

GPI *Atlantic*

Genuine Progress Index for Atlantic Canada
Indice de progrès véritable - Atlantique


The Cost of Tobacco in Nova Scotia: An Update

Tobacco Control Summit, Halifax, NS

20 October, 2006

The larger context – GPI:

- 1) Tobacco, sickness as costs vs. \$300m/yr on tobacco + \$168m sickness = make GDP grow
- 2) GPI Question: Creating a healthier NS? – 2000-06 – Are we getting healthier, making genuine progress?




To answer this question, what does the evidence show:

1. Then and now: Smoking since 2000 GPI report
2. Then and now: SAM & Costs - Why the Lag?
3. What has made the most difference?
4. Where to from here? – Effects of tobacco control investment on SAM and costs
5. Lessons for health promotion

GPIAtlantic.org

1) *Then... and Now...*

Smoking Prevalence, 15+, 2000




GPIAtlantic.org

2000: Smoking kills 1650/year = 21% of all deaths in NS

- NS - highest rate of deaths from cancer and respiratory disease in Canada
- 2nd highest circulatory deaths, diabetes
- Highest use of disability days
- Highest smoking rate in Canada (30%)
 - 25% higher than Can., 50% above BC


GPIAtlantic.org

Then: Smoking Prevalence, Age 15+, 2000




GPIAtlantic.org

Now: Smoking Prevalence, Age 15+, 2005



GPIAtlantic.org

Nova Scotia: Smoking Prevalence, Age 15+, 1999-2005




GPIAtlantic.org

Cigarette Sales in NS, 1991-2005

(down 35% since '96) (consumption/risk)


millions



GPIAtlantic.org

Smoking rates Canada and NS, Age 15- 24


— CANADA — NS



GPIAtlantic.org

% Decline in Smoking: Can and NS, Ages 15-24 and 25+ (1999 to 2005)

■ 15-24 ■ 25+



GPI *Atlantic.org*

2) 2000 – Costs of Smoking

- Chronic diseases cost NS \$3 billion/yr (direct + indirect) = 13% GDP – huge burden
- Good news: 40% chronic disease; 50% premature death; \$500 m./yr health care costs ***avoidable*** = small # risk factors -> OHP
- Tobacco – single largest preventable cause of death and sickness = \$168m. in health care costs + \$300m. In indirect costs +ETS costs

GPIAtlantic.org

2006 Costs of Tobacco (preliminary estimates)

- 1,730 deaths (up from 1,650); \$220 million health care costs + \$550 million indirect costs (up since 2000 despite decline in prevalence)
- = Due to 'backlog' of older ex-smokers (former high smoking rates) + female lag
- Health Canada: Despite declining prevalence, SAM = 38,357 (1989), 45,000 ('96), 47,581 ('98)
- US: Lung cancer peaked early 90s despite drop in cig consumption: 3800 (1965) – 2800 (1993)

GPIAtlantic.org

But benefits *will* accrue: Time lag (ACS study of 1 million)

- 2-4 years: ***lung cancer death*** risk down - ex-light smoker = 2/3; ex-heavy smoker = 13%; 5 years: ex-light smoker risk = non-smoker; ex-heavy smoker down 50%
- ***CHD death risk***: ex-light smokers = down 50% in 5 years; 100% in 10 years; Ex-heavy smokers much longer = down 1/3 after 7 years; down 2/3 after 10+ years
- ***COPD*** – much longer, no return to normal

GPIAtlantic.org

Lung Cancer Risk

— Less than 20 cigarettes a day — 20+ cigarettes a day




GPIAtlantic.org

Chronic Heart Disease Risk


— Less than 20 cigarettes a day

— 20+ cigarettes a day



GPIAtlantic.org

Lifetime smoker costs and cessation benefits, NS




GPIAtlantic.org


3) Key changes since 2000

- *BMJ* + World Bank: Cigarette taxes = “single most effective intervention” to reduce tob. demand
- 10% increase in price -> 4% drop consumption
-> 7% drop among youth, pregnant women
- NS price ***more than doubled*** since 2000;
Consumption dropped by more than 30%
- NS tax: \$9.64/carton = 2000 -> \$31.04 (2004);
Prov tax revenue more than doubled = \$76m in
1999-2000 to \$162m in 2003-04

Price Elasticity by age




Cigarette Prices – US & Canada, 2000



GPIAtlantic.org

Cigarette Prices, Selected Provinces


■ 2000.00 ■ 2006.00



GPIAtlantic.org


Cigarette Prices

■ 1997 ■ 2006



GPI *Atlantic.org*

% Change in Cigarette Prices, 1997-2006



GPIAtlantic.org

Other actions since 2000

- ***Smoke-free act*** - associated with 14% drop in prevalence; 25% drop in consumption
- Comprehensive tobacco control strategy - up 4x: \$500,000 (2001-02) ->\$1,960,000 (2003-04) + *coordinated*: OHP – DHPP
- Education: Package warnings; display bans; media campaign; school-based programs + Youth access denial + workplace programs
- Quit aids: Counselling/help line/support groups

GPIAtlantic.org

4) Where to from here? Complacency or build on success?

- NS smoking rate = 21%; BC = 15%; Calif = 14%
- **California** Proposition 99 (1988); raised prices by 25c/pack; earmarked 25% of new revenue for tobacco control program
- Results: 50% drop in consumption = 50% faster than rest of US; 25% drop in prevalence; decline in lung and bronchus cancer = 3x US average; est. 33,000 fewer deaths from heart disease

GPI*Atlantic.org*

Economics of tobacco control: All studies show high ROI

- Cal. saves \$3 for every \$1 on tobacco control
- Mass saves \$2 in health care costs alone for every \$1 spent on tobacco control
- School-based prevention = 15:1; physician advice = 12:1; prenatal counselling = 10:1; media advertising = 7:1; counsel/NRT = 3-4:1 (doubles quit rates)
- \$1 per capita increase in education spending ->20% prevalence decline (BMJ)

GPIAtlantic.org

Is NS tobacco control adequate?

- NS still 1 billion cigs/yr = 1 pack for every Nova Scotian – can reduce by 1/3 to Calif. rates
- NS collects \$162m in tobacco tax revenues; spends 1.2% of that on tobacco control = \$2pp
- CDC int'l best practices = \$8-\$23Cdn pp small states (<3m) = \$7.5 m - \$21.5 m in NS
- At min. CDC level, OMA estimates \$90m Ontario program will reduce prevalence 15%, save \$1.3b in health care, and add \$2.4b sales and inc. tax (prod. incr), + \$7.5b tobacco taxes

GPIAtlantic.org

Estimated benefits of best practice strategy

- OMA = \$3 saved in avoided health care costs for every \$1 invested + \$6 in sales, income tax (not count tob. tax revenue)
- If prevalence drops 20% then = 12:1 +
Would save 116 NS lives/yr by year 5;
300+ lives/yr by year 10; 500+ lives/yr by
year 15 + 26,000 avoided hosp. Days
- To justify \$7.5m NS investment, using only health care savings as benefit, program need only induce 5% Nova Scotians to quit

GPIAtlantic.org

+ **Benefits to employers**

- Empirical research using 10 objective measures of productivity shows ex- smokers = 5% more productive than current smokers
- Conference Board of Canada = Smoker costs employer \$2,280/year more than non-smoker = \$250m/yr in NS (smoke breaks, absenteeism)
- Extrapolating from OMA Ontario results - productivity gains from \$7.5m NS program -> add \$177m in higher income and sales taxes over program duration

GPI *Atlantic.org*

OMA: “The province is not forced to choose between social spending and responsible fiscal management – it can accomplish both goals through one policy.”

	<i>10% fall in prevalence</i>		<i>15% fall in prevalence</i>		<i>20% fall in prevalence</i>	
	Lives saved	Avoided hosp. days	Lives saved	Avoided hosp. days	Lives saved	Avoided hosp. days
Year 5	56	3005	87	4,507	116	6,010
Year 10	154	8,035	232	10,539	309	16,069
Year 15	251	13,103	377	19,655	502	26,206

GPIAtlantic.org

5) Applying the lessons to other health promotion strategies


- Build on, expand success – **comprehensive** program works; **values** change. E.g. CDC found cig sales drop 2+x as much in states with comprehensive programs cf US av.
- -> Comprehensive health promotion program = no smoking, healthy eating, healthy weights, physical activity
- + attention to **social** determinants. E.g. CDC found 10% price increase = low-income smokers 4x more likely quit cf higher-income (E.g. St Henri, Montreal)

GPIAtlantic.org

Can: Smoking Down, O'wt+Obese Up

BMI>25: Can = 48.9%, NS = 56.5%

— smoking — obesity




GPIAtlantic.org

NS - Smoking Down, Obesity Up

BMI>30: NS = 20.7%, Can = 15.5%

— smoking — obesity



GPIAtlantic.org

Costs of other risk factors

- RAND Health study found obesity costs for first time have passed smoking costs in US
- NS: Obesity and physical inactivity kill more than 1,000 Nova Scotians/ yr; diabetes up; cost NS health care system \$150m+/yr + cost economy \$250m+/yr productivity loss
- E.g. GPI estimate that 10% drop in physical inactivity would save 50 lives/year, \$7.5m in avoided health care costs + \$17.2m in economic productivity gains

GPIAtlantic.org

As with comprehensive tobacco control program:

- DHPP school healthy eating program very positive. Now supplement with:
 - price measures (Brownell),
 - labelling (Finland),
 - education (Singapore – reduced youth obesity by up to 50%),
 - regulatory mechanisms
 - media campaign,
 - physician advice, counselling etc.

GPIAtlantic.org

Comprehensive tobacco control and health promotion strategy will create a healthier Nova Scotia for our children –



GPI *Atlantic*

Genuine Progress Index for Atlantic Canada
Indice de progrès véritable - Atlantique

www.gpiatlantic.org