



	Total	14,645	53,536	
2013	Crab, Snow	4,316	21,790	
	Groundfish	1,159	3,367	
	Lobster	1,354	13,204	
	Mollusc and Crustacean, Other	2,204	1,267	
	Pelagic and Estuarial	56	821	
	Shrimp	2,234	4,032	
	Total	11,324	44,481	
2014	Crab, Snow	4,693	26,455	
	Groundfish	903	5,065	
	Lobster	1,520	15,859	
	Mollusc and Crustacean, Other	2,576	1,467	
	Pelagic and Estuarial	272	1,277	
	Shrimp	3,217	8,379	
	Total	13,180	58,502	

The distribution of pelagic and estuarial species is shown in Figure 4-25. The density of the points indicates the potential for effort for the species or species group within the 6-minute grid square over the most recent four years of data. Bluefin tuna, fished primarily by set hook and line, is the most important pelagic species fished in the area.

Herring

A large population of southern Nova Scotia herring (*Clupea harengus*) over-winter in Chedabucto Bay. The current management plan allows for large seiners to fish these over-wintering fish (DFO, 2014), but the existing market allow the seiners to catch their quota during other parts of the year in the Bay of Fundy and off southern Nova Scotia (Dick Stewart, pers. Comm., Atlantic Herring Co-op). These seiners could, however, in future years, be found in the Chedabucto Bay area between November to March.

Bluefin Tuna

Atlantic bluefin tuna (*Thunnus thynnus*) migrate seasonally to the Scotian Shelf and into the Gulf of St. Lawrence between July and December. Bluefin are large, with the all-tackle angling record of 679 kg taken off Aulds Cove, Nova Scotia in 1979 (DFO 2011). The Canadian fishery, primarily hook and line, takes place largely in the Southern Gulf of St. Lawrence and along the coast of mainland Nova Scotia, into the Bay of Fundy (Figure 4-26). A large fishery exists off Chedabucto Bay in the approaches to the Strait.





Approximate Pelagic/Estuarine Catch Locations



Mackerel Tuna

Other Pelagic/Estuarial Species



Major Ports

County Boundaries

DFO Unit Area

6 Minute Catchment Grid

30



Kilometres Map Parameters Projection: Universal Transverse Mercator (UTM) Datum: NAD83 Zone: 20 Scale: 1:500,000 Project Numer: 622560 Date: April 1, 2015 Data Source: -Canyec (2013) Digital National Topographic System

-Canvec (2013) Digital National Topographic System (NTS) topographic dataset -Department of Fisheries and Oceans









*White circles are hook and line, black triangles are electric harpoon, and symbol size is proportion to the weight in pounds

Figure 4-26: Location of Bluefin Tuna Catches from logbook records, 2000-2009*

Shellfish

Shellfish species landed in the area include, crabs, scallop, oyster, sea urchin, shrimp, cock crab, whelk and soft-shelled clam (Jacques Whitford 2004). Snow crab is the most common and valued species caught and landed in the area, but rock crab is also caught in inshore areas and stone crab in deeper waters. Scallops are fished in various inshore grounds, but fishing areas tend to move from year to year because populations need time to replenish. Oyster, sea urchin and soft-shelled clam are fished in specific inshore habitats, usually by small boat.

The distribution of shellfish is shown in Figure 4-27. The density of the points indicates the potential for effort for the species or species group within the 6-minute grid square over the most recent four years of data. In contrast to the fishing effort for other species groups, effort for shellfish, particularly snow crab, takes place throughout the navigation lanes used by large vessels.







Lobster

Lobster (Homarus americanus) is one of the most valuable commercial species fished in the area. Recently Nova Scotia has been successful at opening new markets in China, which may further increase sales and value. Lobsters are fished mostly in coastal waters at depths less than 70 m. The steep bathymetry of the Strait of Canso results in a relatively narrow band of lobster habitat and thus a restricted fishing area compared to nearby areas, such as Isle Madame. The fishery is regulated by the number of traps allowed per fisher and fishing season. The Strait and approaches are divided into the three lobster fishing areas 29, 30, and 31a (Figure 4-28) with the following seasons:

- Area 29: May 10 July 10
- Area 30: May 19 July 20
- Area 31a: April 29 June 30



Figure 4-28: Lobster Fishing Areas and Sampling Areas for Research Studies (Tremblay et al. 2012)





Snow Crab

Snow crab (*Chionoecetes opilio*) is one of the dominant macro-invertebrates on the Scotian Shelf, common over muddy bottom and in water temperatures less than 6°C at depths to near 300 m (DFO, 2014c). Snow crab contributes almost half of the value of the fisheries within the study area, i.e., close to \$25 million annually. In 2005, former Crab Fishing Areas 20-22 were merged into a single division N-ENS. The approaches to the Strait of Canso are near the dividing line between snow crab divisions N-ENS and S-ENS. As can be seen in Figure 4-29, the fishing effort is relatively heavy throughout the approaches to the Strait. The fishing season is from April 2 to September 30, although somewhat shorter in division N-ENS to the north (Cook, 2014). Overall the fishery is stable and prospects are good.



Figure 4-29: Fishing Effort (Number of traps hauls per 1 minute grid) for Snow Crab from Logbooks in 2013

Shrimp

Northern shrimp (*Pandalus borealis*) is one of the major shellfish fisheries in the Strait of Canso area. Catches from 1995 to 2011 have averaged almost 4,000 tonnes (Hardie et al. 2013). The fishery is divided into two distinct components: a trap fishery within Chedabucto Bay; and a bottom trawling fishery operating near shore southern Cape Breton Island and in a number of larger deeper 'holes' further offshore, such as Louisbourg Hole, Misaine Hole and Canso Hole. Trawlers are prohibited from



fishing for shrimp within Chedabucto Bay from spring to fall by an 'inshore line'. Shrimp is primarily landed in the ports of Canso, Arichat and Louisbourgh. The trap fishery in Chedabucto Bay has been successful in recent years at finding a higher price market in Japan, which is positive for the Canso area. Fishing effort is generally outside of areas frequented by major shipping from the Strait (Figure 4-30).



Figure 4-30: Annual Effort ('000 hours) Fishing for Shrimp in 2012

4.5.4.3 Fishing Effort

The number of fishing vessels was not accessible by Statistical District to ensure confidentiality of information, nor was it available for 2010 and 2011. Table 4-37 provides the number of vessels by size class for 2012 to 2014.



Table 4-37: Number of Licensed Fishing Vessels Registered with Statistical District 9, 14and 15 by Size Class

Year	Less than 65'	Greater than 65'	Total
2012	201	10	211
2013	199	11	210
2014	203	10	213

Navigation and Risk

Fishing vessels are equipped with standard aids to navigation, including radar, radar reflectors, lights and fog horns, and are subject to the standard marine rules for navigation, including the training of crew. Navigation rules generally require fishing vessels to give right of way to large vessels like LNG tankers, but fishing vessels towing nets are less mobile and are given right of way if running lights indicate they are towing gear. Navigation lanes are established where marine traffic is higher to avoid encounters between fishing vessels and other marine traffic. Accidents between fishing vessels and other marine traffic are a rare event. One of the issues to be considered is to determine if mobile gear is potentially active in an area where project traffic could increase risk.

With regards to fixed gear, minimizing potential interactions and adverse effects is typically an issue of risk management and effective communications. If a buoy marking a trap is lost, the gear may not be able to be recovered. While careful watch is kept, under conditions of poor visibility, gear loss can occur.

Seasonality of Fishing

Table 4-38 illustrates the fishing effort within the study area (4Wd) based on fishing logs and landing information. Effort was approximated based on the number of data points associated with catch data and interpreted in relation to relevance to the project. The effort shown is considered to be indicative of the period when major interactions between fishing operations and LNG vessel traffic could potentially occur.

Species	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec
Cod												
Haddock												
Halibut												
Turbot												
Cusk												
Monkfish												
Herring												
Mackerel												
Tuna, Bluefin												
Alewife												
Am, Eel												
Smelt												
Blue Shark												
Soft Shell Clams												
Scallop												
Lobster												
Shrimp												
Jonah Crab												
Rock Crab												
Snow Crab												
Legend		1-3 data p	oints per mo	nth		4-9 data p	oints per mor	nth		>9 data po	oints per mor	ith

Table 4-38: Fishing Effort by Month and Major Species for Management Area 4Wd



4.5.4.4 Recreational Fishery

As identified in the previous Environmental Assessment (JWEL, 2004a), there are reports of diving for scallops in the Strait area and sport fishing for trout and salmon. Recreational fishing for mackerel may take place close to the Project site, however, it mostly occurs off the Causeway, local wharves and piers around Port Hawkesbury, as well as the southeast tip of Bear Head.

The dirt road around the Project site is used by recreational fishers and anglers to launch their boats from the beach at the southern end of Bear Head. August, September and October are the months when the majority of recreational fishing takes place. Recreational fishing in the streams located around the Project area is not known to occur. The southeastern point of Bear Head contains a pond regularly harvested for mussels by recreational harvesters.

4.5.4.5 Aquaculture

Aquaculture sites near the main navigation route for the LNG tankers are described in Table 4-39. It should be noted that there are approximately 11 shellfish sites near Louisedale, to the north of Isle Madame, and approximately 9 shellfish sites near Whitehead Harbour to the south of Cape Canso.

Lease Holders	Species	Size (ha)	Latitude (N)	Longitude (W)
St. Peters Fish Hatchery Ltd.	A. salmon, rainbow trout	22.98	45° 30' 3.271"	61° 02' 35.430"
Steven Grady	A. salmon, rainbow trout	4.2	45° 31' 17.347"	61° 15' 14.452"
Little Harbour Hatchery Ltd.	Brook trout, A. salmon, brown trout, rainbow trout	1.84	45° 25' 41.491"	61° 30' 13.5"
Premium Seafoods Ltd.	American oyster, blue mussel, sea scallop	8.22	45° 29' 56.045"	61° 01' 01.825"
Ronald Boudreau	Blue mussel, sea scallop	8.03	45° 29' 24.881"	61° 01' 04.619"

Table 4-39: Major Aquaculture Sites in Chedabucto Bay, Nova Scotia

The location of aquaculture sites are illustrated in Figure 4-31. Note this figure also illustrates the boundaries of Statistical Districts, major ports, and the location of some near shore fishing areas for mackerel and sea scallop.





4.5.5 First Nations Land and Resource Use

A MEKS was undertaken by Mainland Mi'kmaq Developments Inc. to update the MEKS completed in 2004 with the intent of supporting the integration of Mi'kmaq knowledge relating to use and occupation of the Mi'kma'ki into the Environmental Assessment process. An interim MEKS was completed for this assessment, pending field studies to be completed in June of 2015. The interim updated MEKS is provided in Appendix F. Further conclusions and recommendations may be made once the final MEKS is completed.

4.5.6 Archaeological and Heritage Resources

An integral part of the environmental assessment (JWEL, 2004a) was the execution of a study to determine the archaeological importance of the project site and surrounding lands and waters. This work drew upon the archaeological site records at the Nova Scotia Museum of Natural History, historical literature and archival resources; an archaeological survey of the proposed Project area was undertaken to assess the heritage resource potential (JWEL, 2004a).

Archaeological research was performed using the previously accepted Bear Head LNG environmental assessment in combination with additional historical research at the Public Archives of Nova Scotia. Using a map created by A.F. Church for Richmond County published between 1883 and 1887, dwellings in and around the Bear Head LNG site were identified (Church, 1883-1887). These dwellings may have been related to mining or ship building activities, which were prevalent in the area during the 19th century. All dwellings within the Bear Head LNG site were digitized as part of the 2004 work. These files can be found in Appendix F. Although more names were shown on the A.F. Church map in the Point Tupper area, after digitizing the map and adding geo-referenced points, seven dwellings were identified as having once existed in the vicinity of the Project site⁷; these dwellings are located on Figure 4-32.

No other archaeological sites were identified on the site. Information in the previous environmental assessment is important to this section as major development occurred following the accepted 2004 Bear Head LNG environmental assessment. Also reported on the 2004 Bear Head LNG Environmental Assessment was communication with a representative of Nova Scotia Museum's Archaeological Sites Inventory Database stating that there were no additional archaeological sites identified in the Bear Head LNG site area (JWEL, 2004a).

⁷ These dwellings were identified under the following names: J. Mackie, P Mackie, A McPherson, T. Mitchell, J. Morash, D. O'Brien and G. Wright.









4.5.6.1 Archaeological Potential – Pre-Contact

Pre-contact sites refer to areas of pre-European archaeology, such as aboriginal burial sites (Saskatchewan Archaeological Society, 2015). Three facts lead to the observation that Bear Head LNG site is unlikely to be the location of site of pre-contact archaeological importance: few necessary resources for survival, extreme exposure and lack of scholarly reporting of the area. Better resources, including proximity to fresh water, a food supply and transportation is found inland from the site. Also, the Bear Head location is subject to extreme climatic conditions, including winds from the Strait of Canso and Chedabucto Bay. These two facts combined with the lack of published or documented scholarly material make the Bear Head LNG site an unlikely location for pre-contact archaeology (JWEL, 2004a).

4.5.6.2 Archaeological Potential – Historic

As described in the 2004 Bear Head LNG Environmental Assessment and noted in additional research at the Public Archives of Nova Scotia, the oldest information found pertaining to the Bear Head LNG site is on the A.F. Church map from the late 19th century. No earlier historical information was located.

4.5.6.3 Field Survey

An archaeological field survey was undertaken between September 15 and 19, 2004. The survey was performed over the Bear Head LNG site, and surrounding areas, with focus on the coast line. The potential for Aboriginal, or pre- contact archaeology, was considered during planning and throughout the survey. An attempt was made to view all locations within the site that were identified on the A.F. Church map. Using GPS, a search for remnant dwelling features was performed. All features located were photographed and entered on a Maritime Archaeological Resource Inventory Site Survey Form. Information and details from the survey can be found in Appendix H.

As described in the field survey notes of Appendix H, components of the physiography were described, including terrain, plants, and surface rocks. No definitive archaeological sites were found on the beach except for a spoil heap of rocks, possibly from mining activities. Further research did not determine this to be a significant feature. There was no evidence of Aboriginal occupation on the beach (JWEL, 2004a).

Of the dwellings shown on the A.F. Church map, three could not be located. Using the GPS and including a 50 m buffer capacity, surveyors could not locate any settlement features (cellars) for the A. McPherson, J. Morash, or G. Wright dwellings. All details found pertaining to the other noted dwellings are described in the full report in Appendix H. The observed features included stone foundations, a stone well, and some landscaping. An error on the A.F. Church map location for the T. Mitchell dwelling was assumed. Surveyors found features east of the originally defined area, which are presumed to be part of the Mitchell dwelling. The four foundations that were found during the survey are outside the Project area and were not disturbed by the existing development. The previously performed survey did not suggest a need for further archaeological exploration in the area.