angles to the birds direction of travel. Although this presents a hypothetical risk, we are unaware of similar situations where bird collisions have been identified as being a problem.

Waterbirds following coastal migration routes are likely to be using mainly visual cues to determine their migration paths. Unlike land birds migrating over water, waterbirds are able to land on the water if visibility becomes poor. Observations of coastal migrants in other areas suggest that many species migrate by day and follow paths over water that are some distance from shoreline .

The eastern populations of both the Harlequin duck and Barrow's goldeneye are designated as "special concern" by SARA/COSEWIC and have been recorded in the region of the proposed Whites Point Quarry. Accordingly, these species will be treated as VECs and discussed in **paragraph 9.2.7** .

Other waterbird species considered to be at risk (yellow) by the Province of Nova Scotia and known to occur within the region include the common loon, Atlantic brant, and Atlantic puffin. Of these three species, only the common loon is a regular inhabitant of the nearshore waters of the Whites Point property.

Common Loon

As discussed above, small numbers of immature common loons (1 in 2002; 2 in 2004) were observed using the coastal waters adjacent the Whites Point property in summer. Larger numbers (14 in 2005) of wintering birds were observed using these same waters. Since common loons are migratory, and immature loons may remain year round on their wintering grounds, the common loons that use the coastal waters of the Whites Point property in summer and winter are unlikely to be from the Nova Scotia breeding population that is considered at risk.

Common loons, as well as other waterbird species using these coastal waters, are likely to be excluded from nearshore areas immediately adjacent to where human activity and noise is being generated. Flightless, moulting birds are more vulnerable and tend to be more sensitive to disturbance. Moulting common loons tend to seek out protected areas with a reliable supply of food (fish).

It is not known whether wintering adult common loons remain along the rather exposed coastline of the Whites Point property or move to more sheltered bays during their moult.

The marine environment, particularly in the nearshore area, is a naturally noisy environment. Given the restrictions on noise levels at the property boundaries (65 dBA during the day and 55 dBA at night) the distance from the property boundary at which normal operations based noise is masked by background noise, under most circumstances, would not be great. Most animals also acclimate to some level of disturbance (noise & visual) once they learn that the disturbance does not pose a direct threat. All wintering waterbirds using the coastal waters of Digby Neck must have developed some degree of acclimation to disturbance given the level of boat activity associated with the lobster fishing season in these waters (December to May). Boats operating in the waters the birds are using would likely be perceived as a greater threat than land-based activities generating disturbances of a similar nature and magnitude.

9.2.4.3 Mitigation

The proposed construction system for the marine terminal, including the ship loader and conveyor supports, is designed to have minimal effect on the nearshore bottom habitat and water column see **Figures 2 and 3**. The marine terminal infrastructure will be supported on pipe piles anchored to the bedrock bottom. Construction in the nearshore waters below low tide will require drilling sockets and anchor holes for the pipe piles supporting the berthing dolphins, ship loader, and conveyors. Proposed pipe piles, pending detailed design, are thirty-six inch diameter resulting in a permanent displacement of sublittoral nearshore bottom habitat of 31.2 m² (336 ft²) or 0.003 ha (0.008 acres) resulting in a harmful alteration, disruption or destruction (HADD) of fish habitat and will require a *Fisheries Act Subsection 35(2) Authorization*. A “Fish Habitat Compensation Plan” – see Appendix 17 - to balance this loss has been approved in principle by the Department of Fisheries and Oceans – Habitat Management Division.

Construction will be carried out from a floating platform. Socket drilling will produce aggregate size material with little fines. Anchor drilling will be carried out within the pile casing thus confining any fines. Since construction will be carried out in basalt bedrock, and no bottom sediments are present, water quality in the nearshore should experience negligible alteration with this construction process. The use of this construction technique will maintain virtually unobstructed shoreline and nearshore currents.

Although more expensive than other construction techniques, no long term loss of bottom habitat is anticipated that cannot be compensated. As part of the Compensation Plan, selected pipe piles will have increased surface area of vertical habitat in the water column to attract food sources for pelagic fish. No dredging, filling, or blasting in the coastal – nearshore waters is proposed.

Intermittent (weekly) surface water disturbance can be expected within an 800 m (0.5 mi.) radius of the marine terminal when the vessel arrives, loads, and departs. During the months of January and February vessel traffic will be reduced, possibly to zero, depending upon weather conditions. No other disturbances are proposed within the coastal marine environment along the shoreline of the property.

Ship/marine mammal and waterbird interactions within this 800 m (0.5 mi.) radius are unlikely due to the slow movement of the vessel while manoeuvring into and out of the berth. The overall duration is expected to be less than 24 hours from arrival to departure. In any event, an onshore observer, situated on the elevated ship loader, will be in place at least one hour prior to the vessel arriving and departing. The observer will wear polarized sunglasses, be equipped with pedestal mounted 7 x 50 binoculars and radio communication with the vessel captain. If marine mammals or waterbirds are sighted, communications regarding their location will be transmitted to the captain of the vessel. In the event the vessel arrives or departs at night or in times of low visibility, the work boat will be used for on water surveys of the vessel's route.

By meeting the stipulated noise requirements at the coastal property boundaries (65 dBA during the day and 55 dBA at night) the noise from land-based activities should not penetrate far into the often noisy nearshore marine environment. Animals that could potentially be affected are those that use habitats closest to shore which would be waterbirds, pinnipeds and one cetacean, the harbour porpoise. Animals often acclimate to some level of acoustic and visual disturbance once they learn that it does not constitute a direct threat.

The tallest structure proposed for the Whites Point Quarry and Marine Terminal is the ship loader, which extends to a maximum height of 30 m (100 ft.) above the ordinary high water line. Lighting on the ship loader would only be used when loading a ship at night and will be shaded so that light is directed downward. At most, loading would occur once a week. When not being used, the ship loader would not be lighted. These measures should minimize any possible attraction of coastal migrant waterbirds to the marine terminal facilities.

9.2.4.4 Monitoring

During construction of the marine terminal, especially when sockets are being drilled for the pipe piles, visual monitoring of possible turbidity will be undertaken. If excessive change occurs in the turbidity levels 100 m (330 ft.) from the construction site that differs from existing conditions (i.e. distinct colour differences) as a result of the drilling activities, the work will be stopped and turbidity levels will be assessed in relation to marine aquatic life guidelines. Assessment of turbidity levels would be conducted 100 m (330 ft.) from the construction site using a turbidity meter giving nephelometric turbidity units (NTUs).

A moored datalogger would be installed if required. A maximum allowable increase of 8 NTUs from background levels under clear flow conditions for a short-term exposure (e.g. 24-h period) would be considered to be within acceptable guideline criteria. The Department of Fisheries and Oceans representative will be notified to determine if additional mitigation measures are required if the guideline criteria is exceeded.

Due to the public and fishing industry concerns expressed regarding invasive species in the marine environment, especially as a result of ballast water discharge at the Whites Point Marine Terminal, Bilcon of Nova Scotia Corporation will conduct monitoring at the Marine terminal to document any changes from baseline conditions. Monitoring of phytoplankton and zooplankton in waters adjacent to the marine terminal will be conducted to provide an early detection of possible invasive species. For a detailed discussion of ballast water refer to **paragraph 9.2.1.4**.

Monitoring of the effectiveness of the proposed fish habitat compensation plan will also be carried out by Bilcon of Nova Scotia Corporation. Details of this monitoring program will be determined in consultation with DFO.

9.2.4.5 Impact Statements

Coastal – Nearshore Marine Habitat – Construction

Since construction in nearshore marine waters for berthing, ship loader, and conveyor supports is expected to take one year and will be conducted during periods of low biological activity, this would result in a ***short term, insignificant negative effect, of local scale***.

Coastal – Nearshore Marine Habitat – Life of Project

Since the only disturbance in the coastal – nearshore marine habitat along the entire shoreline is berthing, ship loader, and conveyor supports anchored to the bottom and loss or alteration of marine habitat is compensated, this would result in a ***long term, neutral (no) effect, of local scale***.

Marine Mammals and Waterbirds

Given the frequency (weekly), duration (24 hours), and the slow speed of the vessel within 800 m (0.5 mi.) of the marine terminal, together with the proposed visual monitoring during arrival and departure, marine mammal, or waterbird/vessel direct interactions are not anticipated. However, disturbance due to land based activities, ship loading (noise) and vessel manoeuvring could result in temporary or longer term displacement of animals from part of their home range resulting in a ***long term, insignificant negative effect, of local scale***.

9.2.5 Fish – Endangered

Introduction

Two different population assemblages of anadromous Atlantic salmon are present in the Bay of Fundy. The “outer Bay of Fundy salmon” and the “inner Bay of Fundy salmon” (iBoF) salmon. The iBoF salmon populations are found in drainages of the Bay of Fundy above a line connecting the Saint John River in New Brunswick and the Annapolis River in Nova Scotia. Historically, the iBoF salmon most likely inhabited at least 40 rivers and streams entering the Bay of Fundy. iBoF salmon, except for the Gaspereau River population, all have similar life history traits that differ from the outer Bay of Fundy salmon. iBoF salmon demonstrate more localized migration, earlier age at maturity, high survival between spawning events, and a dependence on repeat spawning for population stability. Although the Gaspereau River population possesses the same genetic uniqueness common to the iBoF populations, marine migratory patterns and life history traits are similar to the outer Bay of Fundy salmon.

Since 1989, wild populations of the iBoF salmon have declined 90% or more in abundance and are currently considered at risk of extinction. Documentation of continued population declines prompted immediate actions to prevent the iBoF salmon’s extinction. In May 2001, the Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada (COSEWIC) designated the inner Bay of Fundy Atlantic salmon as endangered. As a result, a National Recovery Strategy for the inner Bay of Fundy (iBoF) salmon populations was prepared in 2002. This recovery strategy presents actions necessary to protect, conserve and ensure the recovery of the assemblages of iBoF salmon. A national recovery team is presently in place to implement the recovery strategy.

9.2.5.1 Research

Extensive literature research on the biology, movements, and migrations of Atlantic salmon in the Bay of Fundy was conducted by M. J. Dadswell, PhD – see Dadswell, M.J. “Migration of Inner Bay of Fundy Salmon in Relation to the Proposed Quarry in the Digby Neck Region of Nova Scotia”, November 2004 (**Ref. Vol. V, Tab 25**). Research included available tag return data from the last 90 years on Atlantic salmon released from sites within and outside the Bay of Fundy.

This research indicates that the seaward migration or departure of kelts (spawned-out adult salmon) from inner and outer Bay of Fundy rivers is along the New Brunswick shore. The rate of migration is rapid (up to 50 km/day), taking only a few weeks during May and June. Spawning migration or return of adults to outer Bay of Fundy rivers occurs during May to August and return to inner Bay of Fundy rivers occurs during July to October. Salmon returning to the outer Bay of Fundy rivers (e.g., the Saint John River) appear to move directly across the Bay at its outer end. Salmon returning to the inner Bay of Fundy rivers do not appear inshore until they reach the mid-Bay region around Kings County, Nova Scotia. Migration routes of Atlantic Salmon are shown on **Map 26**.

No recapture of tagged Atlantic salmon have been recorded along the shore of Digby Neck between Brier Island and the Digby Gut even though herring weirs have been operated in the Digby Neck region for over 100 years. The only recorded tag return of an Atlantic salmon from this region was from a weir along the Kings County shore of the inner Bay of Fundy. Also, by-catch of salmon in herring weirs, herring gill nets, and groundfish gill nets along the Bay of Fundy shore of Digby Neck has been minimal for the past 100 years.

9.2.5.2 Analysis

No evidence exists that the loss of fresh water productive capacity is responsible for, or contributes to, the recent population declines of iBoF salmon. Intermittent drainages on the proposed Whites Point Quarry site have been determined to be not suitable or marginal as fish habitat by DFO Habitat Management Division. On-site drainages are also not considered suitable habitat for salmonoid populations. Also, a significant distance exists between the proposed quarry site and known iBoF salmon rivers – see **Map 26**. Evidence does indicate that the population decline of iBoF salmon could be attributed to low marine survival, for which the cause is presently unknown. Trends in marine waters including changes in physical and chemical conditions, continued commercial fishing activities, numerous tidal barriers, and the development of an extensive aquaculture industry all could have potential negative effects on salmon populations within the Bay of Fundy. Again, the manner in which these factors could be affecting marine salmon habitat is not understood and may not be resolvable.

Recreational fisheries on all iBoF rivers have been closed since 1998. However, fishing which could produce iBoF salmon bycatch was observed during 2002 and 2003. Herring seiners and herring gill nets were observed fishing in the nearshore waters off Whites Point. As well, natural predators such as seals were observed in nearshore waters in the Whites Point area and a seal colony exists at Crowells Cove.

As shown on **Map 26**, tag return data indicates that migrating iBoF salmon do not pass along the coast of Digby Neck in the proposed Whites Point Quarry area. However, their range during migration, does extend into this portion of the Bay of Fundy on the New Brunswick coast. Since the iBoF salmon is a species at risk, a precautionary approach has been taken regarding possible adverse effects from the proposed quarry and marine terminal construction and operation.

The proposed marine terminal for the Whites Point Quarry consists of three berthing dolphins located approximately 200 m (660 ft.) off-shore. Pipe piles, anchored to the nearshore bedrock, are proposed as foundation structures for the berthing dolphins. This pipe pile design is intended to allow unobstructed coastal current flows thereby maintaining any nearshore iBoF salmon migration routes/patterns along this section of the coast. During construction, turbidity will be monitored to insure that the “Guidelines for the Protection of Aquatic Life – Total Particulate Matter” are not exceeded.

Whites Point Quarry Little River, Digby County Nova Scotia

Environmental Assessment/ Impact Statement


Produced for: Bilcon of Nova Scotia Corporation

Atlantic Salmon Rivers
of the Inner Bay of Fundy
Map 26

Graphic Design by Mark Pease, Bear River, N.S.

Legend

- | | | | |
|----|--------------------|----|--------------|
| 1 | Cornwallis | 17 | Parsboro |
| 2 | Gaspereau | 18 | Diligent |
| 3 | St. Croix, Hants | 19 | Apple |
| 4 | Kennetcook | 20 | River Hebert |
| 5 | Shubenacadie | 21 | Maccan |
| 6 | Strewiacke | 22 | Tantramar |
| 7 | Salmon, Colchester | 23 | Petitcodiac |
| 8 | North, Colchester | 24 | Demoiselle |
| 9 | Chiganois | 25 | Crooked |
| 10 | Debert | 26 | Shepody |
| 11 | Folly | 27 | Upper Salmon |
| 12 | Great Village | 28 | Point Wolfe |
| 13 | Portapique | 29 | Big Salmon |
| 14 | Bass, Colchester | 30 | Irish |
| 15 | Economy | 31 | Mosher |
| 16 | Herrington | 32 | Black |



Nova Scotia

Migration Routes - M. J. Dadswell

Map Source: National Recovery Strategy for
Inner Bay of Fundy Atlantic Salmon

No blasting is proposed within marine waters and setbacks from marine waters to points of explosive detonations will meet the guideline criteria set forth in “Guidelines for the Use of Explosives in or Near Canadian Fisheries Waters”. Again, a precautionary approach has been taken. Based on recommendations by the Department of Fisheries and Oceans – Habitat Management Division in their November 12, 2004 letter RE: Whites Point Quarry and Marine Terminal – Blasting Activity, – See Appendix 20 , a horizontal distance from shore line to the blast location has been established at least triple that determined by application of the equations in the “Guidelines for the Use of Explosives in or Near Canadian Fisheries Waters”. Also, the size of individual charges will be minimized. Explosive charges will be “decked” if required to further reduce effects. Since the inner Bay of Fundy salmon generally spawn in streams and rivers in the upper Bay of Fundy, possible effects of blasting on spawning habitat are highly unlikely. Thus, possible effects from blasting on the iBoF salmon would be limited to possible migration occurrence on the Nova Scotia coast during July to October. The above precautionary measures would be followed when blasting is conducted during the July to October time period.

As mentioned previously, the CONWEP model was used to verify potential effects on fish habitat using site specific data and a proposed blast design. Model runs indicate that the proposed on land blasting would likely not exceed 25 kPa in the nearest marine water column. This is well below the maximum 100 kPa recommended in the guideline criteria. Further, iBoF salmon migratory routes are expected to be much further off shore. Again, a conservative approach has been taken to reduce risk to iBoF salmon during blasting operations.

9.2.5.3 Mitigation

Recovery of the iBoF salmon populations in the Bay of Fundy is expected to be a long term effort. If industrial activities such as fishing, agriculture, forestry, aquaculture or quarrying are identified as impeding recovery efforts, mitigation without conflicts with the respective industry would be the preferred resolution, as suggested in the National Recovery Strategy. In this regard, Bilcon of Nova Scotia Corporation would be prepared to participate in developing appropriate mitigation measures for their quarry operations in relation to recovery efforts for the iBoF salmon and to work with the National Recovery Team. Presently, mitigation measures being implemented by Bilcon of Nova Scotia Corporation during quarry operations include sediment and blasting controls to meet or exceed published criteria and guidelines – e.g. “Canadian Water Quality Guidelines for the Protection of Aquatic Life”, “Ambient Water Quality Guidelines (Criteria) for Turbidity, Suspended and Benthic Sediments” and “Guidelines for the Use of Explosives in or Near Canadian Fisheries Waters”.

9.2.5.4 Monitoring

9.2.5.4.1 Water Quality

During the period 2002 and 2003, Bilcon of Nova Scotia Corporation has developed a water and sediment monitoring program for the four hectare quarry site at Whites Point. Background water sampling for pH and Total Suspended Solids (TSS) in freshwater drainages and the intertidal zone was conducted during the spring and summer of 2002, before quarry operation. This sampling documented a range of TSS of less than 0.5 mg/L to 2.2 mg/L in drainages entering the Bay of Fundy from the quarry site. Concurrently, pH ranged from 5.7 to 7.2 units. Background intertidal TSS ranged from 9.6 mg/L to 19.2 mg/L.

Operations at the 4 ha (10 acre) quarry began in the spring of 2003. Erosion control measures including a sediment retention pond with a capacity of 1 ha-m (8 acre-ft.) were constructed. Drainage channels, silt fences, and check dams were installed prior to and during clearing and grubbing the four hectare quarry site. Weekly grab samples during the initial construction phase indicated TSS of less than 0.5 mg/L to 4.2 mg/L. The latter occurred after a heavy rainfall and during construction of a sediment retention pond dyke. Concurrently, pH ranged from 6.4 to 7.0 units. The Nova Scotia Department of Environment and Labour's approval permit for the four hectare quarry requires TSS not to exceed 50 mg/L (grab sample) and pH to be in the range of 5.0 to 9.0 units. Although not a requirement of the 4 ha (10 acre) quarry approval, tide pools within and beyond the influence area of the four hectare quarry were monitored. Sediment samples were taken from tide pools above and below the ordinary high water line. No elevated inorganic sediment accumulation in tide pools located within the influence of the operating four hectare quarry was evident.

The guidelines for aquatic life in fresh, marine and estuarine waters indicate that when background is less than or equal to 25 mg/L, the maximum induced suspended sediment load is not to exceed 25 mg/L in twenty-four hours. Results of ongoing water monitoring for pH, TSS and sediments in tide pools indicates no significant increases are occurring based on the above criteria in waters entering the receiving waters of the Bay of Fundy from ongoing quarry operations.

For long term periods (30 days or more) average TSS levels should not be increased by more than 5 mg/L over ambient levels. Before quarrying begins beyond the four hectare site, the average ambient clear flow levels (clear flow periods refer to "normal" flow periods and specifically exclude both low and high flow conditions) will be established. Similar monitoring procedures are proposed to be continued over the life of the Whites Point Quarry project.

9.2.5.4.2 Blasting

Monitoring of blasting activities would include video documentation of each blast event and land monitoring for concussion and ground vibration for each blast event. The latter would be done in accordance with the Nova Scotia Department of Environment and Labour's regulatory requirements. Also, monitoring of the initial blast event will be conducted at selected locations within nearshore marine waters.

9.2.5.5 Impact Statements

Inner Bay of Fundy Atlantic Salmon – Water Quality

Water quality and sediment monitoring during construction work on the four hectare quarry indicates Nova Scotia Department of Environment and Labour regulatory requirements and the Canadian Water Quality Guidelines are not being exceeded resulting in a ***long term, neutral (no) effect, of national/international scale.***

Inner Bay of Fundy Atlantic Salmon – Blasting

Modeling of peak pressure in the marine environment as a result of blasting indicates that it is well within guideline criteria contained in the “Guidelines for the Use of Explosives in or Near Canadian Fisheries Waters” and as a further precautionary measure during July to October, the set back distance from the shore line to the blast site will be tripled and if required, explosive charges will be decked within the holes to further reduce over pressure effects resulting in a ***long term, neutral (no) effect, of national/international scale.***

Inner Bay of Fundy Atlantic Salmon – Migration

The method of construction for the marine terminal allows free flow of nearshore currents without major obstruction resulting in a ***long term, neutral (no) effect, of national/international scale.***

9.2.6 Fish – Threatened and Special Concern

9.2.6.1 Research

Striped Bass

The striped bass (*Morone saxatilis*), Bay of Fundy population was designated as “threatened” by COSEWIC in November 2004. A COSEWIC assessment and status report was prepared in 2004 (**Ref. 53**).

The striped bass is an anadromous species which spawns in three rivers of the Bay of Fundy including the Annapolis and Shubenacadie in Nova Scotia and the Saint John in New Brunswick. Canadian striped bass populations occur in the northern portion of their range and overwinter in rivers to escape the cold ocean waters. The probable extent of occurrence in the Bay of Fundy does not extend toward the mouth of the Bay beyond a line from the Digby Gut across the Bay to the mouth of the Saint John River. However, striped bass catch records are not enough to define the extent of occurrence as the Bay is also used by bass from U.S. rivers. During the past 20 years, no evidence of spawning has been observed and no catches of local bass have been authenticated in the Annapolis and Saint John Rivers. The Shubenacadie River population still appears to produce new individuals.

Spawning failures have led to the disappearance of the Annapolis and Saint John River populations. The primary causes of population decline are thought to be due to changes in flow regime and poor water quality. In the case of the Annapolis River, the river closest to the Whites Point site, obstruction by the Annapolis causeway, agricultural pollution, and low pH are thought to be primary causes. Also, by-catch of striped bass from various commercial fisheries and recreational fishing pressure are also contributing factors.

Atlantic Cod

The Atlantic cod Maritimes population was designated as “special concern” by COSEWIC in May 2003. A COSEWIC assessment and status report was prepared in 2003 (Ref. 56).

Declines in Atlantic cod Maritimes populations vary according to region. The region including the Bay of Fundy identified for the purpose of stock management is the Bay of Fundy/Western Scotia Shelf (NAFO Division 4X). This is the southern end of the Canadian range on the east coast which extends from Georges Bank and the Bay of Fundy north to Labrador. Overall, the Maritime population has declined 14% in the past 30 years.

From a spawning perspective, it is not known if cod have specific habitat requirements. They are known to spawn in various habitats throughout the inshore, nearshore and offshore waters.

The primary cause of decline is attributed to overfishing. Sensitivities of the species to human activities include direct fishing, by-catch in other fisheries, natural predation, and natural and fishing-induced changes to the ecosystem. Cod continues to be a major species landed by the Digby Neck/Islands fishery (Gardner Pinfold 2005 **Ref. Vol. VI, Tab 32**).

9.2.6.2 Analysis

Construction, operation and maintenance, reclamation and closure of the Whites Point Quarry and Marine Terminal will not contribute to further population declines in striped bass or Atlantic cod. In the case of striped bass, no productive spawning estuaries or rivers exist in the region. No coastline barriers will be created at the marine terminal. Quarry land uses will not produce acid runoff or increases of Total Suspended Solids above acceptable levels.

Loss of bottom habitat which could affect food sources for cod and alteration of water column habitat for striped bass are proposed to be compensated for at three times the loss. Construction of the marine terminal will not require dredging or dredge spoil disposal, or fill which could produce adverse effects on both striped bass and Atlantic cod.

9.2.6.3 Mitigation

Implementation of the proposed Fish Habitat Compensation Plan will provide three times the bottom habitat lost by construction of the marine terminal. Enhanced pelagic fish habitat is also part of the compensation plan. The design of the marine terminal on pipe piles will allow unobstructed flow of tides and currents.

9.2.6.4 Monitoring

Monitoring in the marine environment will be conducted during construction to ensure turbidity levels do not exceed acceptable levels – see **paragraph 9.2.3.4**. Nearshore waters will also be monitored during operation of the quarry and marine terminal for noise and water quality – see **paragraph 9.2.4.4**.

9.2.6.5 Impact Statement

Fish – Threatened and Special Concern Species

Compensation for loss of bottom habitat and alteration of pelagic fish habitat are planned and blasting will be conducted in accordance with the “Guidelines for the Use of Explosives in or Near Canadian Fisheries Waters” resulting in a *long term, neutral (no) effect, of national/international scale*.

9.2.7 Waterfowl – Special Concern

9.2.7.1 Research

Harlequin Duck

There are two separate, major populations of harlequin ducks in North America; a relatively large western population and a much smaller eastern population. The eastern population was designated as “Endangered” by the Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada (COSEWIC) in 1990, but was subsequently (May 2001) downgraded to “Special Concern”. Presently, its status under SARA is “special concern” on Schedule 1. In 2000 the eastern population of harlequin ducks was designated as “Endangered” under the NSESA.

The eastern population is further divided into two sub-populations that are defined by their wintering areas; one in Greenland and the other along the eastern seaboard of North America. The population that winters in Greenland breeds in northern Québec and northern Labrador. The population that winters along the eastern seaboard breeds in northern New Brunswick, the Gaspé Peninsula, Newfoundland and southern Labrador. Preliminary genetic studies appear to confirm reproductive isolation between these two eastern North American “sub-populations”. Of the two eastern populations, the eastern seaboard population is the smaller with a wintering population currently (pre-2005) estimated at between 1800 and 2000 birds. Annual winter censuses indicate that this population has been increasing in recent years.

Wintering harlequin ducks generally feed in tight flocks along rocky shorelines where the surf breaks against rocks and ice buildup is minimal. Common food items include crabs, amphipods, gastropods, limpets, chitons, blue mussels, and fish eggs. Harlequins, particularly females, exhibit a very high fidelity to their traditional wintering areas.

Approximately half the population of harlequin ducks that winter along the eastern seaboard seek refuge in New England, primarily in Penobscot Bay, Maine with smaller concentrations occurring south to Chesapeake Bay, Virginia. In Canada, wintering areas also exist in Newfoundland, Nova Scotia and New Brunswick. Surveys conducted by the Canadian Wildlife Service (CWS) have identified harlequin duck wintering areas in the Bay of Fundy including portions of the coastline of Digby Neck, Long Island and Brier Island. Of the wintering areas that have been identified in this area, only in the areas surrounding Trout Cove, Digby Neck and Bear Cove, Long Island have harlequin ducks been found consistently and in good numbers. These two traditional harlequin duck wintering areas are centered approximately 12 km (7.5 mi.) on either side of the Whites Point Quarry. Harlequins have also been observed in Deep Cove/Sandy Cove, Tommy’s Beach and Whale Cove on Digby Neck, and at Peajack Cove and Western Light, Brier Island. Only small numbers have been observed at these latter sites and these sites are apparently not used consistently.

Since 2000, CWS biologists have been monitoring the numbers of wintering harlequin ducks in the Maritime provinces. In the Digby Neck – Long Island area land-based surveys of known harlequin wintering areas were conducted in 2000 and 2001. Beginning in 2002, annual boat surveys of the entire coastline between the Bear Cove and Trout Cove areas have been conducted. During the 2004 (February 24) and 2005 (February 9) boat surveys, Dr. George Alliston and Mr. Bernard Forsythe, on behalf of Bilcon of Nova Scotia Corporation, were guests of CWS wildlife biologist Andrew Boyne. During the 2005 boat survey a total of 118 harlequin ducks was counted; the largest number recorded for this area since surveys were initiated.

In response to concerns expressed by government agencies regarding the possibility of harlequin ducks traveling between their traditional wintering areas to the north (Trout Cove) and south (Bear Cove) of the project, in 2005 Bilcon of Nova Scotia Corporation contracted Dr. George Alliston to conduct a study to determine if frequent movement of harlequin ducks occurred between these two wintering areas. A study of the possible movements of harlequin ducks between their traditional wintering grounds in the Bear Cove and Trout Cove areas was conducted in early February, 2005 (see Alliston, W. George, “Wintering Harlequin Ducks in the Digby Neck – Long Island Area, Digby County, Nova Scotia – 2005” 20 May 2005, **Ref. Vol. I, Tab 2**). The study consisted of three elements;

- 1 Simultaneous land based monitoring of harlequin ducks at their two traditional wintering grounds in an attempt to a) establish total numbers using each of these areas and b) observe if changes in numbers at one site were correlated negatively with changes at the other site.
- 2 Conduct observations at Whites Point to determine if harlequin ducks were flying between the two wintering areas via these nearshore waters.
- 3 Using the annual CWS survey of wintering harlequin ducks to provide an independent estimate, after some time had elapsed from the land based studies, of the total numbers and distribution of harlequin ducks using the coastline from the Bear Cove to the Trout Cove areas.

Simultaneous land based monitoring of the harlequin numbers in the Trout Cove and Bear Cove areas revealed no correlation between any apparent changes in the numbers at the two sites. Under perfect observation conditions, no observations were made of harlequin ducks flying over nearshore waters adjacent Whites Point (February 7). The CWS boat survey produced results that were nearly identical to the land based monitoring study:

Survey Method	Date	Trout Cove	Bear Cove	Other	Total
Land-based	February 1-2	68	51	-	119
Boat	February 9	66	49	3	118

These results suggest that there was little or no movement of harlequin ducks between the two traditional wintering areas during the study period (February 1-9). Furthermore, both surveys indicated that sex ratios differed between the two areas with females outnumbering males at Trout Cove (0.79M:1F) and the opposite situation (1.55M:1F) at Bear Cove while the ratio for the two populations taken together was close to equal (1.05M:1F). Harlequin ducks form pairs on their wintering grounds and most would have been paired by the time surveys were conducted. Had there been substantial movement of birds between these two areas over the winter period it would be expected that more pairing would have occurred and the sex ratios of the Bear Cove and Trout Cove populations would be much closer to equal than what was observed.

Barrow's Goldeneye

Like the harlequin duck, there are two major populations of Barrow's goldeneye in North America; a large western population and a much smaller eastern population. The Barrow's goldeneye, eastern population, was designated as a species of "special concern" by COSEWIC in November 2000 and is given a "yellow" (sensitive) status under the NS GSR.

The distribution, numbers and habitat requirements of the eastern population of Barrow's goldeneye are not well understood (Savard and Dupuis, 1999). Their breeding grounds have not been well defined but are believed to be entirely within Canada with the only confirmed breeding in southeastern Québec. The total wintering population is estimated at about 4,500 birds of which about 4,000 winter in the St. Lawrence estuary and Gulf of St. Lawrence. An estimated 400 Barrow's goldeneye winter in the Atlantic Provinces and Maine. Currently, there are no known winter concentrations of this species anywhere in Atlantic Canada (Sea Duck Joint Venture, Continental Technical Team, 2003; CWS Waterfowl Committee, 2002).

The closest area to the Whites Point property that wintering Barrow's goldeneye can be regularly found is in the Annapolis Basin. Only a few birds are found there. Migratory bird observations at Brier Island indicate daily peaks of one to two Barrow's goldeneye in December. No Barrow's goldeneye have been recorded during annual winter boat surveys conducted by CWS since 2002, of coastal waters from Bear Cove, Long Island to Trout Cove, Digby Neck (Andrew Boyne – *pers. comm.*). These surveys included the entire coastline of the Whites Point property.

9.2.7.2 Analysis

Whites Point is situated midway between two traditional harlequin duck wintering areas; one centered around Trout Cove, Digby Neck, approximately 12 km (7.5 mi.) to the northeast, and another centered around Bear Cove, Long Island, a similar distance to the southwest.

Canadian Wildlife Service's boat surveys during the past four winters have included the shoreline of the proposed Whites Point Quarry site and no harlequin ducks have been observed in these waters. A land based search of the Whites Point property shoreline from Whites Cove to the northern boundary was conducted on February 7, 2005 and no harlequin ducks were observed. These observations suggest that the coastal waters of the Whites Point property are probably not traditional wintering areas for this species.

The closest to the Whites Point property that harlequin ducks have been recorded was near Tommys Beach, approximately 1 km (0.6 mi.) south of the southern boundary of the property, where a single pair was observed during the February 9, 2005 CWS boat survey.

Observations from studies conducted in 2005 suggest that, once settled, there is little movement of harlequin ducks between these two traditional wintering areas. It would therefore appear that currently the chances of harlequin ducks interacting with the Whites Point Quarry operations appear small and likely limited mainly to the migration periods. The project recognizes that harlequin duck populations appear to be increasing, and with increasing populations, these birds could extend the areas of coastline used for wintering.

Very small numbers of Barrow's goldeneye winter in the Annapolis Basin and very small numbers (3 records) have been reported as late autumn migrants at Brier Island. CWS winter boat surveys over the past four years, which include the coastline of the Whites Point property, have not produced any sightings of Barrow's goldeneye. Indeed there are no known areas in the province of Nova Scotia where concentrations of wintering Barrow's goldeneye are found. It would appear most unlikely that the coastal waters of the Whites Point property would support a concentration of wintering Barrow's goldeneye. It is possible that very small numbers of Barrow's goldeneye might pass by the quarry site during migration.

9.2.7.3 Mitigation

None proposed.

9.2.7.4 Monitoring

Bilcon of Nova Scotia Corporation proposes to continue coordination and cooperation with the CWS in monitoring waterfowl of special concern (harlequin duck and Barrow's goldeneye). If direct effects of shipping or on site activities on these species become evident, adaptive management procedures will be discussed with CWS.

9.2.7.5 Impact Statement

Migratory Waterfowl – Species of Special Concern

Since traditional wintering areas for the harlequin duck and Barrow's goldeneye do not exist in the nearshore marine waters of the quarry coastline and only occasional occurrences are expected with no loss of habitat, this would result in a ***long term, neutral (no) effect, of national/international scale.***

9.2.8 Marine Reptiles – Endangered Species

9.2.8.1 Research

The Leatherback turtle was listed by COSEWIC as endangered in 2001 and is presently on the SARA species list. It is one of only two marine turtles that are regularly found in Canadian waters, the other is the loggerhead turtle (*Caretta caretta*) for which a COSEWIC Status Report is presently in progress and will be assessed at the May 2006 COSEWIC meeting. Currently, there is no status under COSEWIC assigned to this species. A recent screening document prepared by the Nova Scotia Museum (July 19, 2005) for the Whites Point quarry indicates another marine turtle – the Ridleys (*Lepidochelys kempfi*) has been reported or recorded in the adjacent marine waters. This species is not presently listed by COSEWIC and does not presently appear on either a candidate or status report list. During the weekly observations of marine mammals and seabirds in July and August 2002 in the nearshore waters from Petite Passage to Sandy Cove, no marine turtles were sighted

The leatherback turtle is unique in that it is the only marine turtle that does not have scales. Leatherbacks are wide ranging from the warm tropics where they nest, to temperate waters of Canada on the Atlantic coast. Sightings have been recorded in waters off New Brunswick in the Bay of Fundy. Their migration to temperate waters and Canada's Atlantic coast is to forage on seasonally abundant populations of jelly fish. Thus, these waters provide important seasonal foraging habitat for these turtles.

A primary limiting factor on land for survival is the destruction of nests on beaches by human activities and natural processes such as flooding and erosion. In the marine environment, principle threats include entanglement in different types of fishing gear (fixed and mobile) such as long lines, buoy anchor lines and other ropes and cables. Marine debris such as plastic bags, tar balls, plastic sheeting and fishing gear may lead to blockages in the digestive tract and subsequent starvation. Another threat could be contaminants in marine waters such as metals and polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs). However, tissue samples derived from leatherbacks in European waters revealed no significant chemical contamination (COSEWIC 2001, Ref. 52f).

Leatherbacks are globally endangered and endangered in Canada. They are listed as critically endangered by the Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Flora and Fauna (CITES). Canada is a signatory country of this convention. The Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals (CMS) has some provisions regarding harvest of endangered species, however Canada is not currently a party to this convention (2001). Since then, the federal *Species at Risk Act* has been passed in Canada thus providing protection.

9.2.8.2 Analysis

Records of leatherback turtles in Nova Scotia waters are primarily along the Atlantic coast (James 1999, Ref. 67). Occasional records for the Bay of Fundy have been recorded by the Nova Scotia Leatherback Turtle Working Group, 1998. These records for the Bay of Fundy are shown on **Map 23** . Four records from the mouth of the Bay of Fundy were recorded by the Nova Scotia Leatherback Turtle Working Group. Three records are indicated in the area of Brier Island and Long Island and an individual record north of Digby Gut. Aerial surveys conducted by East Coast Ecosystems, Freeport, NS --1998 recorded no leatherbacks in the Bay of Fundy (James 1999) . A check of stranding records by the Marine Animal Response Society back to 1990 indicates no records of sea turtles in the Bay of Fundy (personal communication – Tonya Wimmer). No sightings of marine reptiles were noted by a local whale and seabird cruise operator during the past ten years (personnel communication – Bay to Bay Adventures). Also, no sightings of marine reptiles were recorded during the whale and waterbird observation trips contracted by Bilcon of Nova Scotia Corporation in 2002 along the Digby Neck coast from East Ferry to Sandy Cove.

Leatherback turtles are fast and deep swimmers. The design of the Whites Point Marine terminal allows free passage of tides and currents and will not obstruct pelagic movement. Also, the characteristic movements of this turtle in the water would not make it susceptible to possible ship interactions due to the slow speed of the bulk carriers to and from the shipping lanes and marine terminal.

Major factors in the population decline of leatherbacks in marine waters is the ingestion of anthropogenic debris and incidental capture in fishing gear. The development at the Whites Point Quarry and Marine Terminal will not contribute to these factors.

9.2.8.3 Mitigation

None proposed.


9.2.8.4 Monitoring

During routine monitoring of the weekly arrival and departure of the vessel at the marine terminal, observations for marine reptiles will be conducted. Any sightings will be recorded and coordinated with the Nova Scotia Leatherback Turtle Working Group and the Nova Scotia Museum of Natural history.

9.2.8.5 Impact Statement

Marine Reptiles – Endangered Species

The occasional occurrence of leatherback turtles during the summer, no impediment from nearshore construction, and no project induced factors that would contribute to population declines, would result in a ***long term, neutral (no) effect, of national/international scale***



9.2.9 Fish Habitat - Blasting

9.2.9.1 Research

When an explosive is detonated, pressure changes and vibrations generally result. Sudden rises to high peak pressure occur followed by rapid decay to below ambient hydrostatic pressure. Most effects on fish result from the resultant pressure deficit which can cause lethal and sub-lethal damage. Also, vibrations may cause damage to incubating eggs and noise may cause changes in behavioural patterns. Lethal, sub-lethal, and behavioural effects on crustaceans and shell fish are believed to be negligible, although little information exists on these aspects. It is, however, reasonable to assume that the unmitigated detonation of explosives in or adjacent to fish habitat can cause harmful effects on fish and fish habitat.

The Canadian Technical Report of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 2107, (Wright and Hopky 1998 Ref. 92) contains “Guidelines for the Use of Explosives In or Near Canadian Fisheries Waters”. These Guidelines set forth guideline criteria to the effect that no explosive is to be detonated in or near fish habitat that is likely to produce an instantaneous pressure change greater than 100 kPa (14.5 psi) in the swim bladder of a fish. Also, no explosive is to be detonated that is likely to produce a peak particle velocity greater than 13 mm/s in a spawning bed during the period of egg incubation. Tables 1 and 2 contained in the aforementioned Technical Report provide setback distances from the centre of detonation of a confined explosive to fish and spawning habitat. These setback distances are essentially based on the weight of explosive charge and type of substrate. Further, formulas are presented upon which setback distances can be calculated.

Modeling, based on physical data specific to the Whites Point site was used to determine possible effects on fish and fish habitat from blasting during quarry operations. These investigations concentrated on the marine environment since no freshwater fish habitat exists in the area of active quarry operations. (The freshwater watercourse shown on **Map 12** flowing east to west from a small pond/wetland through the northern portion of the quarry property was visited by the Department of Fisheries and Oceans, Habitat Management Division. In their September 18, 2002 letter – see Appendix 18, they concluded that “this watercourse cannot be categorized as ‘Fish Habitat’, therefore the *Fisheries Act* does not apply”).

Shock wave propagation from the proposed blast sites to the marine water column were modeled. This investigation was conducted by JASCO Research Limited and LGL Limited – see Hannay, David E. M.Sc. and Thomson, Denis M.Sc. “Peak Pressure and Ground Vibration Study for Whites Cove Quarry Blasting Plan”. August 2003 (Hannay and Thomson 2003 **Ref. Vol. V, Tab 27**). Site specific topography, bedrock composition, and bathymetry were used to illustrate a “worst case” situation for quarry blasting in relation to the marine water column. Also, specifications outlined in Bilcon of Nova Scotia Corporation’s Blasting Protocol – see Appendix 9 - were input into the model – e.g., weight and type of

explosive, shot pattern and spacing, shot hole depth and diameter, and delay sequence. The blast effects model CONWEP (Hyde 1992) was then run to predict the shape of the shock wave pressure at various distances from the detonation site.

9.2.9.2 Analyses

The floor of the quarry is proposed to be at or above the 10 m (33 ft.) land elevation. Essentially, no blasting is proposed to take place between the 10 m elevation and the ordinary high water line – see **Figure 1**. More specifically, the weight and type of explosive, shot pattern and delay sequence for proposed detonations will be designed to be less than the 100 kPa pressure change in the water column and less than 13mm/s peak ground velocity in a potential spawning bed as required by the “Guidelines for the Use of Explosives in or Near Canadian Fisheries Waters”. These values (100 kPa and 13 mm/s) therefore establish the threshold criteria for adverse effects on fish habitat and fish spawning areas respectively.

Results from the CONWEP model indicate that the proposed 73 m (240 ft.) setback from the detonation of a confined explosive to the nearest fish habitat (the ordinary high water line) are not expected to exceed pressures of 50 kPa. Blasting is proposed to take place within the period of three hours before to three hours after low tide to further reduce pressure change to less than approximately 25 kPa in the water. This is significantly below the 100 kPa guideline/threshold criteria as required by the “Guidelines for the Use of Explosives in or Near Canadian Fisheries Waters”. Further, a conservative water depth of one metre at the ordinary high water line was assumed rather than a zero depth.

Also, the CONWEP model predicts peak velocity of 13 mm/s corresponding with the ordinary high tide line. As proposed, blasting will be conducted from three hours before to three hours after low tide or at low tide if weather and marine mammal observation conditions permit. The setback distance from the detonation site to the water column within three hours of low tide would be 118 m (387 ft.). This is within the 100.5 m (330 ft.) at the point of producing 13 mm/s in the guideline/threshold criteria. Again, a conservative approach has been taken to reduce risk to potential spawning areas. In summary, proposed setback distances are within the guideline/threshold criteria of 100 kPa peak pressure and 13mm/s ground vibration for fish, fish habitat, and spawning areas.

9.2.9.3 Mitigation

The timing of blasting activities is proposed to be within 3 hours of low tide. When no atmospheric inversions exist, blasting will be conducted at low tide whenever possible. This will result in a conservative setback distance from the marine water column and within the guideline/threshold criteria set forth in the “Guidelines for the Use of Explosives In or Near Canadian Fisheries Waters”.

The explosive ANFO will be used whenever possible. ANFO has a lower yield per equivalent weight than TNT which was presumably used to derive the Department of Fisheries and Oceans Guidelines. The use of ANFO will further reduce pressure and vibration in fish habitat when Guideline criteria are applied. Although ANFO will be used on site, the residual products of ANFO will not enter either the fresh water or marine environments.

The frequency of blasting is proposed to be once per week initially and once every two weeks during full quarry operation with an event duration of less than one second. Thus the frequency and duration of blasting will have minimal effects on fish behaviour, fish health or movements.

9.2.9.4 Monitoring

To ensure that the proposed mitigation is having the expected effect, monitoring of blasting activities will include on land video documentation of each blast event. Land monitoring for concussion and ground vibration for each blast event will be done in accordance with the Nova Scotia Department of Environment and Labour regulatory requirements. Also, monitoring of the initial series of blasts is proposed at three stations located within near-shore marine waters. Monitoring for peak pressure and ground vibration will be conducted at locations in one metre of water depth in the tidal zone and at approximately 170 m (560 ft.) and 500 m (1640 ft.) from the detonation site. All monitoring data (video, concussion, and vibration) will be prepared for Bilcon of Nova Scotia Corporation and will be made available to regulatory agencies.

9.2.9.5 Impact Statement

Fish Habitat – Blasting.

Considering the frequency, duration, meeting of threshold criteria, (as required in the “Guidelines for the Use of Explosives in or Near Canadian Fisheries Waters”) and proposed setbacks from fish habitat and spawning areas, effects on marine fish habitat from blasting would result in a ***long term, insignificant negative effect, of local scale.***

9.2.10 American Lobster - Blasting

9.2.10.1 Research

The nearshore area along the coast of the proposed Whites Point Quarry supports a commercial lobster fishery. As mentioned previously, construction of the marine terminal and intermittent ship approaches and departures would have an insignificant negative effect on the lobster and lobster fishing in this particular area. Additionally, the potential interactions between blasting and the American lobster were investigated – see Christian, John M.Sc. “Whites Cove Quarry Blasting: Potential Impacts on American Lobster” 8 October 2003 (Christian 2003 **Ref. Vol. V, Tab 24**).

American lobster typically exhibits seasonal inshore – off shore movements and tend to move into shallower areas in the summer and back to deeper areas in the winter. Molting, mating, egg extrusion and fertilization, and larval hatch generally occur during the summer months when they are in the shallower nearshore waters. Juvenile and adult lobsters would likely only occur below the low tide mark. However, the larvae and early benthic stages could possibly be present for short periods within the intertidal area. This is typical of lobster activity in the waters off Whites Point.

The limited scientific research and information available regarding the sensitivities of various decapod crustaceans to acoustic stimuli and waterborne vibrations does not pertain specifically to the American lobster. Due to the general lack of data on decapod crustaceans and specifically lobster, recent research on snow crabs (*Chionoecetes opilio*) may provide the best data for establishing threshold criteria for adverse effects on the American lobster from blasting activities. Reported studies on Dungeness crab (*Cancer magister*) larvae exposed to peak pressures as high as 231 dB re 1 μ Pa from seismic air gun arrays indicated no statistically acute or chronic effects (Pearson et al 1994).

More recently, Christian et al. (2003) studied the effects of seismic energy on snow crabs. This study involved the exposure of snow crabs, including adults and one fertilized egg mass, to approximately 220 dB re 1 μ Pa. Exposed and control animals were then examined for acute effects of seismic energy on their health with no significant differences found between the exposed and control crabs. With respect to effects of seismic energy on the fertilized eggs, there is some indication that those eggs exposed to 0 – P (zero to peak) received levels of approximately 220 db re 1 μ Pa were affected negatively during this study.

Based on experiments relating to the commercial snow crab fishery, there is some evidence that noise may affect catch rates of crustaceans. Snow crabs receiving less than 182 dB re 1 μ Pa 0-P were more easily caught than those receiving more than 185 dB re 1 μ Pa 0-P. The blast could temporarily affect lobster activity patterns thereby resulting in less lobster movement and possible lower catches.

9.2.10.2 Analyses

As stated in the “Guidelines for the Use of Explosives In or Near Canadian Fisheries Waters”, the number of shellfish and crustaceans killed by the detonation of explosives is believed to be negligible, however, few data are available. Sub-lethal effects of explosives on shellfish and crustaceans including behavioural modifications are little known or understood (Wright 1982, Wright in prep.).

Based on the CONWEP modeling previously discussed, no American lobster life stage would be exposed to peak pressure levels exceeding 210 – 216 dB re 1 μ Pa if blasting is conducted at ordinary high tide. Blasting at the Whites Point Quarry is proposed to be conducted within 3 hours of low tide resulting in peak pressure levels of less than 204 – 210 dB re 1 μ Pa 0-P. Also, quarry blasting will consist of explosions of less than 0.5 seconds in duration as compared to the 33 minutes of seismic exposure experienced by the snow crab eggs used in the study by Christian et al (2003). Both of the above blasting scenarios would therefore result in peak sound pressure levels less than those measured when the snow crab fertilized eggs were exposed to seismic energy. Regarding behaviour patterns relative to catchability, there could be temporary effects. However, considering the short duration (less than 0.5 seconds) and infrequency of the blasts (once per week during construction and once per two weeks during operation), possible behavioural effects would likely be negligible.

The possibility of producing triploid eggs due to overpressure was investigated. Triploids are organisms that contain three, rather than two, sets of chromosomes. They are usually sterile and are also larger than their diploid counterparts, because little energy is expended on the development of sexual characteristics. Triploidy can be induced by subjecting newly fertilized eggs to temperature or pressure shock or to chemicals. At this stage, prevention of the second polar body in the cell nucleus results in the presence of a third set of chromosomes in all cells subsequently formed in that organism. The third set interferes with the normal pairing of chromosomes during meiotic cell division thereby disrupting gametogenesis. Triploid females are 100% sterile or “non-maturing”. Triploid males do mature, and produce small numbers of functional but aneuploid sperm. While they may go through the spawning process and compete with normal males, their progeny are not viable. Although times may vary as pressure increases, production of triploid eggs can occur at pressure/time of 10,000 psi for 5 minutes. At Whites Point, overpressure will be below 100 kPa or 14.5 psi and the duration of the blast will be less than 1 second and no production of triploid eggs is expected.

A precautionary approach has been taken to reduce risk to all life cycle stages of the American lobster. Proposed setback distances exceed the general guideline/threshold criteria for 100 kPa peak pressure and 13 mm/s ground vibration for fish, fish habitat, and spawning areas. Specifically, based on available research data on other decapod crustaceans, blasting at Whites Point Quarry would likely have insignificant negative physical and behavioural effects on all life cycle stages of the American lobster.

9.2.10.3 Mitigation

The timing of blasting activities is proposed to be within 3 hours of low tide, and when atmospheric inversions are not present, blasting will be conducted as close as possible to low tide. This will result in the maximum setback distance from the marine environment and be within the guideline criteria set forth in the “Guidelines for the Use of Explosives In or Near Canadian Fisheries Waters”. The frequency of blasting is proposed to be once per week initially and once every two weeks during full quarry operation. Duration of the blast event will be less than one second. Thus, the frequency and duration of the blasting will be transitory and should have minimal effect on the American lobster.

9.2.10.4 Monitoring

To ensure that the mitigation is having the expected effect, monitoring of blasting activities will include on land video documentation of each blast event and land monitoring for concussion and vibration in accordance with the Nova Scotia Department of Environment and Labour’s regulatory requirements. Also, monitoring of the initial series of blasts is proposed at three stations located within near-shore marine waters. Monitoring for peak pressure and ground vibration will be conducted at locations in one metre tidal zone depth and at approximately 170 m (560 ft.) and 500 m (1640 ft.) from the detonation site.

9.2.10.5 Impact Statement

American Lobster – Blasting

Considering the frequency, duration, adherence to threshold criteria, (as required in the “Guidelines for the Use of Explosives in or Near Canadian Fisheries Waters”) and proposed setbacks from American lobster habitat and spawning areas, effects from blasting would result in a *long term, insignificant negative effect, of local scale*.

9.2.11 Marine Mammals - Blasting

9.2.11.1 Research

The sixteen species of marine mammals (whales, dolphins, porpoises, and seals) that are likely to be found in the Bay of Fundy (Beatty 1989) have been previously listed. Further to the above general list, more specific data regarding the status of marine mammals under the *Species at Risk Act*, was provided by the Department of Fisheries and Oceans – Habitat Management Division.

Species	Found in Bay of Fundy	Status Assigned by COSEWIC	Listed on SARA
North Atlantic right whale	June-Nov.	Endangered	Yes
Blue whale (occasional)	June-Nov.	Endangered	Yes
Harbour porpoise	All year	Special Concern	Oct. 2005 (earliest)
Fin whale	All year	Special Concern	July 2006 (earliest)
Minke whale	All year	Not yet Assigned	2007 (earliest)
Humpback whale	June – Nov.	Not yet Assigned	No

9.2.11.1.1 North Atlantic Right Whale


The North Atlantic right whale was designated as “endangered” by COSEWIC in 2003 and is presently listed on the SARA registry. A COSEWIC assessment and update status report was prepared in 2003 (COSEWIC 2003, Ref.51).

The total population of North Atlantic right whales currently numbers about 322 animals (about 220-240 mature animals) and has been decreasing during the last decade. The western North Atlantic population is distributed from Florida to Newfoundland and the Gulf of St. Lawrence. They are abundant in the Bay of Fundy from June to November and congregate in the lower Bay of Fundy mainly east of Grand Manan Island – see **Map 25** . Their primary food source is the zooplankton copepod *Calanus finmarchicus*.

Limiting factors and threats influencing population decline are serious injury and mortality from collisions with ships and from entanglement in fishing gear. Other contributing factors include the genetic and demographic effects of small population size, habitat loss and degradation, infectious disease, contaminants, marine biotoxins, an inadequacy of prey resources as a result of changes in ocean climate and circulation, and disturbance from tourism (COSEWIC 2003).


Whites Point Quarry Little River, Digby County Nova Scotia

Environmental Assessment/ Impact Statement



Source of Information:
Kennedy, Robert D., Ph.D., University of Rhode Island, Effort and SPUE data, October 2001
Canadian Steamship Lines International Inc. 2002

Density Right Whales Map 25



9.2.11.1.2 Fin Whale

The fin whale, Atlantic population, was designated as “special concern” by COSEWIC in 2005. A COSEWIC assessment and update status report was prepared in 2005 (COSEWIC 2005, Ref.54).

Sightings remain relatively common off Atlantic Canada. They are found in the Bay of Fundy all year and are abundant from June to October. Although the fin whale faces a number of current threats including ship strikes and entanglement in fishing gear, human-generated underwater noise may also degrade fin whale habitat and impair communications, but details are uncertain (COSEWIC 2005).

9.2.11.1.3 Blue Whale

The blue whale, Atlantic population, was designated as “endangered” by COSEWIC in May 2002 and is listed on the SARA registry. A COSEWIC assessment and update status report was prepared in 2002 (COSEWIC 2002, Ref. 57).

The blue whale is extremely rare in the Bay of Fundy (Beatty 1989). Threats influencing the population of blue whales include ship strikes, increased whale watching activity, entanglement in fishing gear and pollution (COSEWIC 2002).

9.2.11.1.4 Harbour Porpoise

The harbour porpoise, northwest Atlantic population was designated as “special concern” by COSEWIC in 2003. A COSEWIC assessment and update status report was prepared in 2003 (COSEWIC 2003, Ref.55).

The harbour porpoise is common in the Bay of Fundy and sightings have been made in all months and they are abundant during summer months. Harbour porpoises have been observed in nearshore waters off Whites Point. The most important recent threat to harbour porpoises is bycatch in bottom-set gill nets used to catch groundfish such as cod. However, due to recent depletion of the groundfish stocks and reduction of fishing effort, there has been a notable decrease in bycatch mortality. The relatively secure status of harbour porpoises in eastern Canada at present is in large part due to reduced ground fish stocks and associated fishing effort (COSEWIC 2003).

During July and August of 2002, weekly reconnaissances of marine mammals and waterbirds were conducted by boat along the Bay of Fundy coast from Petite Passage to Sandy Cove and return. The outbound survey route was within approximately 150 m (500 ft.) of the coast and the return trip approximately 1.9 km (1.2 mi.) from shore. These reconnaissance trips were conducted under contract to Bilcon of Nova Scotia Corporation

by Bay to BayAdventures Ltd., an experienced, local whale watching tour business operating out of East Ferry. Duration of the trips was approximately two hours depending on the weather and sea conditions. The observation route is shown on **Map 21**.

Groups of four to ten and the occasional lone harbour porpoise were observed during four of the nine surveys. Occurrence of porpoises were generally along the route one mile off shore in the area of Whale Cove and from West Mink Cove to Burns Point. The closest sighting to the proposed Whites Point Quarry was approximately 2 km (1.25 mi.) from shore. The location of the sightings are shown on **Map 21**.

Weekly observations of near-shore waters by David W. Kern B.Sc. were conducted from the shore at Whites Point from May to October 2003. Mr. Kern observed a lone harbour porpoise feeding in Whites Cove on June 24, 2003. Casual observations of harbour porpoises were made during other faunal investigations. On June 22, 2002 a small group (2+) of harbour porpoises was observed just off Whites Point during breeding bird surveys (Alliston, 2004a). On February 9, 2005, during a CWS boat survey for harlequin ducks, a single harbour porpoise was observed off Whites Cove (George Alliston - personal communication) - **See Figure 10**

The detonation of explosives may be lethal to marine mammals and may cause auditory damage under certain conditions. The detonation of explosives in the proximity of marine mammals has also been demonstrated to induce changes in behaviour (Wright in prep. , Wright 1982, Wright and Hopkey 1998, Ref. 92). The Canadian Technical Report of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 2107 – 1998 contains “Guidelines for the Use of Explosives In or Near Canadian Fisheries Waters” (Wright and Hopkey 1998) and establishes guidelines for blasting in relation to fish and fish habitat.

Noting that the guideline criteria contained in this Technical Report, especially Tables 1 and 2 is generalized, site specific data was used to model shock wave propagation from the proposed blast sites to the marine water column. This investigation was conducted by JASCO Research Limited and LGL Limited – see Hannay, David E. M.Sc. and Thomson, Denis M.Sc. “Peak Pressure and Ground Vibration Study for Whites Cove Quarry Blasting Plan” August 2003 (**Ref. Vol. V, Tab 27** – Hannay and Thomson 2003). Site specific topography, bedrock composition, and bathymetry were used to illustrate a “worst case” situation for quarry blasting in relation to the marine water column. Also, a proposed blast design including the weight and type of explosive, shot pattern and spacing, shot hole depth and diameter, and delay sequence was included. The blast effects model CONWEP (Hyde 1992) was then run to predict the shape of the shock wave pressure at various distances from the detonation site.

9.2.11.2 Analysis

The floor of the quarry is proposed to be at or above the 10 m (33 ft.) land elevation. Essentially, no blasting is proposed to take place between the 10 m elevation and the ordinary high water line. No blasting is proposed within the water column. General guideline criteria as published in Fisheries and Oceans Canada – Newfoundland Region “Factsheet – Blasting – Fish and Fish Habitat Protection” 1999 (Ref. 58) indicates blasting activities are not to be carried out in the marine environment within 500 m (1640 ft.) of marine mammals. This 500 m setback radius was then used as the distance to assess possible harassment to marine mammals during modeling procedures.

The Department of Fisheries and Oceans has in the past, adapted guidelines on a case by case basis to more accurately reflect actual site conditions. Recently they accepted safety standoff thresholds of 180 dB RMS for toothed whales and 190 dB for pinnipeds in the vicinity of air gun systems used for seismic explorations (Hannay and Thomson 2003 **Ref. Vol. V, Tab 27**). These thresholds represent received levels at which marine mammals could sustain temporary threshold shift (TTS). TTS is a temporary and recoverable increase in hearing threshold, similar to what a human would experience at a loud rock concert. The distance at which TTS could occur is commonly used as a distance for a safety radius around a noise source. The pulse raise times for air gun signals and the explosive blast pressure wave at this range will be similar for these two types of noise source. As a result, a 180 dB RMS threshold for whales and a 190 dB threshold for pinnipeds has been established.

CONWEP model results indicate peak pressure at 500 m (1640 ft.) will be approximately 5kPa in the basalt which corresponds with a peak level in the water of approximately 2 kPa or equivalently 186 dB re 1 μ Pa peak. Root – mean – square (RMS) levels are typically 5 – 10 dB less than peak level as a result of signal spreading in time due to multi path propagation.

Therefore the proposed 500 m (1640 ft.) distance from the point of detonation for a safety radius appears appropriate for whales. The safety range for pinnipeds presumably could be approximately one third this range or approximately 170 m (550 ft.) if inverse distance (1r) acoustic spreading transmission loss is assumed. It should be noted that during seismic operations, air guns are shot every 20 seconds for hours on end. In the case of the proposed blasting at the Whites Point Quarry, the entire event will be over in less than 1 second. The National Marine Fisheries Service in the United States, responsible for implementation of the Marine Mammal Protection Act, has ruled that a single, short, noise pulse, such as that caused by an under water explosion, does not constitute disturbance (U.S. Federal Register 61 (#234, 4 Dec. 1996, page 64, 337).

Subsequently, in a letter dated February 10, 2006 (Appendix 22), the Department of Fisheries and Oceans Environmental Assessment and Major Projects Division furnished comments on Bilcon of Nova Scotia Corporation’s Whites point Quarry and Marine

Terminal Blasting Protocol. This letter provides an analyses of the potential impacts of blasting on fish species, particularly the inner Bay of Fundy Atlantic Salmon population and an analyses of the potential impact of blasting on marine mammals. It was concluded that: “While the zone of disturbance of marine organisms by sound may extend beyond the 500 m suggested in the Whites Point Quarry proposal, it is considered unlikely that blasting would result in physical effects on marine mammals, endangered or otherwise, beyond 500 m. However, there is a high level of uncertainty associated with this conclusion. If the project proceeds, an initial blast prior to project initiation would help to validate the sound propagation modelling used to reach this conclusion and would significantly increase the level of certainty in short-range impact estimations.”

Subtle behavioural effects on marine mammals are expected to extend beyond 2500 m from the blast site. However, these are not expected to result in overall changes to the distribution of the population or other population-scale impacts. There is a moderate level of uncertainty associated with this conclusion. An initial blast as described above would also help to increase the level of certainty in long-range impact estimations.

Proposed mitigation, i.e. the 500 m safety zone for marine mammals and the 2500 m safety zone for endangered marine mammals, is expected to reduce the potential for harmful impacts of blasting on marine mammals under good visibility conditions”.

9.2.11.3 Mitigation

Blasting will be executed using the minimum weight of explosives and greatest safety radius in relation to the marine environment as conditions warrant. Blasting will not be conducted if pinnipeds (seals) are present within 170 m (550 ft.) of the point of detonation or if cetaceans (whales, porpoises, dolphins) are within 500 m (1640 ft.) of detonations. If endangered marine mammal species such as North Atlantic right whales, blue whales, or fin whales are sighted in the near-shore area of Whites Point, the safety radius will be increased to 2,500 m (1.55 mi.).

An experienced onshore observer will be in place at least one hour prior to the start of the scheduled blasting to identify the possible presence of marine mammals within the safety radii mentioned above. The observer will be equipped with pedestal mounted 7x50 laser range finder binoculars with a plus or minus 1 m (3.3 ft.) accuracy and have communications with the blast coordinator. If marine mammals are sighted within the safety zones, the blast coordinator will be notified and the detonation will not take place until the animals move out of the safety radius under their own volition and an “all clear” signal is given by the observer. If the animal(s) are not sighted a second time, the blast would resume thirty minutes after the last sighting. The observer will remain in place until at least one half hour after detonations are complete to observe post blast conditions.

An additional, more conservative, setback radius of 2,500 m (1.55 mi.) will be used if endangered marine mammals such as the North Atlantic right whale have been sighted in the immediate near-shore area.

This setback or safety radius for endangered marine mammals would be based on the formula $r = 260 (\text{cube root of } w)(7.28)$ where r = radius in feet, w = weight of explosive (TNT equivalent in pounds) as outlined in the Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission “Endangered Species Conservation Conditions for Blasting Activities” (Ref. 61 2001). Communications will be maintained with local whale and seabird cruise operators operating in the Digby Neck area. If these operators report right whale sightings in these near-shore waters, verification of right whale activity within the 2,500 m (1.55 mi.) safety zone will be conducted prior to any blasting activity. If endangered species are verified within the 2,500 m safety zone, detonations will be delayed until the endangered marine mammals move out of the safety radius under their own volition and an “all clear” signal is given by the observer. A written report indicating the time of observation, sightings of any marine mammals, and time of detonation will be prepared by the observer for each blast. These reports will be prepared for Bilcon of Nova Scotia Corporation and will be made available to regulatory agencies.

A conservative approach is proposed to protect marine mammals in accordance with published guideline/threshold criteria. In summary, the proposed 170 m (550 ft.) safety radius for pinnipeds, a 500 m (1640 ft.) safety radius for cetaceans, and an increased safety radius of 2,500 m (1.55 mi.) if endangered marine mammals are sighted in the immediate area, meet and are within accepted guideline/threshold criteria for the protection of marine mammals during proposed blasting activities at the Whites Point Quarry.

9.2.11.4 Monitoring

Monitoring of the initial series of blasts is proposed on land and in the near-shore waters-see **Map 31**. Three monitoring stations are proposed in the water column adjacent to the land detonation site. Monitoring for peak pressure and ground vibration will be conducted at locations in one m of water depth in the tidal zone and at approximately 170 m (550 ft.) and 500 m (1640 ft.) from the detonation site. This data will be used to verify the model results and as a baseline for any future adaptive management practices.

9.2.11.5 Impact Statement

Marine Mammals – Blasting

Considering the adequacy of the proposed safety zone radii for specific marine mammal species in relation to the on land blast site, meeting threshold criteria, and short duration of the blast event, effects on marine mammals from blasting would result in a ***long term, insignificant negative effect, of local scale.***

Marine Mammals Species at Risk – Blasting

Considering the adequacy of the proposed safety zone radii for endangered marine mammals in relation to the on land blast site, meeting threshold criteria, and the short duration of the blast event, effects on endangered marine mammals from blasting would result in a ***long term, insignificant negative effect, of national/international scale.***

Whites Point Quarry
 Little River, Digby County
 Nova Scotia


**Environmental Assessment/
 Impact Statement**

- Legend**
- Highway 217
 - Gravel Road
 - Property Line
 - Coastal Bog
 - Intermittent Watercourse
 - Proposed Blast Monitoring Location- Land
 - Proposed Blast Monitoring Location- Water
 - 500 Meter Marine Mammal Observation Area

Produced for: Bilcon of Nova Scotia Corporation

**Blast Monitoring and
 Observation Area**
 Map 31

Graphic Design by Mark Pines, Blue River, N.S.



9.2.12 Waterbirds – Blasting

9.2.12.1 Research

While 14 species of waterbirds have been recorded in the nearshore waters adjacent to the Whites Point property, only two of these species, the common loon and the Atlantic puffin are considered to be at risk in Nova Scotia. Of these two species, only the common loon would be regularly found in these waters. While common loons might be present in these waters year round, they are more numerous during winter months. Numbers of common loons using the waters adjacent the property are not large. In surveys conducted between Whites Cove and the northern boundary of the property one common loon was observed during the survey conducted on June 23, 2002, two were observed on the survey of June 22, 2004 and 14 were observed during the survey of February 7, 2005. Furthermore, it is unlikely that loons using these waters during summer and winter are from the Nova Scotia breeding population that is considered to be at risk. (For more information see above sections on common loons and Atlantic puffins.)

During winter, waterfowl species, particularly common eiders, can be quite abundant in the waters adjacent the Whites Point property. During the February 7, 2005 survey of coastal waters between Whites Cove and the northern boundary of the property, 601 waterfowl of 8 species were counted, 467 of which were common eiders (see Table CC).

9.2.12.2 Analysis

Sounds of high intensity and or long duration are known to have physiological effects on the auditory system of terrestrial birds. Permanent effects may involve damage to sensory hair cells in the inner ear. Unlike mammals, replacement of damaged sensory hair cells occurs in birds although it is not clear if hearing returns to normal. In general, birds appear to be less susceptible to both TTS and PTS than are mammals (Saunders and Dooling, 1974, Ref 222).

There are almost no data on the effects of intensive sounds on the hearing of waterbirds. Furthermore, gas voids within waterbirds (e.g. lungs, sinuses, gastrointestinal tract etc.) and other aquatic fauna are susceptible to the effects of underwater sound. The most dramatic effects occur during exposure to blasts and high energy impulse noise. Yelverton et al. (1973, Ref. 226), as reported in Gisiner (1998, Ref. 217), using submerged mallard ducks (*Anas platyrhynchos*) as a surrogate for diving birds, observed that with a shock wave impulse of 35.2 psi-msec there was no eardrum rupture but there was extensive lung haemorrhage, and liver and kidney damage was observed in 50% of the test birds.

We are unaware of any guidelines for blasting in relation to use of aquatic habitats by diving birds.

9.2.12.3 Mitigation

Results of the CONWEP model indicate that with the proposed 73 m setback from the blast site, pressures not exceeding 50 kPa would be experienced at normal high water line. Blasting is to take place within three hours of low tide which further reduces maximum pressure change at shoreline to approximately 25 kPa (3.7 psi). This is substantially below the maximum pressure change criteria of 100 kPa (14.5 psi) for fish with swim bladders as put forward in the Guidelines for the Use of Explosives In or Near Canadian Fisheries Waters (Wright and Hopkey, 1998). The swim bladders of fish are gas filled voids that may be somewhat analogous to the lungs in waterbirds. Although it would seem that pressure levels in the nearshore waters resulting from land based blasting are unlikely to cause physiological damage in waterbirds, lacking any firm guidelines, the project proposes to apply the same separation requirements for waterbirds as are being used for pinnipeds; a minimum 170 m (550 ft.) separation between waterbirds and the blast site.

An experienced onshore observer will be in place at least one hour prior to the start of the scheduled blasting to identify the possible presence of waterbirds within 170 m (550 ft.) of the blast site. The observer will be equipped with pedestal mounted 7x50 laser range finder binoculars with a plus or minus 1 m (3.3 ft.) accuracy and have communications with the blast coordinator. If waterbirds are sighted within the 170 m (550 ft.) radius, the blast coordinator will be notified and the detonation will not take place until the birds move out of the safety radius under their own volition and an “all clear” signal is given by the observer. The observer will remain in place until at least one half hour after detonations are complete to observe post blast conditions.

9.2.12.4 Monitoring

Monitoring will be conducted as proposed for marine mammals.

9.2.12.5 Impact Statement

Waterbirds – Blasting

Given the absence of guidelines for waterbirds, by the application of a proposed safety zone radius derived for pinnipeds (170 m or 550 ft.) in relation to the on land blast site, the meeting of threshold criteria, and the short duration and low frequency (once every one or two weeks) of the blast event, effects on waterbirds from blasting would result in *a long term, insignificant negative effect, of local scale.*

9.2.13 North Atlantic Right Whale – Ship Interactions

9.2.13.1 Research

The North Atlantic right whale is an endangered species with an estimated population of 300 – 350 individuals remaining. Three critical habitat areas are identified in U.S. waters and two conservation areas in Canadian waters – see **Map 24**. The South East critical habitat is along the coastline of Georgia and Florida and is the only known calving ground for the population. Right whales are present in this area from December throughout March. The Cape Cod Bay critical habitat is a winter feeding area while the Great South Channel critical habitat, and the Roseway Basin and Bay of Fundy conservation areas are primarily summer and fall feeding grounds. The Bay of Fundy conservation area and its relation to the inbound and outbound shipping lanes is shown in greater detail on **Map 25**.

After significant research effort by the New England Aquarium et al. using transect surveys from 1987 – 2000, density mapping of right whale occurrence was compiled for the Lower Bay of Fundy/Grand Mannan Channel (Ring 2001). This was completed by developing an index of sightings per unit effort (SPUE whales per 1000 km of survey track) per three minute quadrats. This index was created by dividing the number of whales sighted by the total length of survey track. This resulted in 248 quadrats with non-zero effort but no right whale sightings (SPUE = 0) and 111 quadrats with SPUE > 0. The latter quadrats were classified into quartiles, and the upper quartile further subdivided into two classes to show more detail. Data from this research effort is displayed on **Map 25**.

Effective June 1st, 1983, the International Maritime Organization adopted a traffic separation scheme (TSS) in the Bay of Fundy. This traffic separation provides for specific inbound and outbound shipping lanes through an extensively used fishing area. These lanes also significantly infringed on the Bay of Fundy right whale conservation area. The right whale is especially susceptible to ship interactions due to its slow movement and extended periods at or near the surface. Approximately 800 vessels use the shipping lanes annually with more than 600 of the largest vessels, mainly tankers, going to the port of Saint John. Other ports such as Bayside, New Brunswick, Eastport, Maine and Hantsport, Nova Scotia account for an additional 200 ships per year. In addition to the large tankers and bulk carriers; container ships, government research vessels, tugs, cruise ships, ferries, fishing vessels, whale watching boats, and recreational craft also operate throughout the Bay of Fundy.

As a result of the potential for ship interactions with the right whale, a change in the TSS and designated inbound and outbound shipping lanes was proposed. This change was intended to reduce the occurrence of ship strikes by moving the shipping lanes toward the Nova Scotia side of the Bay and further from the right whale conservation area, a primary summer and fall feeding area with the greatest density of right whales. As a result, effective July 2003, the proposed lane changes came into effect.



The existing shipping lanes location (pre July 2003) and the new shipping lanes location (post July 2003) in the lower and upper Bay of Fundy adjacent to the proposed Whites Point Quarry are outlined on **Map 4** .

Bulk carriers are proposed to transport basalt rock products from the marine terminal at Whites Point. These vessels will depart the inbound shipping lane, follow a designated route to the marine terminal and return to the outbound shipping lane along the same designated route. The location of the proposed route to and from the shipping lanes to the marine terminal is shown on **Map 4**. Approximately 50 inbound/outbound bulk carrier trips are anticipated at the Whites Point Quarry annually. The primary destination of the rock products is the New York/New Jersey area and more specifically the ultimate destination is South Amboy, New Jersey.

In September 2000, the “Canadian North Atlantic Right Whale Recovery Plan” was prepared by the North Atlantic Right Whale Recovery Team (Ref. 87). This plan identifies five strategies to improve the species chances of survival and recovery.

1. Reduction of Vessel Collisions. Ship strikes are thought to be the principal immediate threat to the North Atlantic right whale population.
2. Reduction of the Impacts of Encounters with Fishing Gear. Entanglement and entrapment in fishing gear is another clearly defined threat.
3. Reduction of Disturbance from Human Activities. Disturbance resulting from acoustic deterrent devices, loud underwater sounds, and vessels operating in the vicinity of right whales also pose potential threats to existing populations.
4. Reduction of Exposure to Contaminants and Habitat Degradation. Although difficult to directly associate, pollution and habitat degradation were also identified as potential threats.
5. Population Monitoring and Research. This strategy is critical to provide base information and to assess the effectiveness of the recovery plan.

9.2.13.2 Analyses

The Whites Point Quarry will generate additional ship traffic in the Bay of Fundy and the eastern seaboard of the U.S. consisting of approximately 50 bulk carriers annually. These vessels are required to use the designated inbound/outbound shipping lanes. This constitutes a 6% increase in this category of vessel traffic in the Bay of Fundy. It should be noted that this increase is based only on the estimated number of large vessels (primarily bulk carriers and tankers) and not on total vessels (fishing boats, container ships, cruise ships, ferries etc.).

If based on the latter total vessel traffic, the per cent increase is miniscule. The probability of right whale and vessel interactions was investigated by C. Taggart and A. Vanderlaan using the SPUE data previously mentioned. Vessel traffic data was then combined with the abundance distribution data to estimate a standardized probability index of a whale and a vessel being in the same place at the same time. The results of the analysis indicate the greatest probability of interaction occurs in the area of highest right whale density. Secondly, the highest relative probability of encounter increases markedly WNW of a line that extends from 44°28.5'N x 66°30.00'W to 44°36.00'N x 66°21.00'W and to 44°45.00'N x 66°16.50'W. In areas of the Bay ESE of this line, the relative probability of encounter decreases markedly (rapidly) toward zero **Map 25**.

The proposed ship route from and to the inbound/outbound shipping lanes from the proposed Whites Point marine terminal is through two quadrats of SPUE = 0 whales/1000km and one quadrat of SPUE >0 but <15.559 whales/1000km. The low occurrence of right whales in this area is also verified by Bilcon of Nova Scotia Corporation's Marine Mammal and Seabird Observations in 2002 which recorded no sightings of right whales in this nearshore area. Another indicator of low probability for right whales or other species of whales is the lack of whale sightings from whale watching boats frequenting this particular area of the Bay.

A factor contributing to whale mortality or severe injury as a result of ship/whale interaction is the size and speed of ships. Although all ships can cause injury, most lethal or severe injuries are caused by ships 80 m (260 ft.) or longer and traveling 26 kph (14 knots) or faster (Laist et al 2001 Ref. 130). In 33 cases of collisions causing lethal or severe injuries, 89% involved vessels moving at 26 kph or faster. The remaining 11% involved vessels moving at 18.5 to 26 kph (10 to 14 knots). No lethal or severe injuries occurred at speeds below 18.5 kph (Laist et al 2001).

Vessels arriving and departing the Whites Point marine terminal are "rule" vessels (vessels > 20 m (66 ft.) in length and > 300 gross registered tonnes (330 tons)). Therefore, for the purpose of this EIS, the possible area of effects regarding ship/whale interactions is defined as the spatial area between the designated inbound/outbound shipping lanes and the Whites Point marine terminal. Presently, there are no speed limits on vessels traveling in the Bay of Fundy waters. As mentioned previously, the location of the marine terminal in close proximity to the designated shipping lanes is considered a primary mitigation measure.

Taggart et al. (2003, Ref. 171) investigated the probability of a mortal collision of a "rule" vessel with a right whale over the 400 grid-cell domain in the Bay of Fundy over the June through October 2000 period.

Rule vessel speeds were calculated from existing data. The overall daily mean (mean of the daily means) for “rule” vessels in the shipping lanes ranged from 22 kph to 26 kph (12 to 14 knots). Vessel speeds during this time in nearshore waters between the shipping lanes and the proposed marine terminal ranged from 11 to 22 kph (6 to 12 knots) (Taggart et al. 2003 Figure 11,). Also, the relative probability of whale mortality based on “rule” vessel speed and right whale SPUE distributions for this time period is practically zero (Taggart et al. 2003 Figure 21).

Further, the overall daily mean probability of a mortal collision (if there was a right whale/vessel encounter), based on vessel speed (knots) for “rule” vessels across the 400 grid-cell domain in the Bay of Fundy over the June through October 2000 period in the area between the shipping lanes and the marine terminal is 0.0 – 0.2 (Taggart et al 2003 Figure 14). Since vessel speeds in this area already range from 11 to 22 kph (6 – 12 knots), this probability would be generally applicable to the proposed vessel traffic as a result of the Whites Point marine terminal. As a further precautionary measure, vessel speed upon departing the inbound lane would begin to be reduced. Also, upon departing the marine terminal to the outbound shipping lane, vessel speed would be gradually increased. It should be noted that the vessel’s speed is the responsibility of the ships captain and dependent in part upon prevailing sea conditions.

In conclusion, the probability of a right whale/vessel encounter in the area between the inbound/outbound shipping lanes and the marine terminal at Whites Point as a result of quarry activities i.e. shipping, is highly unlikely.

It should be noted that the Roseway Basin conservation area between Browns and Baccaro Banks on the southern Scotian Shelf, has no traffic separation scheme for large vessels. The route of the bulk carrier to and from the Whites Point marine terminal will, at the ships captain’s discretion, treat this as an “area to be avoided”.

9.2.13.3 Mitigation

Although not a specific responsibility of Bilcon of Nova Scotia Corporation, the following mitigation measures are currently in place by Transport Canada and Fisheries and Oceans Canada. Vessels transporting rock materials from the Whites Point Quarry will use the designated inbound/outbound shipping lanes shown on the Canadian Hydrographic Chart. Also, there is presently a vessel traffic services system in operation for the Bay of Fundy and participation is mandatory for all ships more than 20 m (66 ft.) in length. The Canadian Notices to Mariners annual edition also provides detailed information about right whales in the Bay of Fundy and Roseway Basin. Vessel captains operating in the Bay of Fundy also receive regular advisories on right whale locations through Saint John vessel traffic services (Fundy Traffic).

Mitigation measures specific to the proposed ship route to and from the inbound/outbound shipping lanes may be more effectively implemented by Bilcon of Nova Scotia Corporation through direct communication with the vessel captain. This would require cooperation and communication between whale research vessels and local whale watching boats to reportsighting locations of right whales to Bilcon of Nova Scotia Corporation. Any sightings of right whales in the area would then be relayed to the vessel captain before he exits the inbound lane or leaves the marine terminal for the outbound shipping lane. This type of communication is proposed to reduce possible risk of ship/right whale interaction along that portion of the proposed shipping route. As mentioned earlier, this route will be designated.

Bilcon of Nova Scotia Corporation is also committed to cooperating with the North Atlantic Right Whale Recovery Team to improve the right whales chances for recovery.

9.2.13.4 Monitoring

Shipping activity will be monitored through existing regulatory requirements of Transport Canada. Additionally, Bilcon of Nova Scotia Corporation along with the shipping company will keep records of arrival and departure of bulk carriers to and from the marine terminal.

9.2.13.5 Impact Statement

Right Whale/Vessel Interaction – Conservation Area

Since ship traffic will avoid the Bay of Fundy conservation area, this would result in a *long term, neutral (no) effect, of national/international scale.*

Right Whale/Vessel Interaction – Whites Point Marine Terminal

Considering the low density of right whales, the slow speed of vessels, and low probability of interactions along the route from the shipping lanes to the marine terminal, this would result in a *long term, insignificant negative effect, of national/international scale.*

Species at Risk/Vessel Interaction – Whites Point Marine Terminal

Considering the low density of marine mammals at risk, the slow speed of vessels, and the proposed mitigation measures, this would result in a *long term, insignificant negative effect, of national/international scale.*

9.2.14 Ballast Water

Introduction

The introduction and establishment of “invasive”, “non-indigenous”, “exotic” etc. marine species may adversely affect marine ecosystems. Invasive species can be transported long distances by various carriers including wildlife (migratory waterfowl and marine mammals) and various types of boats and ships. Many types of vessels from various ports of origin including fishing boats, cruise ships, ferries, tankers, bulk carriers, and container ships presently frequent Bay of Fundy waters. Species such as the now common and commercially valuable periwinkle, the European green crab, and certain species of rockweed and other marine plants were probably all introduced at some point in time to the Bay of Fundy waters.

9.2.14.1 Research

Realizing the potential for harmful effects on marine ecosystems from ballast water discharge, the International Maritime Organization (IMO) adopted RESOLUTION A. 868 (20) on 27 November 1997 entitled “Guidelines for the Control and Management of Ships’ Ballast Water to Minimize the Transfer of Harmful Aquatic Organisms and Pathogens” (Ref. 66). An important aspect of these guidelines is that every ship that carries ballast water have a Ballast Water Management Plan. Also, the guidelines include management options for ballast water exchange at sea in relation to ship safety.

Subsequently, Transport Canada issued “Guidelines for the Control of Ballast Water Discharge from Ships in Waters Under Canadian Jurisdiction” as amended June 8, 2001 (Ref. 88). More specifically, Annex V of the guidelines addressing east coast waters is presently in draft form and under review (April 7, 2003). Recommended procedures in this Annex are intended to protect the integrity of all waters in the Atlantic Canadian region from risk of species introductions. Annex V strongly recommends that ballast water originating from the eastern seaboard of the U.S. south of Cape Cod or south of 42° 00' north latitude should not be released in defined vulnerable areas. The Bay of Fundy is considered a vulnerable area.

Subsequently, on June 11, 2005, proposed “Ballast Water Control and Management Regulations” were placed in the Canada Gazette (Ref. 38). These proposed regulations, in general with exceptions, apply to every ship in waters under Canadian jurisdiction that is designed or constructed to carry ballast water. Compliance with these regulations lies with the owner of a ship and the master of a ship. Items of compliance include ballast water management, ballast water exchange – transoceanic navigation, ballast water exchange – non-transoceanic navigation, ballast water exchange standard, ballast water treatment standard, sediment disposal, ballast water management plan, and exceptional circumstances and reporting procedures.

The “Ballast Water Control and Management Regulations” are proposed to come into effect early in 2006 (personal communication M. Balaban, Transport Canada – Marine Safety). These regulations would be administered by Transport Canada under the *Canada Shipping Act*.

To more clearly define the risk of introducing invasive marine species during shipping of aggregate products by bulk carrier to and from Whites Point, Bilcon of Nova Scotia Corporation engaged Mallet Research Services Ltd. to perform a risk assessment – see Carver, C.E. and Mallet, A.L. “A Preliminary Assessment of the Risks of Introducing Non-indigenous Phytoplankton and Zooplankton Species or Pathogens/Parasites from South Amboy, New Jersey (Raritan Bay) into Whites Point, Digby Neck, Nova Scotia” October 6, 2003 (**Ref. Vol. II, Tab 13**). This risk assessment is based on available information from the scientific literature and personal communications. The objective of the assessment is to evaluate the potential for transferring non-indigenous and possibly invasive species in ballast water taken on at a port in South Amboy (Raritan Bay) to the proposed port at Whites Point, Nova Scotia. First, the assessment focuses on species of concern in the South Amboy (Raritan Bay) region and secondly on evaluating the factors which may affect the risk of introduction into the Bay of Fundy at Whites Point. Further, phytoplankton and zooplankton present in Raritan Bay which are of greatest immediate concern were reviewed. These include phytoplankton associated with harmful algal blooms, non-indigenous invertebrate species (zooplankton) with a pelagic larval phase, and pathogens or parasites responsible for disease.

Additionally, Bilcon of Nova Scotia Corporation contracted a seasonal baseline survey of phytoplankton and zooplankton in the area of the proposed Whites Point Marine Terminal – see Brylinsky 2005, (**Ref. Vol. II, Tab 11**). This comprehensive baseline data collection, including larval stages, was collected as a precautionary measure and is maintained by Bilcon of Nova Scotia Corporation for future reference.

9.2.14.2 Analyses

As presented in the “alternate means” section of this EIS, the selected method of aggregate transportation is by a “common”, reputable, bulk carrier such as Canadian Steamship Lines at this time. It is likely that the ship will not be a “dedicated” ship traveling to and from the Whites Point Terminal to Perth Amboy in northern New Jersey on a weekly schedule. Scheduling and ship selection would rest with the shipping company.

Therefore, Bilcon of Nova Scotia Corporation will have no control over what port ballast water is taken on or where exchanged en route to the Whites Point Terminal.

Clearly, the responsibility for ballast water management is with the shipping company, to either follow the current guidelines or comply with the pending regulations. Bilcon of Nova Scotia Corporation will contract reputable shipping companies that are following prescribed guidelines and complying with any regulations regarding ballast water control and management.

9.2.14.3 Mitigation

None proposed.

9.2.14.4 Monitoring

Due to the public and fishing industry concerns expressed regarding invasive species in the marine environment, especially as a result of ballast water discharge at the Whites Point Marine Terminal, Bilcon of Nova Scotia Corporation will conduct monitoring at the marine terminal. Monitoring in waters adjacent to the marine terminal will be conducted to provide an early detection of possible invasive species. A written report of findings will be submitted to Environment Canada upon completion of the investigations.

9.2.14.5 Impact Statement

Ballast Water

Since the responsibility for ballast water management lies with the shipping company to comply with existing guidelines and pending regulations, and ballast water exchange in designated areas, this would result in a *long term, neutral (no) effect, of regional scale.*

9.2.15 Noise and Vibration - Marine

9.2.15.1 Research

Historical background noise levels in the Bay of Fundy were unavailable until a pilot study of 29 July 1999 in the North Atlantic right whale Conservation Area was conducted (Desharnais 2000 Ref. 108). Eleven sonobuoys were deployed in the area of the overlap of the Conservation Area and the shipping lanes. The sonobuoys were deployed to a depth of 30 m in the water column. Noise levels measured during this pilot study were in the order of 75 –80 dB re 1 mPa²/Hz at 500 Hz and 81 – 93 dB re 1 μPa²/Hz at 100 Hz.

9.2.15.2 Analysis

The 100 Hz levels are representative of moderate to heavy shipping and are similar to levels measured near the Halifax harbour approaches. Aerial surveys on the same date as the pilot project, indicate a high density of shipping in the area. The noise levels at 500 Hz were very high considering the calm conditions (light wind, sea state 1 and fog). It was therefore assumed that shipping noise was contaminating the normally wind-dominated noise levels above 200 Hz.

The frequency of shipping aggregate products by bulk carrier is once per week. Presently, only fishing boats are known to frequent the waters between the inbound/outbound shipping lanes and the Whites Point shoreline. Arrival and departure of the bulk carrier once per week during operation of the quarry will add to existing sound and vibration levels in these marine waters. Due to the close proximity of the marine terminal to the inbound/outbound shipping lanes, the vessel will be traveling at low speed. Background noise levels are therefore expected to be less than noise levels recorded in the North Atlantic right whale Conservation Area study previously mentioned.

9.2.15.3 Mitigation

None proposed.

9.2.15.4 Monitoring

Sound and vibration level monitoring in the water column is proposed in the vicinity of the marine terminal moorings. This monitoring station will be used to record background noise levels and noise and vibration levels during arrival and departure of the bulk carrier. This background data will be used to establish baseline conditions and for any future adaptive management practices.

9.2.1.15.5 Impact Statement

Noise and Vibration - Marine

Considering the infrequent arrival and departure, reduced speeds of the bulk carrier and existing background marine noise, effects on marine organisms from ship noise levels would result in a *long term, insignificant negative effect, of local scale.*