

NOVA SCOTIA DEPARTMENT OF LANDS AND FORESTS P.O. BOX 68, TRURO, N.S. B2N 5B8

No. 17, August 1989

# SEASONAL INFLUENCE ON PLANTATION SURVIVAL AND GROWTH ON MAINLAND NOVA SCOTIA

#### INTRODUCTION

Many of Nova Scotia's forest stands are inadequately stocked with natural softwood regeneration after harvesting. In fact, Snow (1982) estimated that 30% of 5 to 6 year-old softwood cutovers in Nova Scotia are less than 60% stocked with naturally regenerated commercial species. To restore production on these sites requires the planting of approximately 30 million trees per year.

From a logistics and operational viewpoint, it would be more efficient to plant throughout the growing season rather than only during spring and early fall. In some regions of Canada, survival and height have been found to vary according to planting date, whereas other studies have indicated that

planting can take place from spring, throughout the summer months and into the fall without adversely affecting growth or survival (Anon., 1984; McClain, 1981; Mullin, 1968; Wood and Dominy, 1985). A study undertaken on the Cape Breton Highlands of Nova Scotia showed that white and black spruce multipots can be planted throughout the growing season without adversely affecting survival or growth (NSLF, 1988). To determine whether the same is true for mainland Nova Scotia, where moisture deficiencies are more common, a trial involving 7 species was established at Delaney Settlement, Colchester County (45°28'N, 63°18'W).

# SITE DESCRIPTION

The 4 hectare, moderately undulating site at Delaney Settlement (elevation 168 m A.S.L., southern exposure) is covered with a gravelly sandy loam soil, derived from sandstone and conglomerate (Cann and Wickland, 1950). Prior to harvest in 1986, the site supported a cover of mature softwood. Site preparation consisted of piling slash into rows using a brush rake, followed by burning.

The climate in this region is characterized by cool wet springs and warm summers, with occasional dry periods where soil moisture is limiting (Dzikowski et al, 1984). During 1987, 528 mm of rain fell in this region between May 4 and November 2. The average weekly rainfall for this period was 20 mm and varied from 0 mm for the week of July 6, to 48 mm during the week of October 19 (Figure 1). Of

## FUNDED UNDER CANADA/NOVA SCOTIA FOREST RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT








Figure 1. Weekly total rainfall between May 4 and November 2, 1987 (recorded at the Truro weather station by Environment Canada).

this total, approximately 53% fell between the period of Sept. 1 and Nov. 2. The corresponding province-wide averages, for the same period, were

595 mm for total rainfall and 22 mm for mean weekly rainfall.

#### **METHODS**

The experimental site was divided into 42 blocks: 30 blocks measured 29x29 metres and 12 were 11x29 metres. Three blocks were assigned to each of 14 bi-weekly planting dates, beginning May 4 and ending November 2, 1987. No planting was carried out on June 29 due to a shortage of nursery stock. Within the blocks up to 6 species were planted, each arranged in 2 rows of 15 trees. The species planted included: black spruce (Picea mariana (Mill) B.S.P.), white spruce (Picea glauca (Moench) Voss.), red spruce (Picea rubens (Sarg.), Norway spruce (Picea abies (L.) Karst.), white pine (Pinus strobus (L.), red pine (Pinus resinosa Ait.), and eastern larch (Larix laricina (Du Roi) K. Koch). The availability and quality of the planting stock in the nursery determined which of these species were planted on a given date. Red and black spruce were

the only species planted in every session (see Table 1).

The trees were grown in multipots at the Strathlorne Forest Nursery (Inverness Co., Cape Breton) or the Wittenburg Forest Nursery (Colchester Co.). Seeding took place between May of 1986 and March of 1987. Stock quality measurements (heights, diameters, shoot/root ratios and oven dried weights) were recorded at the time of each planting (Appendices I-II). All trees were planted with a dibble at 1.8 x 1.8 metre spacing.

The planting was divided into 3 sessions according to the nursery schedule. They are referred to as spring (May 4-June 15), summer (July 13-Aug. 10) and fall sessions (Aug. 24-Nov. 2).

Table 1. The number of blocks planted by date and species during the 1987 seasonal trial at Delaney Settlement.

	тS	bS	wS	nS	rP	wP	eL
4	3	3	3	3	0*	3	3
19	3	3	3	3	0*	_	3
1	3	3	3	3	0*		3
15	3	3	3	3	0*	3	3
	1						
29	0*	0*	0*	0*	0*	0*	0*
13	. 3	3	0*	0*	3	0*	0*
27	3	3	0*	0*	3	0*	O*
10	2*	3	0*	0*	3	0*	0*
24	3	3	3	3	3	0*	3
8	3	3	3	3	3	0*	3
22	3	3	3	3	3	0*	3
5	2*	2*	2*	3	3	0*	2*
19	3	2*	3	3	3	0*	3
2	3 .	3	3	3	3	0*	3
	19 1 15 29 13 27 10 24 8 22 5	4 3 19 3 1 3 15 3 29 0* 13 3 27 3 10 2*  24 3 8 3 22 3 5 2* 19 3	4 3 3 3 19 3 3 3 15 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	4 3 3 3 3 19 3 3 3 15 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	4 3 3 3 3 3 3 1 3 1 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	4 3 3 3 3 0* 19 3 3 3 3 0* 1 3 3 3 3 0* 15 3 3 3 3 0* 29 0* 0* 0* 0* 0* 13 3 3 0* 0* 27 3 3 0* 0* 3 27 3 3 0* 0* 3 27 3 3 0* 0* 3 27 3 3 3 0* 0* 3 27 3 3 3 0* 0* 3 27 3 3 3 3 3 3 27 3 3 3 3 3 3 28 3 3 3 3 3 3 29 3 3 3 3 3 3 30 3 3 3 3 3 30 3 3 3 3 3 30 3 3 3 3	4 3 3 3 3 0* 3 19 3 3 3 3 0* 3 1 3 3 3 0* 3 15 3 3 3 3 0* 3 15 3 3 3 3 0* 3 29 0* 0* 0* 0* 0* 0* 0* 13 3 3 0* 0* 3 27 3 3 0* 0* 3 0* 10 2* 3 0* 0* 3 0* 24 3 3 3 3 3 0* 25 3 3 3 3 3 0* 26 3 3 3 3 3 0* 27 3 3 3 0* 0* 3 28 3 3 3 3 3 0* 29 0* 0* 3 0* 20 3 3 3 3 3 3 0* 21 3 3 3 3 3 3 0* 22 3 3 3 3 3 3 0* 23 3 3 3 3 3 0* 24 3 3 3 3 3 3 0* 25 2* 2* 2* 2* 3 3 3 0*

# ASSESSMENT

An assessment of survival and height growth was carried out in November of 1988, the first year following planting. Survival was based on a 100% tally. Average leader length and total height were determined by measuring 10 randomly selected trees, per species, per block. An estimate of the severity of competing vegetation was also recorded.

Survival was expressed as both observed and projected, where:

observed survival= live trees / live + dead trees x 100

projected survival= live trees / live + dead+ missing trees x 100

# RESULTS

#### SURVIVAL

Š.

Figure 2 shows the projected survival rates by planting date and species. Overall, each of the species displayed a slightly higher survival at later planting dates. White spruce and Norway spruce

showed the largest increase in projected survival from the spring to fall planting sessions. For example, wS averaged 83% projected survival over all spring planting dates and 98% for all fall plantings (Table 2, Appendix IV). At the other extreme, red




Figure 2. Projected survival (%) by species and planting date, based on assessments conducted in November of the year following planting.

Table 2. Projected survival (%), observed survival, (%) and average leader length (cm) by species and season of planting (based on assessments conducted in November of the year following establishment, 1988).

		Spring <sup>1</sup>			Summer <sup>2</sup>			Fall 3			Average	
Spec	Projected <sup>4</sup> Survival	Observed Survival	Average Leader		Observed Survival		Projected Survival		Average Leader	Projected Survival	Observed Survival	Average Leader
bS	89	92	17	94	96	12	93	96	11	92	95	14
rS wS	93 83	96 93	18 16	91	94	9	94 98	96 99	10	92 91	95 97	13
nS	85 85	93 88	11		-	-	95	98	9	90	93	10
rP	_*	-	-	70	79	6	72	82	7	71	80	7
wP	71	78	10	•	•	•		-	-	71	78	10
¢L	92	97	25	*	-	-	90	99	12	91	98	19

- 1 May 4 June 15
- 2 July 13 August 10
- 3 August 24 November 2
- 4. Projected Survival = Live trees / (Live trees + Dead + Missing) \* 100
- 5 Observed Survival = Live trees / (Live trees + Dead) \* 100
- Not planted

and black spruce showed the smallest increase from spring to fall planting dates (e.g. Table 2 shows rS had an average projected survival of 93% in the spring versus 94% in the fall).

Some of the species planted showed fluctuating survival rates within planting session as well as between sessions. For example, Norway and white spruce survival varied by as much as 17 and 30% respectively within the spring planting session but stabilized during the fall planting session. Larch, on the other hand, showed increased survival by date within both the spring and fall sessions. Finally, the white pine and white spruce planted on the earliest spring planting date (May 4) resulted in poor survival as compared to the other planting dates. In fact, the average projected survival for white pine on the May 4 planting date was only 53%, compared to 75-80% for the other spring planting dates, while comparable figures for white spruce were 65% versus 81-91% survival.

#### LEADER GROWTH

Average 1988 leader growth, by planting date and species, is shown in Figure 3. Overall, most species showed a decreasing trend in leader growth with later planting dates (Table 2, Appendix V). This trend was most pronounced for larch, where average leader growth was 25 cm for the spring session, as opposed to 12 cm for the fall plantings. Norway

There was also variation in survival by species. Over all planting dates, red and black spruce showed the highest projected survival rate, both at 92% (Table 2). The pines (white and red) showed the lowest projected survival (71%). Field observations indicate the lower survival of the pines may have been as a result of browsing during the fall of 1987.

Vegetative competition was not a factor in overall survival for any of the species, as only 6% of the seedlings, on average were categorized as severely competed against.

On average, over all planting dates, only 2% of the trees were missing. In cases where survival decreased noticeably (Oct. 5 for eL; May 4 for wS; May 4 for wP) the percentage varied between 6 and 8%. Visual observation of the planting site indicated browsing to be the primary cause of this increased mortality.

spruce displayed the least fluctuation in leader growth between the spring and fall sessions (11 versus 9 cm).

Generally larch had the longest leaders and the pines the shortest. The largest differences occurred in spring planted trees, where leader growth for larch averaged 25 cm, versus 10 cm for white pine.




Figure 3. Average leader length (cm) by species and planting date, based on assessments conducted in November of the year following planting.

# DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

Between June and September of 1987, mainland Nova Scotia experienced one of the driest periods on record in terms of total rainfall. Only 189 mm of rain fell during this period, as opposed to the 30 year average of 242 mm (Environment Canada, 1982). Despite these conditions, all of the species planted in this experiment showed no pronounced adverse affects on survival due to season of planting. In fact, survival averaged 86% over all species. Although these results suggest the 7 species included here could be planted from May until November, certain species were not planted during some sessions (see Table 1). Therefore no conclusions could be made about those species and planting session combinations. More specifically, Norway spruce, white spruce, white pine and eastern larch were not planted in the summer, red pine was not planted in the spring and white pine was not planted in the fall.

In addition, some operational and experimental red and black spruce plantations established in the fall of 1988 (one year after the fall plantings in this study) experienced higher mortality compared to spring and summer planted stock. This reduced survival and growth is attributed to severe winter drying (see Boyce, 1961) as a result of the combination of insufficient snow-cover and drying conditions in the winter following establishment (1988-1989). In contrast, the weather during the winter of 1987-1988 at Delaney Settlement produced adequate snow-cover; resulting in reduced desiccation of the newly established trees. In fact, the average

snow cover during the months of December to March (1987-1988) was 21 cm versus 11 cm for the winter of 1988-1989 (based on climatological reports for Debert, Colchester Co.). Preliminary observations from a seasonal plantation experiment established in 1988 at the same location shows reduced red and black spruce survival when planted after September 1. On the other hand, Norway spruce, white spruce and red pine planted on the same site did not show adverse reaction to the winter conditions of 1988 when planted up to November.

On average, trees planted in the spring exhibited greater leader growth (by November of the year following establishment) than trees planted in the summer and fall; 16 cm versus 9 cm. This is attributed to the fact that fall planted seedlings had ceased height growth after outplanting in 1987. Therefore the leader growth measured in November 1988 was the first field grown leader, for the fall planted trees, whereas the leader growth measured on the spring planted trees represents their second year of growth in the field.

In conclusion, this study has shown that all species planted showed good survival irregardless of the planting season from early May to early November. However, it is recommended that red spruce be planted only until mid August, black spruce until the end of August and that the remaining species be planted only until mid September. This is a precaution necessary to minimize damage to planted stock during winter periods of inadequate snow cover.

# LITERATURE CITED

Anonymous. 1984. Five-year assessment of 1978 mid-summer and late fall planting. New Brunswick Dept. of Natural Resources, Forest Management Branch. Information Report. 7 pp.

Boyce, J.S. 1961. Forest Pathology. McGraw-Hill Book Co. Inc. Toronto. 572 pp.

Cann, D.B. and R.E. Wickland. 1950. Soil survey of Pictou County, Nova Scotia. Can. Dept. of Agric., Rept. No. 4. 66 pp. Dzikowski, P.A., G. Kirby, G. Read and W.G. Richards. 1984. The climate for agriculture in Atlantic Canada. Atlantic Advisory Committee on Agrometeorology. Publication No. ACA 84-2-500. 52 pp.


Environment Canada. 1982. Canadian climate normals - temperature and precipitation -1951-1980 -Atlantic provinces. Canadian Climate Program, Environment Canada. 136 pp.

- McClain, K.M. 1981. Effects of continuous planting on black spruce survival and growth: An interim report. Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources. Forest Research Note #28. 4pp.
- Mullin, R.E. 1968. Comparisons between seedlings and transplants in fall and spring plantings. Ontario Dept. of Lands and Forest, Research Branch. Research report #85. 40 pp.
- Nova Scotia Dept. Lands & Forest. 1988. Seasonal influence on plantation survival and growth on the Cape Breton Highlands of Nova Scotia. Forest Research Report #4, Forest Research Section. 8pp.

- Snow, K.J.W. 1982. Regeneration survey, 1977-1980. Nova Scotia Dept. of Lands and Forests. Forest Resource Planning and Mensuration Division. 44 pp.
- Wood, J.E. and S.W.J Dominy. 1985. Black spruce, white spruce and jack pine outplantings in boreal Ontario: bare root vs. paper pot stock and spring vs. summer planting. Canadian Forestry Service, Great Lakes Forest Research Centre. Information Report O-X-368. 67 pp.

#### MANAGEMENT RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the results of this experiment, preliminary recommendations for planting multiporstock on coarse textured soils are as follows:



Limited operational testing of these results will be undertaken before finalizing planting recommendations.

# APPENDIX I Stock quality measurements for white, black, Norway and red spruce at the time of planting.

Plan	ting Date	Avg. Height	Avg. R.C.D.	O.D. Weight	S/R Ratio	
		(cm)	(mm)	(g)		
Black :	Spruce					
May	4	21.5	1.73	19.0	4.23	
May		25.8	1.65	23.7	7.15	
June		27.3	2.25	26.0	5.43	
June		28.3	1.80	24.0		
		*	*	24.0 *	7.00 *	
July	13					
July		23.0	1.93	18.9	5.75	
Aug.		24.9	2.70	26.5	4.41	
Aug.		24.0	2.02	19.0	3.09	
Sept.	. 8	23.9	2.32	28.9	2.27	
Sept.	. 22	22.7	*	24.8	3.03	
Oct.		24.8	2.09	14.8	2.71	
Oct.	19	23,1	5.11	28.5	2.74	
Nov.		19.9	1.93	30.8	1.52	
Aver	n — su yan san par par hag	24.1	2.32	23.7	4.11	- 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1
White S		A	2.11			
May		21.4	2.11	2.22	3.19	
May		23.9	2.50	34.2	3.75	
June		22,7	2.56	25,0	4.00	
June	1.5	33.0	2.33	36.7	5.30	
Aug.		15.7	2,44	18.6	2.51	
Sept		17.1	2.48	21.8	3.95	
Sept		14.5	1.07	26.0	1.95	
Oct.		17.0	2.67	33.1		
					1.67	
Oct.		15.4	2.34	23.6	1.74	
Nov.	. 2	15.3	2.35	31.0	1.70	
Aver	rage	100	2.29	27.2	298	
Norway						
May		16.3	2.31	20.3	2.30	
May	19	12.1	1.93	24.2	2.43	
June		20.8	2.55	25.1	3.50	
June	15	28.3	2.22	30.1	3.80	
Aug.	24	17.6	2.21	15.5	2.88	
Sept.		13.2	2.41	20.9	1.43	
Sept.		15.9	# <b>*</b>	24.6	1.86	
Oct.	5	19.2	2.39	25.0		
Oct.					1.81	
	19	18.0	2.48	28.0	1.75	
Nov.	2	18.3	2.40	32.6	2.54	
Aver	age:	18.0	232	24.6	2.43	
Red Spa	ruce					
May		22.6	2.13	26.9	2.65	
May		26.5	2.47		4.59	
June				51.4		
June		36.2	3.31	70.2	4.60	
		30.6	2.79	63.1	4.50	
July	13	*	Hk	:bk	ńk	
July	27	14.3	1.94	12.6	5.00	
Aug.		21.4	2.68	<b>H</b> k	*	
Aug.		17.0	2.18	18.0	3.19	
Sept.	. 8	21.2	2.31	26.6	2.13	
Sept.		18.7	Hr.	21.2	4.44	
Oct.	5	21.7	2.40	28.7	2.26	
Oct.	19	14.9	2.20		2.37	
Nov.		21.0	2.10	22.9 27.8	2.37 3.48	
Aver	age:	222	2.41	33.58	3.56	
Heigh	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ig hoight (15 tree sample)	San San San Art (1984)			esa, e e diga (1694). Badandayan anay e
R.C.I		ollar diameter (15 tree sample)				
Ö:D:		ven dried weight of shoots and				the energial in Billion
S/R	Ratio	of O.D. shoot weight to O.D. re				ta da kalang 18 -
The state of the s	the section the section of	and the second second second second	<b>.</b>			
N.	o data available					Elebro Ella A

Q

APPENDIX II

Stock quality measurements for red pine, white pine and eastern larch at the time of planting.

Planting D	Jate	Avg. Height (cm)	Avg. R.C.D. (mm)	O.D. Weight (g)	S/R Ratio
White Pine					•
May	4	13.2	2.33	41.7	1.76
May	19	13.0	2.16	38.2	2.08
June	î	19.9	3.55	50.0	1.70
June	15	22.3	3.03	40.7	2.70
Average:		17.1	2.77	42.7	2.02
Red Pine					3.45
July	27	13.4	2.29	26.4	3.63
Aug.	10	15.7	2.16	31.5	3.04
Aug.	24	12.3	2.22	26.2	3.65
Sept.	8	11.3	2.39	31.0	3.13
Sept.	22	12.2	*	35.1	3.08
Öct.	5	13.7	2.59	38.8	2.80
Oct.	19	12.5	2.34	25.1	2.69
Nov.	2	12.4	2.02	28.1	2.85
Average:	, je je ja k	12.9	2.29	30.3	20.1
Larch	<u></u> -	_			2.42
May	4	35.8	3.02	20.9	3.10
May	19	36.3	2.71	21.4	3.86
June	l	36.8	3.89	26.1	4.20
June	15	36.9	2.81	25.3	4.40
Aug.	24	23.0	2.39	14.4	2.00
Sept.	8	26.1	2.75	18.0	2.60
Sept.	22	26.1	He	17.2	2.91
Oct.	5	26.5	3.04	20.5	1.89
Oct.	19	24.3	2.91	18.5	2.03
Nov.	2	22.4	3.22	18.5	1.50
Ачегаце:		29.4	2.97	20.4	2.83
Height R.C.D. O.D. S/R	- Root - Total	dling height (15 tree sample) of collar diameter (15 tree samp al oven dried weight of shoots to of O.D. shoot weight to O.D	s and roots		

No data available

#### APPENDIX III

Observed survival (%) by planting date, species and block based on assessments conducted in November of 1988.

	44.05						OBSE	RVED	SURV	VAL	. "	H. L.	anari -	Testini.			3.215.77	
		ATTEND		Yemen			PLAN	TING	OATE (	1987)	ur (at)						<u> </u>	7 1
		May 4			May 1	•		June 1			June 15	j., " "-	100	July 13	94 B	100	July 27	
To each a year of the	E-1013/F	BLOCK	aga ji y		BLOCK	Çerine iy	100	BLOCK	Ç		BLOCK	M 1.4	10.000	BLOCI	<		BLOCK	17.1
Species	23	25	- 5	2	29	8	15	28	- 4	12	21	30	33	38	40	_32	34	- 36
wP	64	76	61	87	81	90	7 I	89	79	88	63	86		NP			NP	
rP		NP*			NP			NP			NP		73	68	84	86	79	81
w\$	95	78	93	100	97	92	88	89	93	100	97	97		NP			NP	
r\$	100	94	94	100	90	93	97	97	100	94	97	97	96	97	97	89	93	94
bS	88	87	92	92	98	91	99	95	84	98	93	95	97	90	94	100	97	100
nS	89	71	100	84	63	100	100	93	97	100	79	77		NP			NP	
eL	96	100	96	75	100	100	100	100	93	100	100	100		NP			NP	
Avg	89	84	89	90	88	94	92	94	91	84	88	92	97	85	92	89.'''	85 .	92

								hija i	OBSE	RVEI	SURV	/IVAL		Tarana.					1.1.		
					451.24		E.M		PLAN	TING	DATE	(1987	)	Avria.						1	
	***	Aug. 10			Aug. 24	(FAS)		Sept. 8	Mary.		Sept. 2	2	· ,	Oct. 5	artit.		Oct. 19			Nov. 2	
A		BLOCK			BLOCK	Sib e i	,pa (1)	BLOCK		100	BLOCE	<		BLOCK			BLOCK		· .	BLOCK	
Spec	31	39	42	14	20 to	- 6	10	16	24	11	18	9	19	26	27	17:	. 3	. 7	1	13	22
w₽		NP*			NP			NP			NP			NP			NP			NP	
rP :	68	89	76	85	73	80	69	90	55	90	97	63	93	90	86	100	72	74	96	96	64
wS		NP		100	100	100	97	100	100	100	100	100	97	NP	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
rS	97	97	100	93	89	96	100	93	92	93	100	93	100	88	NP	100	NP	100	97	97	97
ъs	98	94	NP	96	91	95	100	95	97	100	93	95	98	94	NΡ	97	98	95	94	100	94
n\$		NP		100	96	94	93	100	97	100	100	96	100	97	100	100	97	100	100	100	100
еL		NP		100	96	89	100	100	96	100	100	100	100	NP	100	100	100	100	100	100	94
Avg:	88	93	88	96	91	92	93	96	- 90	97	98	91	98	92	97	100	93	95	98	99	92 -

#### APPENDIX IV

Projected survival (%) by planting date, species and block based on assessments conducted in November of 1988.

a Haria Biribi, Polati	er feld			William)	arraid		PROJE	CTED	SURV	IVAL	11:50	egariy pa		1.77	. 2000	: .		
							PLAN	IING I	DATE (	1987)	1000	9174	1.11	11 11		14, 13		'
		May 4		SPESET	May 19	)	14 (17) 2414	June 1	. /3.5		June 15		.b., 9	July 13	3 + 1 1 1	1 4.1 3	Júly 27	
		BLOCK			BLOCK	<b>⊆</b> (1) (1)	1	BLOCK	<b>(</b> 40)	1	BLOCK	f & 1		BLOC	K		BLOCK	
Species	23	25	5	2	29	8	15	28	4:	12	21:	-30	33	38	40	32	34	36
wP	44	55	59	84	69	87	69	78	79	88	55	83		NP			NP	
rP		NP*			NP			NP			NP		63	56	- 81	66	66	79
wS	53	55	88	97	94	83	88	63	93	88	63	94		NP			NP	
rS	88	94	94	97	87	87	91	88	100	94	97	97	87	94	94	83	78	94
bS	84	79	87	90	93	84	97	92	84	98	92	94	97	84	94	100	94	100
nS	78	NP	100	81	50	100	94	90	97	100	69	75	ĺ	NP			NP	
eL	87	84	77	76	88	100	100	100	93	100	97	97		NΡ			NP	
A∨g:	72	73	84	88	80	90	90	85	91	9.5	79"	: 90	82	::78	90	83	79	91

No. of contract					or to be one of the	ne ne este	or Control of the				PE 100 00E 0	ulau - o									
7.7	9 11 9 11 11		<u> </u>	- 0-25						T.,	D SURV	/IVAI	w	21 1 1 1 1 T	1				:		
		Del Air	grada.		gratini				PLAN	TING	DATE	(1987	)			1. 1.	1 40.55			1 1	
	9846	Aug. 10	)		Aug. 24		31.	Sept. 8			Sept. 22	2		Oct. 5	177	4 1 2	Oct. 19			Nov. 2	
		BLOCK	Ć-	V	BLOCK			BLOCE	<b>C</b>		BLOCK	Ci v		BLOCK	N. Y.		BLOCK		<u> </u>	BLOCK	
Spec	31	39	42	1.4	20	-6	: 10	16	24	11.	1.8	9	19	26	27	17	3	7	1.	"::13: "	22
wP		N.b.			NP			NP			NP			NP "	"		NP			NP	
rP	60	86	73	77	73	67	67	88	39	8 I	<b>9</b> 3	46	.83	82	69	100	72	53	89	90	58
wS		NP		93	100	97	97	97	100	93	100	93	97	NP	100	100	97	100	100	97	100
rS	91	97	100	87	83	96	100	91	82	93	100	93	100	85	NP	100	NP	94	97	97	97
ъ\$	90	94	94	92	83	88	100	94	95	97	93	94	97	93	NP	95	98	94	84	96	88
n\$		NP		90	87	94	84	97	94	100	100	87	100	94	100	100	97	100	100	100	96
eL		NP		90	87	81	97	100	81	100	97	89	50	NP	97	100	97	100	100	93	94
Avg.	80	92	89	88	86	87	91	95	82	94	97	82	86	89	89	99	91	88	95	96	89

\* NOT PLANTED

#### APPENDIX V

Average leader length (cm) by planting date, species and block based on assessments conducted in November of 1988.

		Made	Modelly.	ti iški		AVE	RAG)	LEAD	ER LEI	IGTH	(cm)			1. Wind	outation			4 14 14 1
				State		a diba	PLA	NTING I	DATE (	1987).	111111		Symbols			TELES	1546	
		May 4		am Da ili	May 19		A	June 1		s Best	June 15			July 13	attivis.		July 27	
		BLOCI	ζ:		BLOCK			BLOCI	<b>K</b> [/]	٠	BLOCK	(10.00)		BLOCI	C. ( Bayer)	# (	BLOCK	
Species	_23	25	5	.2	29	8	- 15	28 <sup></sup>	4_	12	21	30	33	:38	40	32	34	36
wP	9	10	11	11	9	9	10	11	10	λĺ	11	10		NP	- 3/		NP	
rΡ	•	NP*			NP			NP			NP		8	6	5	6	6	6
w\$	16	16	17	19	17	NP	16	13	19	12	11	18		NP	_	Ť	NP	Ĭ
rS	17	20	17	17	17	NP	17	20	22	18	19	19	8	<b>フ</b>	8	8	9	NP
bS	15	16	18	18	17	17	19	16	19	16	17	16	12	11	11	10	12	12
nS	1 F	11	13	11	. 8	1 I	11	9	1.5	8	<b>i</b> 1	13		NP			NP	
eL	26	20	27	32	26	24	27	22	NP	24	28	23		NP			NP	
Avg;	16	16	17	18.	. 16	15	17	15	17	15	16	17	9	. 8	8	8	9	9

				. " "			11 1.	AVER	AGE	LEA	DER LE	NGT	l (cm	)	'			, i i i i	45 C.P	<u>, 4, 4, .</u>	
ļ.			- 1	- : ::		. :	Mg.		PL	ANTI	NG DA	TE (19	987)								
İ	_	Ànag. 10		;;;	Aug. 24			Sept. 8	٠.		Sept. 2.	2		Oct, 5			Oct. 19		1	Nov. 2	A40 4
		BLOCK			BLOCK	110.0	1	BLOCK			BLOCE	ζ		BLOC	ĸ	· .	BLOCK	200	11.00	BLOCK	c
Spec	31	39	42	14	- 20	6	10	16	24	. 11	18	9	19	26	: 27-	17	3:	7		13	22
wP		NP*			NP			NP.			NP			NΡ		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	NP			NP	
τР	4	. 7	6	5	7	8	7	8	6	8	8	7	6	6	6	8	8	7	8	6	6
wS		NP		10	10	9	10	8	8	NP	9	10	11	10	NP	9	10	9	13	9	9
rS	11	10	13	9	9	11	8	10	U	9	9	10	10	1.1	NP	10	NP	11	14	NP	12
bS	13	13	15	I.I.	11	12	14	u	14	11	12	13	13	13	NP	12	13	13	11	5	5
пS		NP		9	9	10	13	9	9	8	8	NP	8	8	9	NΡ	9	9	10	11	8
cL :		NP		11	11	1,2	16	12	13	12	15	13	10	NP	11	12	14	12	10	12	14
Avg:	.9	10	11	Φ.	10	10	11	10	10	10	10	1.1	10	10	Ö	10	11 -	10	11	9::::	9

\* NOT PLANTED

#### FOREST RESEARCH SECTION FORESTRY BRANCH N.S. DEPT. OF LANDS AND FORESTS

## FOREST RESEARCH SECTION PERSONNEL

Technicians: Dave Arseneau, Steve Brown, George Keddy, Randy McCarthy, Keith Moore,

Bob Murray, Peter Romkey, Ken Wilton

Chief Technician: Cameron Sullivan

Data Processing: Sylvia Chase, Eric Robeson

Foresters: Blair Andres, Brian Chase, Tim McGrath, Peter Neily, Tim OBrien

Supervisor: Russell McNally

Director: Ed Bailey

Secretary: Angela Walker